# VOL. 2.

#### MASS., DECEMBER. PRINCETON,

NO. 8.

### THE WORD,

A MONTHLY JOURNAL OF REFORM,

favors the abolition of speculative income, of moman's slavery and war government; regards all claims to property, not founded on a labor title, as morally void, and asserts the free use of land to be the inalienable privilege of every human being—one having the right to own or sell only his service impressed on it. Not by restrictive methods, but through liberty and reciprocity, The Word seeks the extinction of interest, ent, dividends, and profit, except as they represent work done; the abolition of railway, telefavors the abolition of speculative income, of sent work done; the abolition of railway, telegraphic, banking, trades-union and other corporations charging more than actual cost for values furnished, and the repudiation of all so-called debts, the principal whereof has been paid, in the form of interest.

E. H. HEYWOOD, -

Contributors, correspondents, and those from whose works extracts may be printed are responsible only for their own opinions; the Editor must not be understood to approve or reject any views, not editorial, unless he says so.

views, not editorial, unless be says so.

Terms 75 cents annually, in advance; 5 copies
\$3.30; 10 copies \$6.00; 20 copies \$11.00; 50
copies \$20.00; 100 copies \$37.50. Single copies
7 cents. Subscribers who wish to continue, will
please remit in time, for the paper is not sent except on payment in advance. Address

THE WORD

PRINCETON, MASS.

# THE OPPOSITION.

THE ABOLITION OF INTEREST UNJUST Andlingossible. No Rate Excessive if the Booty is "Equally Shared."

Livery stable keepers charge more for the use of their horses and vehicles than the mere decrease of their value and wear: they always charge a profit. The same is true of all business. crease of their value and waar: they always charge a profit. The same is true of all business. Whatever is sold, whether property or the use of property, is sold for more than it costs; and this excess of price over cost is profit, which is analogous to interest. Wages, profit, and rent are the three sources of all revenue by which men pay for their living; one is as legitimate as another; and interest is simply one species of profit. "It is" says Adam Smith, "the compensation which the borrower pays to the lender pensation which the borrower pays to the lender for the profit which he has an opportunity of making by the use of the money. Part of this profit naturally belongs to the borrower, who runs the risk and takes the trouble of employing it; and part to the lender, who affords him an opportunity of making this profit. No rate of interest is excessive so long as both parties are benefited and the benefit is equally shared. The qualification is all-important. This rule The qualification is all-important. This rule would justify the slave-holder's agreement with his slave, provided the former justly owned the latter. But since property in man is intrinsically unjust, the slave-holder charged profit or interest of the property, namely, the slave interest on stolen property, namely, the slave himself. The claim of the master for the price

himself. The claim of the master for the price of the slave was unjust to start with; therefore no agreement by which the price was to be paid could be just. If the claim had been just, the agreement would also have been just.

That interest paid for the use of money is partly compensation to the lender for running the risk of never getting it back, is plain from the fact that the rate of interest depends partly on the amount of the risk run. Doubtful security will not bring money at so low a rate as first-class security. This is just. The lender offsets the greater risk by the greater profit. There is of course no just claim for a return of the interest, for the risk has been already run for which the interest is itself the payment. There is no more relevancy in scrutinizing the There is no more relevancy in scrutinizing the reasons why the lender values the use of the There is no more relevancy in scrutinizing the reasons why the lender values the use of the money, than in scrutinizing the reasons of the borrower for doing the same thing. It is enough that money can be used for all purposes, legitimate and illegitimate; that this use has a definite value, which belongs to him who owns temoney; and that the lender yields up this use to the borrower for a compensation. Both parties want to use the money; the motives of the borrower are as likely to be selfish as those of the lender; the whole inquiry into motives is superfluous. All that concerns us now is that a fair bargain is made, by which one party gets what he wants from another by paying a price for it, which, if not excessive, is justly due. The value of the use of money is based on the fact that, when prudently used, it will bring a profit to somebody; and the justice of charging interest depends on the fact that this profit belongs to lender and borrower. The probability and amount of this profit, as estimated at the time of borrowing, ought to determine the rate of interest should be half of it; if the risk is great, the interest should be more in proportion. This is theoretical, however; the rate of is great, the interest should be more in propor-tion. This is theoretical, however; the rate of interest cannot practically be so nicely adjusted,

a house is paid for the use of it; it is really the interest on the capital invested in the land and the building. The liveryman's charge for horses and carriages is also really interest on the capital invested in them, since he never dreams of charging only for the actual wear-and-tear. Now this use of wealth is just as truly sold for the time being as the wealth itself is sold per-manently in the case of ordinary merchandise. If it is just to charge for a barrel of flour, it is just to charge for a year's loan of a hundred dollars. There is a quid pro quo in either case. Interest, it is true, "takes from the borrower;" but so does the sale of a peck of potatoes take from the consumer for the benefit of the farmer. The only question is—does the borrower receive a fair equivalent for the interest he pays? If he at least did not think so, he would not borrow—unless he is charged excessively under the pressure of necessity; which of course is cruel. But interest-paying no more feeds overgrown wealth than does the payment of a profit on merchandise; the trouble is not there. Unfortunately money-making of all kinds is easy to the rich and hard to the poor; yet we fear that inequalities of wealth will not be obviated so long as men are unequal in the money-making faculty, give themselves with unequal zeal to the pursuit of money, or find the circumstances and opportunities of life unequal. There is too much truth in the scripture—"To him that hath shall be given and from him that hath not shall shall be taken away, even that which he hath." But the only approximate remedy for such inequalities is, not to attempt the impossible and really unjust abolition of interest, but rather to increase the general welfare by increasing the general intelligence and virtue. Education, mental and moral, promises more relief to suf-fering poverty than the sweeping destruction of usages which after all have their real basis in the common sense of mankind. It will open a career for every one who is industrious, and do much to raise the whole community above want. If there is a better remedy, we hove most sincerely it will be discovered and proclaimed.— F. E. Ablot Editor of The Index, Oct. 9th.

#### PROFIT THE BASIS OF PROPERTY!

We argued that, if the liveryman was right, so was the man who charged a moderate interest for the use of his money, since the principle involved was the same in both cases. Mr. Tucker is quite mistaken as to the "question at issue." It was not "whether taking more than cost is morally right," but whether all interest-taking is morally wrong. We cannot turn aside at present to discuss irrelevant issues. The "reasoning in a circle" which Mr. Tucker imagines he has detected cannot be found in our article. Having misconceived the subject under discus-Having misconcerved the subject under discussion. It is not surprising that he avoids the dreaded "circle" by shooting off at a tangent. We pointed out the strict analogy between interest and profit or rent, but did not base the justice of either on that of the other; as Mr. Tucker will perceive at a glance, if he will take the trouble to read carefully the article he has criticised. The justice of taking interest, of charging profit, of levying rent, and of demandwager, depends on the answer to be given to a wager, depends on the answer to be given to a deeper question than Mr. Tucker has yet considered namely.—has anybody a right to say he owns anything? Did he ever reflect that to enal lenge the right to make a reasonable profit is at bottom to challenge the right to own property of any sort? By what right does the seller charge even cost to the purchaser? Why is not property common to all? Why was not Proudnon right when he declared all property rob-bery? Mr. Tucker does not seem to be aware that when he denies all right to take interest, he logically denies all right to demand wages or even to charge original cost; for he is in fact denying the right to property itself, and should plant himself on the most ultra communism.

He either goes too far, or not half far enough.

Does Mr. Tucker oppose the public school system? It would seem so: but we hope not.

If publicly is to be educated till be can pay for it.

If nobody is to be educated till he can pay for it "injustice to be called upon to bear their share of the bur den of educating the wretched children of the street,—farewell to all possibility of a republic on this earth. If Mr. Pucker and his neighbor are equally desirous of an exchange, and equally derive benefit from it, it takes a clearer nead or a keener conscience than ours to discern any wrong in the transaction. There is no "right of might" in the case; it is a voluntary and advantageous barter on both sides.—Mr. Abbot in

INFIDELITY. Against the tide of old-world vickedness and unbelief, this rush of materialism and atheism, this revival from Gehenna, which is coming in upon us from England and Europe, the time has come to set up a standard of revolt. It is a devilish oppression, ten thousand times worse than the stamp acts and extortions of British tyranny a hundred years ago. It must be stopped or all that is distinctively

tional systems and institutions. It is fascinating and capturing the youth of the nation, our brightest and best. It has taken possession of the newspaper press; statistics show that nearly all the leading secular journalists of the country are its servants and mediums. It is in the atmosphere, pressing into all the channels of thought and action. It is at the bottom of po-litical corruption.—Oneida Circular.

"LET US HAVE PEACE." My lovin wife: Ime comin ome nex week an hav forgiv you fer jaw-in me. Ile come on the 7 o'clock trane and shall stay ome hereafter & tri to be an altered man. I want peace and so do yew why shouldn't we luv each uther as we used ter when we jined to-gether in the wholly bands of madlock ive jined a temperance society but if yew jaw me agin fur cummin ome I'll wollap yew like 6ty fur we must have peese as grant ses.

#### IS INTEREST TAKING UNJUST?

The principle which justifies liverymen in their claim for reasonable hire does not apply to money-lenders; since real wealth (such as horses and vehicles) decreases in value while in use, which is not true of money. Would not Mr. Abbot's rule )that a contract is not criminal which benefits both parties) justify the agreement which the master made with the slave to give him all he would earn in excess of a fixed sum to be delivered to the master, under which contract the slave was very profitable to the master, and, by working very hard, succeeded in buying his own freedom? Is it not the old false principle of supply and demand acting regardless of equity, in the manner in which "might makes right"? Mr. Abbot says: "It is a great mistake to think it costs the lender nothing. He foregoes the use of the money lent, and runs the risk of never being repaid.' We fail to see how the claim for interest makes the payment of the principal any more certain, nor do lenders return the interest at the payment of the principal As to foregoing "the use of the money lent," we would ask-what use? The use of it by buying bread, books, or the comforts of life, or by supplying the means of self or family elevation! If so we think it should not be lent but in exceptional cases. But money-lenders as a class offer no such needs as a reason for their claim for interest. What they 'forego'' is rather the use of their money by investing in railroad bonds, or insurance stock, or lands that are expected to pay very heavy dividends or sell at an advance, or by speculating in city real tate, gold, grain, or other property that offers heavy profits. Possibly they have to forego taking stock in a manufactory that sells the labor of the poor at double what it costs, or forego the use of their money as a means of furnishing themselves employment as merchants by which they expect a fair income in the form of selling at prices limited only by the life of their trade. I admit that it requires more unselfishness to forego all tems of practice. But is it not this privilege of speculating that gives to the use of money what Mr. Abbot is pleased to call "real, direct value, for which men ought in common justice to pay,"—which is about as real and direct as the value that a lot of thieves would pay for the key to a safe that was filled with an immense quantity of valuables? Now if this value of the use of money does not result from the privilege of speculating, is based? And will he justify specula-

If Mr. Abbot's remarks refer to the sale of "merchandize," "services," and "time," which are never returned, we but will be governed by the average probabilities of profit at the time in general business.

What Mr. Heberling calls the "rent of wealth" its influence the nation is losing its spiritual and is simply payment for the use of it. The rent of

not charge for the use of their money would require more space than is left me; but I will say that interest is wrong, for the reason that it not only takes from the borrower, but that it is the channel through which all purchasers or consumers contribute through merchants of all classes to the possession of those that have, increasing their incomes without earning it, and taking from those who do no borrowing without giving any equivalent. For every property-holder demands his per cent., which must, if possible, be equal to the value which speculation gives to money. — Wm. L. Heberling in The Index Oct. 9th.

#### THE SIN OF USURY.

The sins of livery-stable keepers must not be allowed to serve as a shield for those of usurers. The real question at issue, I take it, is not whether this class or that class take more than cost, but whether taking more than cost is morally right. To quote Adam Smith in this connection is of no avail. The first two paragraphs of the fifth chapter of his Wealth of Nations contains a statement of principles which the profit-making system directly violates, and which is, in fact, a clear and complete refutation of all the rest of his book. He says: 'The real price of everything, what everything really costs to the man who wants to acquire it, is the toil and troub-le of acquiring it. What everything is really worth to the man who has acquired it and who wants to dispose of it, or wants to exchange it for something else, is the toil and trouble which it can save to himself, and which it can impose up-on other people." This plainly conflicts with the profit-idea. After basing the right to take interest on the justice of profit and rent, you turn about, and base the latter on the former. The average rate of interest at any time is regulated by the average necessity of the borrowing class, which is just as cruel as to base it in any individual case upon individual necessity. But education you say is the proper remedy for poverty. To put the cart before the horse is anything but conducive to progress. People can't obtain education until they have something to buy it with. Any system which educates my neighbor's children at my expense, bears injustice on its very

We should exchange equal burdens, not equal benefits. If I possess an article which cost me only one day's labor, and which would be of great benefit to my neighbor, and he has another which he was a year in producing, and which would be of equal benefit to me, am I justified in making the exchange? In accordance with what code of morals can I justly claim one year of his time in rethese chances than most of our capital- turn for one day of mine? To lose conists or laborers possess; but that does trol over one's own labor is to become a eradicate the injustice from the present slave. By what right may I subject him oppressive financial and commercial syst to three hundred and sixty-four days of slavery? The right of might and that That compensation for risk is strictly equitable is certainly true, but that it forms any part of the ground on which interest strictly defined is based, is certainly not true. Webster defines interest as the "premium paid for the use of money." Pray, what has use to do with risk? Finally, you defend interest or the ground that a man has a right to charge for foregoing any use will Mr. Abbot please tell us on what it which he may have for the money he lends, whether that use be legitimate or illegitimate. Otempora! O mores!! What could be more barbarous than this? To commit highway robbery by means of a revolver is an illegitimate use to which

FORCED CONSENT.

lending it for sacrificing an opportunity liberty and inoperative in practice, interof committing that crime. If this be Free Religion, put me down as a slave to the religion of the Bible, which, however tyrannical in its pretension, intolerant in its dogmas, and inhuman in its precepts, possesses at least this one redeeming virtue-that it does not justify human beings in owning more than they earn .- Benj. R. Tucker in The Index

Before proceeding to answer your reply to my letter of Oct 10th, allow me to thank you for the manly words in which it is couched, and to ask your acceptance of my apology for allowing my temper to get the better of my courtesy

I know of none save the communists (and I think Mr. Heberling does not belong to that class) who oppose interest on any other ground than that it is a violation of the cost principle. If I am correct in this, your statement of the "question at issue" agrees with mine in spirit, if not in letter. If I am incorrect I acknowledge the force of your criticism.

Having reconsidered my thought, I fail to see that my interpretation of your language was an unfair one. I have often reflected on the proposition which you mention, but could never believe in it. I am anxious to become aequainted with the logic which says that the denial of one's right to own more than he earns, disestablishes his title to what he does

I do oppose the public school system with all my heart. I oppose it as a communistic institution, and communism I utterly disbelieve in. If true republicanism is based on this institution (and I think it is), then true republicanism is destined and ought to die; and I shall be among the first to bid a most cheerful "farewell" to a system which seems to me the worst, because least responsible, of tyrannies (that of the majority), and which is only valuable as an indication of, and a stepping stone to, better things to

If my neighbor was in a position where he must make the exchange or die, then the "right of might" would plainly come in. Most business transactions differ from this only in degree, not in kind .-Mr. Tucker in The Index Nov. 6th.

# THE WORD,

PRINCETON, DECEMBER, 1873.

# THE INTEREST FRAUD.

Our readers may think we have a mali cious pleasure in reprinting, in its appropriate place, Mr. Abbot's elaborate defense or usury; for it is a damaging exposure of the intellectual and moral condition of the writer. Genius itself must fail in attempting to vindicate fraud while truth wins by the feeblest advocacy enabling "weak things to confound the mighty." So Messrs. Tucker and Heberling must not feel too much flushed with their victory. That Mr. Abbot has genuine ability his wrestlings with intrusive eclesiasticism and his grand impeachment of Christianity give abundant evidence. Though otherwise he now defers to custom and authority he will ere long be loyal to equity and see to Mr. Cameron's trades-union constitu-his way to the defense of liberty as a ency, are essentially true and becoming

that profit is the basis of property; for not one, from Aristotle to Amasa Walker, but would blush to be quoted in defense of this truly original idea. Since Adam Smith has been conscripted to appear on to have cash in hand to pay one's debts; both sides we think he would like us to or not having it to wish one had it, bad; reprint another passage: "Labor was the first price the original purchase mon-ey paid for all things \* \* \* \* and is alone the ultimate and real standard by which their values can be estimated and compared." (Wealth of Nations Vol. I Pages 44, 48.) Of course most modern writers on political economy, swayed by the vices of their times, have defended profits; but they have done so, as Mr. Tucker intimates, in direct violation of the essential principles of the science. Mr. Tucker is right in saying that our set do not believe in compulsory methods. While usury laws are inconsistent with

est and profits, as did chattel slaveholding, exist by monopoly and coercion, being upheld by special legislative devices subversive of our Massachusetts Bill of Rights and the Common Law.

There never was a clearer indication of natural right than our demand for absolutely free trade in money. Granting Mr. Abbot's right to take interest, by what authority does he compel me to pay interest? For this is precisely the object of existing systems in forbidding competition by their merciless penalties. I do not believe he can find it in his heart or reason to continue to support what, by pure monopoly, hinders free enterprise and subjects the whole material interests of the people to the plundering instincts of the stock exchange. Mr. Abbot shares the common misapprehension of the great French labor reformer's property ideas which we shall explain in our next, by asking Proudhon to speak

-THE NEW BEDFORD CONVENTION reported on 4th page owes its decided success largely to our friend BENJ. R. TUCKER, at whose suggestion it was called, and without whose material and moral support so full a publication of labor reform ideas could not have been secured in that city. The Springfield (Mass.) Republican says the League "Marched up the hill and down again with no reported casualties"; but that smart daily may learn sometime that we are dealing with issues a little too serious to be trifled with. The Evening Bulletin the only (acknowledged) child of the Providence (R. I.) Journal concedes the truth of our main point in saying that "all property is founded on labor because all property is the result of labor," but thinks our deductions therefrom are fanatical and incendiary. Gov. Anthony called abolitionists "fanatics" until jumping to the winning side he floated into the Senate on the Antislavery wave. That all property not founded on a labor title is robbery is as logical a deduction from the generally admitted premise, that labor is the source of wealth as were Garrison's conclusions from "all men are born free and equal." The Journal sees the point but will

continue to slander us and our ideas as long as it pays to do so. The Workingman's Advocate of Chicago thinks the discussions of the League "are not without their advantages" but sees no "unity of purpose." Better acquaintance with its meetings, ideas and purposes will enable Mr. Cameron to criticize the League intelligently, Rejecting the majority swindle it declines to coerce the minority by votes, and rarely calls for a show of hands on its resolutions. If truth "makes us free" why browbeat others into accepting it? Seeking education, the agitation of thought which is the beginning of wisdom, the League has sufficient confidence and "unanimity" in its views to welcome all opponents to its platform and secure to them a respectful hearing. Its work, but just begun already indicates beneficent overturn in comparison with which all former revolutions are insignificant. Its members think it worth while to continue to stake time and money on the advocacy of ideas which, however radical they may seem settled moral convictions in steadily in-It will be news to political economists creasing numbers of the American people.

In admonishing his friend Timothy to regard "the love of money as the root of all evil" it is not to be supposed that Lieutenant Christian Paul thought it bad or that, having worked and earned value, loving to own the symbolic money representative of that value, is bad; for however much this first great expounder of Jesusism may have erred in matters theological his writings show a lively sense of equity and intelligent business habits which forbid us to put a foolish construction upon the above memorable passage. He probably meant to imply that to have, or to wish to have money without giving an honest equivalent for it is the source of perennial evil.

—The Annual meeting of the Penna. Peace society was held Nov. 12th.

We print elsewhere notice of a National Free Love Convention to be held in Ravenna, Ohio, Dec. 7th. Our Methodist brethren and sisters who call sighs and groans and pious boohooing "love feasts" should go and listen to Francis Barry's explanation of the true thing. The one slave state, which Linnot reach—the state of matrimony—will he brought to the bar of reason. We hope there will he a general attendance, for the questions of love and marriage demand intelligent and courageous examination.

-Thomas Haskell the late veteran reformer of West Gloucester Mass. was an old line antislavery worker who did not belittle himself into being an aboli tionist merely. Retaining to the last an active interest in all phases of useful work he escaped the moral mummyhood which has befallen Garrison and lived until he died. We hope that he will ver- "heroes," he thought the sword and the ify .. is faith in spirit intercourse by letting us hear from him from the other side

came to America as an emigrant agent seeking to locate English farm laborers here. Better export the royal family, the aristocracy and the clergy; and keep useful Englishmen at home. Mr. Arch is related to labor reform somewhat as Colonizationists were to negro emancipa-

-H. N. Powers of Leominster Mass., recently set for us one of Babcock's Wooden Pumps. It works so admirably well that we confidently recommend it to a l Letters by mail to Mr. Powers will receive prompt attention. He sets pumps on 30 days trial and asks no pay unless they give entire satisfaction.

-Count Chambord, the Bourbon aspirant to the French Throne says France will "survive because Christ loves her This looks a little "promiscuous" because the Count loves her too, and then Jesus Christ is a Communist. We hope this this case, the government rests wholly love affair will not come to pistols between J. C. and the Count.

-Sir Charles Dilke is reported to have said that Mr. Bradlaugh does the thinking of more people than any other man in England. What he has said on the labor question since he came to this country indicates that Mr. B, seriously needs to do some thinking for himself.

—A Cure for "Panics"—Col. Greene's Mutual Banking advertised in another column. He shows that liberty and equity applied to finance would not only abolish interest on money but give the suffering millions now denied work use-

Morse's medallion of Josiah Warren is a fine work of art of especial value to those conversant with Mr. Warren's views, and also an admirable head for anybody to look at. Handsomely framed it is sold for \$5.00 by S. H. Morse 25 Bromfield St. Boston.

Attention of subscribers whose terms are about to expire is called to the advance payment clause of our Prospectus. We are so well pleased with existing patrons that we wish all to continue, and each one to bring a friend or two

The Co-operative Publishing Co. have issued a new edition of J. K. Ingall's "Land and Labor" advertised in another column. It is an able and scholarly work which needs only to be better known to be in general demand.

-- The Boston Herald, for a long time has headed its best remarks on this world's affairs "Men and Things"; the improvement of the Editor's daily sermon would be more lively if he should try "Women and Things" a while.

-0. B. Frothingham has taken to patronizing God who was in a sufficiently tight place before, having "indiscre-tions" enough to answer for without being made to father the pious abortions of this il-liberal priest.

—Ir-Rev. Mr. Talmage of Brooklyn, N. Y. says Jay Cooke is "one of God's picked men;" probably, for the Devil shows better judgement in selecting his part-

Abraham Lincoln did not cause the death of so many people from a mere

love of slaughter, but only to bring about a state of consent that could not otherwise be secured for the government he had undertaken to administer. When coln's Proclamation of Emancipation did a government has once reduced its people to a state of consent—that is, of subm ssion to its will-it can put them to a much better use than to kill them; for it can then plunder them, enslave them, and use them as tools for plundering and enslaving others. And these are the uses to which most governments, our own among the rest, do put their people, whenever they have once reduced them to a state of consent to its will. Andrew Jackson said that those who did not consent to the government he attempted to administer upon them, for that reason, were traitors, and ought to be hanged. Like so many other so-called gallows excellent instrumentalities for securing the people's consent to be governed. The idea that, although govern-- Joseph Arch, the reformed clergyman ment should rest on the consent of the governed, yet so much force may nevertheless be employed as may be necessary to produce that consent, embodies everything that was ever exhibited in the shape of usurpation and tyranny in any country on earth. It has cost this country a million of lives, and the loss of everything that resembles political liberty. It can have no place except as a part of a system of a bsolute military despotism. And it means nothing else, either in this country, or in any other. There is no balf-way house between a government depending wholly on voluntary support, and one depending wholly on military compulsion. And mankind have only to choose between these two. In a government not depending wholly upon voluntary support, the people must always necessarily be divided into two classes-the class that governs, and the class that is governed or enslaved In at all on the consent of the governed. And whether the governors are more or less numerous than the governed, and whether they call themselves monarchists, aristocrats, or republicans, the principle is the same, The simple, and only material fact, in all cases, is, that one body of men are robbing and enslaving another. And it is only upon military compulsion that men will submit to be robbed and enslaved, it necessarily follows that any government, to which the governed, the weaker party, do not consent, must be (in regard to that weaker party), a merely military despotism. Such is the state of things now in this country, and in every other in which government does not depend wholly upon voluntary support. There never was and there never will be, a more gross, self-evident, and inexcusable violation of the principle that government should rest on the consent of the governed, than was the late war, as carried on by the North. There never was, and there never will be, a more palpable case of purely military despotism than is the government we now have .- Lysander

> -A. T. H. "-- - has the culture shrug in his shoulders."

S. H. M., "Oh, no, you see that in usiness men."
A. T. H., "No we do not; business

men have no time to be fixing up their shoulders. Emerson's manners are spontaneous and so well enough for him; but imitative manners are sillifying to people's intellects."

MR. & MRS. A. R. TUCKER of New Bedford, have the especial thanks of E. H. & A. T. H. for generous hospitality during their stay in that city.

-The Oneida Community are becoming converted to Spiritualism. The chief Jonah on board their ship, Jesus Christ, will go overboard erelong.

In the Wallingford (Conn.) branch of the Oneida Community, girls are married "complexly," at fourteen, and boys at fifteen.

-Interest is most reasonably detested. -Aristotle.

#### WORKING GIRLS.

A bleaker and harder lot can hardly be pictured than that of the working girls of our city. They cannot dress ac cording to the fashion or their own tastes. They cannot even make their own rooms neat and comfortable. They cannot choose their own associates. They are pushed and crowded together in the shop; they are herded together in unclean lodgings. Their food is often un-wholesome. Good people shun them and the vulgar jeer at them on the street. They are made to feel that they belong to a pariah class, and every effort they make to rise in the world is met with obstacles hard to overcome. They need recreation, but cannot afford the time to take it. They want amusement but can afford none that is suitable. They naturally crave the society of the other sex, but this is full of perils, and such companionship subjects them to suspicion when it does nothing worse. There seems to be no bright and cheering outlook; nothing to make their hearts glad and their burdens easy; nothing for them but steady grind of toil that wears into the very bone and marrow of existence and consumes their life. And yet these young women have all the instincts and yearnings and posibilities of womanhood, and under other circumstances would be devoted wives and mothers, ornaments of society, benefactors of the race. Go into any of our lower streets between five and six o'clock at-night and you shall see girls come from their long toil, weary and worn out, hungry and lonely, craving a sympathy and affection there is none to give them, who, under slightly altered conditions, would be the pride and ornament and joy of almost any household in the land. It is not surprising that, now and then, one of these girls is enticed and pushed across the line of virtue. The wonder is that so many keep themselves above reproach, and bloom and fade, like lillies in a pool, pure in the midst of corruption as the heaven that bends serene above their heads while they labor, and over their graves when they sleep.

The question is not one of gallantry, but of justice and humanity. The appeal is backed by every reason of policy, of health, of virtue, of good feeling. It is made for daughters and sisters, who cannot speak for themselves and have none to speak for them. It is made for future mothers, whose children will pay the terrible penalty of their overwork in weakened costitutions and premature death. The future of the world lies almost entirely with the women. Hu-manity depends upon motherhood. Somebody has said that those who are well born need no second birth, The mother class, the world over, is the working class.—New York Daily Graphic.

BLACKMAIL is the grand panacea for the responsible ills of male prostitution. Let us look at this bugbear, blackmail. If a woman appeals to a man to share but ever so lighty, the burden of his offspring the deeply injured creature brays out-blackmail! If a woman who has sacrificed family and friends for a base betrayer, finds herself on the verge of starvation and asks from him the charity of a dollar, the unsuspecting innocent rushes to his friends for protection from blackmail! If a woman, in the strength of their pack of libertines howl-blackmail! condition of society which makes pros-If the honest indignation of a noble wo-titution possible. All that we can do toman nerve her to hold up for the malediction of society a christian cannibal, a thing who absolutely fattens on youth and innocence, and boasts that he gloats on female loveliness; a fiend blacker and more damnable than the devils of the infernal pit, we are told this brave woman is "an obscene huzzy," and the whole weight of the United States government is brought to bear to suppress a blackmailer! If an attempt to enforce the payment of a debt by a threat of a suit at law, I am a black-mailer! If I tell my neighbors there is a savage beast abroad mailer!

A man to become the subject of black-

mail must have money; must have a respectable reputation which he prizes; must have some generosity and nobility of character, for it is only the noble and generous who are imposed on-your mean, unprincipled people, never. He cannot be wholly innocent for innocence affords no blackmail. Somebody must know of his frailties. Who are the ones likely to know? Women who keep assignation houses and brothels. Do you ever hear of their blackmailing? Clergy men, deacons and church members are in the power of these women, but do they ever betray these hypocritical sinners? It would be better for society if they did. It is only now and then, one, out of a thousand of mens' victims, more cruelly treated than the rest, trodden on until even the worm turns, attacks the wretch who has rui ed her. There is no recorded instance of a woman's blackmailing a man on whom she has had no claim. If blackmail can force men to even a little right dealing with women then let us have blackmail. This blackmail dodge is the flimsiest sham ever held up as a cloak for vice. It has served the purpose of bad men to crush women long enough.— Josephine Mc

Money is God, and all the people obey Acquisitiveness rules, and all the powers of the being obey. Love, tenderness, charity, religion, all are the bond serv ants to this money-God, chained to his chariot wheels, crushed by his rentless tread, if they dare to put themselves in his way. So long as the money power is in the ascendency, woman must of necessity be mercenary in her love: and if not naturally so, must be made and held so by force of circumstances; and in no way could this have been done so effectually as it has by making her subject to man in the matter of sex, and dependent on him for support and protection. Woman's whole being is subject to man, in the present order of society, just so far as that which constitutes her woman, as fects her life or happiness. She must wait till man asks her to be his wife. She must not herself make a movement looking in that direction, or she is considered unwomanly; so she must wait her natural life alone, or accept something short of that which is recognized by society as marriage; and, if the latter then she is ostracised-shut up to the merchandise of herself for support. Man has control of the avenues of wealth, and will hold woman's wages to the lowest point possible; that is the wages of labor, while he uses the money that has been wrung from the virtuous woman's toil to pay for sexual gratification. We are rebels in the fullest sense of that word. We are determined to overthrow the ruling power, to dethrone it and to place the Christof love, existing in woman's soul, upon the throne. That Christ who has worn the crown of thorns and had the wormwood and the gall pressed to the lips, through the ages of the past -has been crucified between the two thieves of marriage and prostitution till the very heavens are black with agony, and the veil of the temple of hypocrisy is being rent in twain from the top to the bottom.—Lois Waisbrooker.

FREE LOVE. Time was when I had certain philanthropic ideas about relieving the condition of prostitutes, those who day is to open the doors of our houses of prostitution and say, "O, sisters, come forth and take your places by the bank directors, the men in the stock exchange and on Wall street, and in your business streets of Chicago; take your places by ministers in the pulpit, by the pew-open-er in the church, by the sanctimonious hypocrite who fills the pew, aye, take your place as equal beside the man who visited you last night. I have no sympathy with vice, but right society, and when you have righted society, prostitution will cease, and the houses of prostiwho feeds on human flesh, I am a black- tution will, with the churches and the priests, the prison, and the gallows be be ashamed of .- Woodhull and Claffin's consigned to oblivion, or only preserved Weekly

as relics of ignorance and darkness and EMANCIPATION CONVENTION. beastial stupidity of the present hour Never mind what they call you; what does it matter? If they call you a Free Lover, they couple together two of the divinest words in the English language -freedom, for which our forefathers fought and bled; love, the divinest element in humanity. Free Lovers should wear the name os a badge of the legion of Honor. I care not what men call me whether they call me prostitute or chaste because I have that in my soul that lies so high above the consideration of human approbation or disapproval that no word that you can hurl at me will ever make me less than I am to-day—the lover of humanity, whose soul is consecrated to the service of the hour, and sworn to work until every man and woman stands free from the miserable bondage of a false educational system.—Laura Cuppy

-Our agricultural implement-makers to some extent, our makers of school-books, to a large extent, are apparently organized, and systematically overcharge and tax the farmer, who buys their products.

The sewing-machine manufacturers appear, either by agreement or an exorbitant royalty, generally assessed, to rob the whole commonwealth of vast sums in the shape of exorbitant profits. Our rail-road managers meet twice a year, or oftener and agree how much they will make the producer or consumer pay for the favor of freight. Our traders agree that one shall do the wholesale, and another the retail business; what the commision for selling shall be, and what the profits of each. Thus nearly every class of labor has a combination, more or less censurable, among its members, to arrest the free trade of supply and demand.

From capitalists to working men, combination succeeds combination, each looking to selfish ends. Beyond, and more powerful and dangerous than this, you will find nearly every man, be he insurance agent, railroad employe, mechanic, or runner, is part of a great corporation or company that strives to reach its powerful hand into every state and county of our land. He is part of a machine that commands his allegiance, not only in business, but in public influe no. and often in votes .- A Granger.

-Spiritualists and Free thinkers, believers in the doctrines of social freedom, are not followers of Victaria C. Woodhull, nor of Tennie C. Classin, nor of Col. Blood-I don't wear their shoulder-straps. though I walk barefooted through hell for it. We are not following them but the idea of progress.—Cephas B. Lyvn.

Social Freedom is one of the issues of Spiritualism, belonging to it for this reason; that society belongs to life, and life in society has a right to its natural instincts and to its upward growth. Years ago I walked on the suffrage platform before the Legislature, and have seen the legislators turn away, refusing to take notice. They told us you are only women; you have no right to vote. The mission of maternity is a most sacred and glorious one, and I believe that woman's life during the period that she is giving her offspring to the world, should be sacredly devoted to that mission as the nun devotes herself to the Church and her religion .- Anna M. Middlebrook.

—It is impossible for me to live in the have been turned out of your homes and small compass of my own existence. I duty, strips the mask of hypocrisy from have joined the fallen sisterhood. But would be happier in living out the religwe must deal our heaviest blows at that ion of Spiritualism than I could be in only serving God's premature angels. As I trace back the history of criminals, 1 reach the conclusion that their misfortunes were, in a great measure, owing to the characters that were born with them-to the ante-natal laws, of which we still know so little, and of which we ought to know so much. No longer will I be restrained in my discussion or researches into questions affecting the welfare of human souls by any feeling of false respectability.—Addie L. Ballou.

-The time will soon come when to confess to shame at the mention of anything connected with sexuality will be to virtually confess to having at some time announces a Tract on the Money committed some sexual act of which to

We invite all who desire the emancip tion of Woman from the slavery of all in stitutions, laws or customs, which inter fere in any manner or degree with her ab solute freedom in any department of life, or in any sphere of activity, to meet at

RAVENNA O., on SUNDAY DEC. 7th 1873 TO ORGANIZE AN

# AMERICAN WOMAN'S EMANIPA-TION SOCIETY.

Seward Mitchell, Maine, Parma W. Olmstead, Vt.; E. H. Heywood, Angela T. Heywood, Benj R. Tucker, Moses Hull, Jennie Leys, A. B. Davis, J. S. Tilton, Mass.; Anna M. Middlebrook, C. S. Middlebrook, Conn.; Joseph Treat, Austin Kent, N. Y.; Anthony Higgins, Elvira Hull, N. J.; Annie E. Higby, Pa.; Lewis Morris, Md.; Sarah L. Tibbals, J. Q. Henck, Mary H. Henck, G. W. Gore, Va., C. M. Overton, Mary Over-ton, Oliver Stevens, V. F. Stevens, J. H. Philleo, Helen Nash, Orson S, Murray, Ianthe P. Murray, Wm. A. Poor, L. M. R. Pool, A. Bailey, Sarah M. Day, Ann B. Spink, Frances P. Sutliff, Francis Barry, Ohio; Addie L. Ballou, Ind.; Sada Bailey, J. W. Evarts, Franc P. Evarts, J. F. Hollister, Ill.; Jonathan Walker, George Roberts, Mich.; Juliet H. Severance, C. L. James, Wis.; Warren Chase, Mo.; J. H. Cook, Kan.; Frances Rose Mackinley, Eleanor L. Lind ay,

-Henry Ward Beecher astonished the Evangelical Alliance recently by uttering this pleasing little sentiment, "Now I had rather have been the prodigal son than his brother. He was too stingy to get drunk. He was too cautious, too cold to sin lasciviously. He was going to heaven as a mummy." Make the very most of Woodhullism you can and Beecherism is bound to be ahead. Beecher declares in so many words that he would sooner be the man that spent his substance with harlots and in riotious living than the man who served with his father and avoided all these excesses .-Morriston Ill. Independent.

-Thoreau scems to us a pert egotist, His conceit is something fearful. It is only met by the conceit of Margeret Fuller. "I now know all the people worth knowing in America, and I find no intellect comparable to my own," remarks the modest Margaret; whilst the placid Henry is satisfied with the prayer, "May I love and revere myself above all the gods that man ever invented." "God, I thank thee that I am not as other men are, industrious. prosperous, humane, appears to be the burden of all his say, ings and doings .- B. in Commonwealth.

-Man alone will never give us an airline route out of hell. Thank heaven, the real Eve was born in the Spiritual paradise of twenty-five years ago. And oh, how a sense of justice filled my soul, and quickened it, even as a Frenchman's ear to the sound of the Marseillaise, as I saw my sisters upon the platform, hurling their broken chains back in the faces of the tyrants, bullies and pimps of society. -Anthony Higgins Jr. in W. and C's

-New York is heaving on the subject of holiness and of money. As money goes down holiness goes up. This great people among whom I circulate is full of the elements of heaven and hell. Those elements cannot long remain together. hell will.-J.H. Noyes in 1837.

CARD,

I would warn all persons against believing the assertions contained in D. W. Hull's leader in no. 1 of Hull's Crucible." The charges there made are false and unjust in every particular.

A. BRIGGS DAVIS, Formerly Ed. Baltimore Crucible.

-A banker deserves death if he makes issues without having sufficient security to answer all demands, -John Law.

-Charles Sumner thinks the science of government is immensely indebted to the old Greek dramatist Euripides.

Question.

"Owen Moore has run away Owing more than he can pay."

#### THE NEW BEDFORD CONVENTION. The Evening Standard Report.

A mass convention was held in Liberty Hall, under the auspices of the New England Labor Reform League. Col. William B. Greene, of Brookline, a vice-president of the League, occupied the chair, and at the opening said the meeting was perfectly free to opponents as well was the friends of the movement. E. H. Heyers the friends of the movement. as the friends of the movement. E. H. Heywood, of Princeton, secretary of the League, was the first speaker. He said that he had once was the first speaker. He said that he had once addressed the Lyceum in this hall on the anti-slavery question, though with some others at that time he thought the movement was not full abolition, but only the amelioration of a condition of slavery. The labor reform movement is a part of the old struggle; the rightful earnings a part of the old struggle; the rightful earnings of the laborer are not now wrested from him by the lash, but in the way of speculation, interest rents, dividends, &c. We in New England have a more refined, cultivated and respectable way of taking the laborer's earnings. The employers by the strategy of vested rights obway of taking the laborer's earnings. The employers by the strategy of vested rights obtain without labor the fruits of labor, in such a way that perhaps the laborer does not know that he is robbed; at any rate he does not know how it is done. The convention was held on Sanday, because it was in the interest of reform and true religion, and because the churches desired. and true religion, and because the churches do not investigate this phase of religion. The ministers do not enlarge on the prohibition of interest, and repudiation of debtsonce in seven years, which are prominent bible doctrines. They are hired by the other side, and always support established sin, whatever may be their theological differences; the same as Lord Thurlow who said, "I go for the established church, and when you get your damned church established, I will support it."

Mr. Heywood presented the following resolu-

Resolved, That the labor reform movement is not a struggle for a ten or an eight hour law, a theory of finance or co-operation merely, but an effort to make equity the ruling principle of

Resolved, That since service is the source of wealth and the only equitable basis of exchange all property not founded on a labor title is robbery; that traffic in land for gain, interest on money, rents. dividends, and other species of speculative profit whereby value is accumulated without work, though very common and respectable methods of steading, are yet grave invasions of private right and public interest which government should no longer sanction.

Resolved, That since the purpose of legisla-tion should be to protect liberty and property, and since most existing laws are special devices to enable property holders to live on the earnings of the only rightal property owners, the working people, we think the watchword of labor reformers should be REPEAL; that property in land beyond the cost of improvements should be abolished; that, begining with the socalled national war debt which was paid long ago a'l nominal debts, the principal wherof has been returned in the form of interest, should be repudiated; and that municipal, state and na tional governments which cannot exist by voluntary support are an outrage upon a free peo-ple which should be summarily abandoned.

Resolved, That financial panies will continue, at intervals, to distress business interests so long as currency monopolies are allowed to constantly defraud labor; that what our people really need is Free Money, and we demand the repeal of the national banking law and all state ordinances which forbid individuals and associations to provide their own circulating medium on their own responsibility and at their own cost.

Resolved, That if churches have any real use, it is to instruct people in the knowledge and practice of Essential Right; and since existing religious institutions, whether evangelical, liberal or infidel, to the extent that they differ in theological opinions are agreed in supporting established sin, organized religion continues to be in the present, as it has always been in the past, a potent obstacle to human progress.

Resolved, That since the assumed right of men to govern wives, mothers and sisters with-out their consent is an odious relic of savage power, we favor the removal of the word "male" from the voting lists and the immediate abolition of the financial and social subjection in which men now think it best to keep

women. Dr. F. A. Palmer of New York presented the

Whereas, the existing relations between capittal and labor are of such a nature that the rich time whether we shall become a nation of millionaires and paupers, or a free and prosperous ration, whose equal rights bring happiness to all; and

Whereas, All reformers are earnestly seeking some method whereby this state of things can be remedied without producing disastrous results

either capitalist or laborer: and Whereas, The wealth of the laborer, or the accumulation of the laborer, in the existing state of things are limited to the strong law of necessity: therefore

Resolved, That it is only through the strong

hand of the law of the land limiting the accumulations or the wealth acquired through inerest on money, high rents on houses, and high and exorbitant prices on all article used by the people, and in short, the accumulations of all speculators, that even-handed justice can obtain between capital and labor.

Resolved That there shall be no limitation to ment i

Resolved, That there shall be no limitation to the accumulations of wealth through labor of either hand or brain, since the accumulations of labor can never reach a point disastrous to the That there shall be no limitation to

individual or society.

Resolved, That exorbitant interest on money, high rents, and extravagant prices for all of the necessaries of life, will cease when the strong hand of law limits the accumulation of wealth gained through speculation of individual, company, or corporation, since there will be no inducement on the part of these to obtain that which they know will through a system of taxation flow back into the treasury of the people, that is, the government

tion flow back into the treasure.

that is, the government.

L. K. Joslin of Providence, a vice-precident of the league, held that the present slaves in New England were worse off in some respects than the confidence of the South formerly were. The slave of the South formerly were. England were worse off in some respects than those of the South formerly were. The slave-holders were obligated to support their slaves through hard times and panies, but the mill owners will take no such responsibilities. They own the real estate, the banks, the physicians, the churches, the clergymen, the food, and in seasons of business stagnation the poor are deprived of them. The poor men of the East cannot secure good homes at the West under the homestead law, the privileged tew having lobbied in Congress until they have laid their hands on all the best lands of the public domain. Mr. Joslin proposed as a remedy, a system of graduated taxation, by which larger amounts of the ated taxation, by which larger amounts of the property of poor persons should be exempted from taxation, and the property of the very rich should be doubly taxed. The government should hold all the land, and provide house-lots for the poor without above. for the poor without charge. The government might appropriately take it, for nobody has a clear title to his land. The broadest humanity would go farther than this; it would regard the neighbor as a friend and not an enemy, and

demand the abolition of rents and interests.

Mr. Heywood read from his newspaper, the
Word, published at Princeton, an anonymous plan for a new political party, so visionary that the author himself did not indorse it. The writer was somewhat profane, and Mr. Heywood said he was not in the habit of erasing profane expressions from contributions to his sheet. The state of society was so atrocious that a righte-ous man cannot help swearing. Swearing of course shows that a man is in a tight place, but lying and praying show that he is in a tighter place. The principal principles of the proposed new party are strict construction, and specially that the executive and legislative departments of the State government shall not be controlled by the judicial, but that the three shall be equal and co-ordinate in accordance with the 30th article of the bill of rights; that the prohibitory liquor law is uuconstitu ional, and should be supplanted by a stringen, license law the anonymous Solon being of opinion that for every pauper made by drunkenness, ten drunkends are made by poverty; and generally that every man "should do as he damn pleased." Mrs. Heywood objected to her husband's

shameful resolution on the woman question, be-cause in its opening it made no reference to women who have no male relatives. Such women are at the mercy of their employers, if the employers know their circumstances. Has my hasband, said Mrs. Heywood, any more right to be a rascal towards a friendless girl than he would like the supreme rascal that can be found to be toward our daughter? If a new system of government is to be formed, "us girls" musn't

Mrs. E. L. Daniels of New York took the platform, and said she was an orphan at four years of age and she had never had cause to blush for the treatment of any man of her orphanage. She believed in men, and said they were oppres sed by women, as much as women are oppres-sed by them. But she did not intend to speak on the women question, and she went on to address herself to the general question. She argued that most of the debts of the world were no better than gambling debts, and should be no better protected by law; and that the accu-mulation of wealth snould be limited by law to a fixed amount. She did not mean to say that all capitalists are oppressors, or that all work-

ingmen are gods.

The chairman said Mr. Heywood's idea of doing away with the idea of property except as the result of labor was in his opinion cut too Suppose a savage cuts a branch and makes a bow of it, it is transcendentalism to say when the bow is finished that the Indian owns the work he has put into it and not the material of which it is made. Mr. Heywood's ideas of property he thought would lead to anarchy, and Mrs. Daniels's to despotism. The possessing of property was right, but the stealing of it was a damage to the people. The labor reformer's enemy is not property; but shoddy.

Daniel Ricketson welcomed the visitors who had come from abroad to discuss the labor quesare growing alarmingly rich and the poor abject tion. He thought the oppression of manufacin their poverty, until it is only a question of turing corporation was as oad as that of a landed anytocracy. He mourned over the hardships of the operatives, but believed that in God's good time the condition of the working\*classes would be made right. One great means for accomplishing the desired end was the substitu-tion of free trade for so-called protection.

THE AFTERNOON SESSION Opened with the reading by Benj. R. Tucker of letters from Rev C. A. Bartol and Edwin M. Chamberlin of Boston, and J. K. Ingalls of New Mr. Ingalls thought banks and other issuers of currency ought to pay interest on their

S. H. Morse, of Boston, gave an address on the World's Peace. The world is drifting into The World's Peace. The world is drifting into that position. Arbitration as a means to settle national difficulties is applauded. Some say we shall have peace when we become better and do right. But who knows what is right? That is a nard question. A republican form of govern-ment is one step in advance, and only one. The cry is now not the people against the king, but the individual against the people. The law is always a denial of the liberty of conscience, which cannot be exercised under a king or a

The majority principle is despotism. majority. The majority principle is despotism. The dignity of the citizen means peace. The good shepherd leaves the ninety-nine and goes in search of the one. That one is the text. He has been punished, martyred hundreds of times, and been the victim of physicians, the generous and philanthropic, while the true course is to let him alone, and the undiscovered secret is how to do it. The peace of universal righteousness, and not the peace enforced by the law is what to do it. The peace of universal righteousness, and not the peace enforced by the law, is what we want. It is not to be gained by the exercise of governmental force, but by practise in peaceful arts. The millennium is not coming up of itself; we must work up to it. We are not yet ready to give up law and trust that every one will be a peaceful law unto himself; we must wait for this till we are satisfied it is the best card we can play. Added to self-government, Mr. Morse said the individual ought to be made secure in the possession of his earnings. When the rights of individuals are universally respected, the world will be at peace, and the expensive ed, the world will be at peace, and the expensive governmental institutions may be abolished. The worst agrarians are the capitalists: they take the earnings of the laborers, who in the agitation of to-day only demand their rights. The gradual taxation system will be unnecessary when Mr. Morse's system of universal peace

Mr. Heywood pitched into the phase of the labor reform movement which would exclude the Chinese, the Irish and Germans. On this principle the Indians ought to push out the rankees. The labor reformers are striving to benefit the capitalists, who are in the abject condition of living on other people's earnings, being thieves without knowing it.

Dr. Palmer held that woman suffrage, co-operation, and other favorite schemes, would not be sufficient to obviate the evils complained of. The true remedy is to guarantee work at good

The true remedy is to guarantee work at good prices to every person. With this, nobody can be oppressed by a capitalist, and nobody will pay rent, for all will receive earnings enough to buy houses. Graduated taxation, in Dr. Palmer's view, should be placed at 100 per cent. on all accumulations of speculation above a fixed amount: but should not affect the accumulations of workingmen. The people must make their of workingmen. The people must make their their own laws. It will not do to elect officers to make the laws, giving them power of attorney for a term of years, a practice which in any other business would be a sign of lunacy.

#### IN THE EVENING.

Dr. Palmer further addressed the audience He said that every man had a natural-born right to a good living, not affected by a constant anxiety as to how it is to be gained. But the law makes the strong stronger by taking a large share of the earnings of the weak. They yield obedience to the government, and they pay taxes even if they starve, to support navies and armies, and officials in luxury. Dr. Palmer did not favor a community of property, or anything but interests. The surplus wealth of the country should be heavily taxed to furnish work for the

Mr. Heywood said the workingman pays for

the ruler, the lawyer, the doctor, the minister, and the soldier. He is at the bottom of the heap

and the soldier. He is at the bottom of the heap and society needs turning over. Eight per cent. of the people own half of the property. If any person possesses more property than he earned, somebody else has less property than he has earned, and the former is a thief. Mr. Heywood did not ask for an equal division of property, but an for an equitable division of property to those who have a right to it. If a millionaire falls overboard, a workingman may demand \$950.000 overboard, a workingman may demand \$950,000 to pull him out. He will not give it, and goes down, but coming up again, is forced to come to terms. But no such claim could be collected in court. The rescuer would only be compensated for his labor and risk. So the coal dealer has no right to put up the price on account of the part. right to put up the price on account of the people's necessities when there happens to be a short supply. Instead of paying interest for money, people ought to be paid for taking care of it and insuring its safe return when called for. The government is taxing the poor Peters outside who work ten or twelve hours, to pay a few lucky Pauls who work only eight hours in government employ. Mr. Heywood did not believe in the ten-hour law for corporations unless it was made to apply to men as well as to women right to put up the price on account of the peowas made to apply to men as well as to women and children; for it should at least be consistently tyrannical. Some of the temperance men think that habits of drinking are one of the principal causes of the poverty of workingmen.

Mr. Heywood did not accept this, for the loafers and the capitalists were the hardest drinkers. He insisted that if the chairman's Indian sold his bow for more than the value of the labor he had expended on it, he was a cheat. It would not be right for him to add anything as the val-

ue of the branch before it was cut Mrs. Daniels said a law for the limitation of profits works for the limited corporation and the public. One of the New York ferry lines is limited by its charter to a fixed rate of dividends. The consequence is that the surplus has been spent in improvements, making it the most popular line in the harbor, so that its fares have to be reduced to one-quarter the amount charged by other lines to keep the profits down. Mrs. Daniels explained her religious position, which embraced first a faith in Jesus Christ as the author of all good including the expected reform in labor, and second that he works by means of the spirits of our departed friends. Whatever m labor, and second that he works by means or the spirits of our departed friends. Whatever crimes one might commit, she believed his spir-it would not be corrupted by them, but would return to the Father as pure as it was created. Mr. Joslin made a few remarks on individual sovereignty, the right of all, but obtained only

by a few.

Mr- Heywood denounced the Republican and Democratic parties as being committed to the evil financial policy in vogue, and argued the necessity for sustaining the Laboz Reform Party.

The convention then abjourned sine die. Labor, Finance, Land. Government. THE BLAZING STAR:

With an Appendix treating of the Jewish Kabbala. Also a Tract on The Philosophy or Mr. Herbert Spencer, and one on New England Transcendentalism. By William B. Greene. This Book fights the battle of Labor Reform in the arena of pure metaphysics, on the question of the Human Soul, and Human Solidarity; showing the "Darwinian Theory", as it respects the battle for life in human society, to be the philosophy of Civilized Cannibalism, Malthusian Plutocracy and the worst form of Prosessing Mr. thusian Plutocracy and the worst form of Prussian Bismarkism. It is a profoundly able work which scholars and other thinkers will find it their interest to consult. Price \$1.50. Also by the same author,

MUTUAL BANKING: Showing how Interest on Money can be abolished by Free Competition. Sixth thousand. Price 25 cents.

YOURS OR MINE;—An Essay to show the True Basis of Property and the causes of its Unequal Distribution. Twentieth Thousand. By E. H. Heywood: Also, by the same,

UNCIVIL LIBERTY:—Revealing the Injustice and Impolicy of Ruling Woman without her Consent. Sixtieth Thousand. Price 15 cents.

LAND AND LABOR:—Their relations in nature—How violated by monopoly. By J. K. INGALLS. Price 10 cents.

TRUE CIVILIZATION:-A subject of vital and serious interest to all people; but most immediately to the men and women of labor and sorrow. By Josiah Warren. Price 50 cents.

NO TREASON-THE CONSTITUTION OF NO AU THORITY:—A startling exposure of the Frauds Usurpations and Tyrannies practiced on the people through our present Federal, State and Municipal governments. By Lysander Spooner. Price 25 cents.

NEW MONETARY SYSTEM: By Edward Kellogg: Being the original statement and an elaborate exposition of the financial principles now proclaimed by the National Labor Union. Price in cloth, \$1.25, in paper 75 cents.

INTERNATIONAL ADDRESS. An elaborate, comprehensive, and very entertaining Exposi-

comprehensive, and very entertaining Exposi-tion of the Principles of The Working People's International Association: Together with the Publishers' Notice of the History of the In-Publishers Notice of the History of the International and other Interesting matter. A Stirring and Instructive Indication of the Drift and Purpose of that world-wide and ominous Agitation known as The Labor Movement. Price 15 cents.

HE WORKING WOMEN. A letter to the Bev. Henry W. Foots, minister of King's Chapel, In Vindication of the Poorer Class of the Boston Working Women. By WILLIAM B. GREENE, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Labor Reform League. Price

Any or all of these books sent post paid on receipt of price. Liberal deductions at wholesale.

CO-OPERATIVE PUBLISHING CO

#### MARRIAGE AND COMMUNISM.

The Principles of the Oneida Community regarding Love, Marriage and Reproduction, explained by its distinguished founder, in the following works, will be interesting to all students of social re-

Scientific Propagation: An essay by John H. Noyes; with an Appendix containing a Health Report of the Oneida Community, by T. R. Noyes, M. D.: Large Octavo Pamphlet 32 pages. Price 25 cents, Postage 4 cents.

Male Continence: by J. H. Noyes, 24 pages Octavo. Price 25 cents, Postage 4 cents. For sale by Co-operative Publishing Co., Princeton, Mass.

# MORAL PHYSIOLOGY A PLAIN TREATISE ON POPULATION.

# ROBERT DALE OWEN.

This work is one of the first import ance, not only as a reply to Malthus, but also as supplying to every father and mother of a family the knowledge by which, without injury to health or violence to the moral feeling, any further increase which is not desired may be prevented, more especially in cases where the mother, or the diminished income of the father, imperatively advises no furth er addition to the number of offspring

This work is illustrated with a frontipiece. Price including postage, 68 cent :. Address Co-operative Publishing Co. PRINCETON, MASS.

-It would not be more unjust to deny one the privileges of citizenship because he had large ears or small hands than it is to deny woman the ballot because she is a woman. - Wm. B. Wright.