

# Mufon UFO Journal

Official Publication of the Mutual UFO Network Since 1967

Number 264

April 1990

\$2.50



A GULF BREEZE "CROP CIRCLE"?

Carol & Rex Salisbury Report

## MUFON UFO JOURNAL

(USPS 002-970)  
- (ISSN 0270-6822)  
103 Oldtowne Rd.  
Seguin, Texas 78155-4099 U.S.A.

**DENNIS W. STACY**  
Editor

**WALTER H. ANDRUS, JR.**  
International Director and  
Associate Editor

**THOMAS P. DEULEY**  
Art Director

**MILDRED BIESELE**  
Contributing Editor

**ANN DRUFFEL**  
Contributing Editor

**ROBERT J. GRIBBLE**  
Columnist

**ROBERT H. BLECHMAN**  
Public Relations

**PAUL CERNY**  
Promotion / Publicity

**MARGE CHRISTENSEN**  
Public Education

**REV. BARRY DOWNING**  
Religion and UFOs

**LUCIUS FARISH**  
Books & Periodicals

**LOREN GROSS**  
Historian

**T. SCOTT CRAIN**  
GREG LONG

**MICHAEL D. SWORDS**  
Staff Writers

**TED PHILLIPS**  
Landing Trace Cases

**JOHN F. SCHUESSLER**  
Medical Cases

**LEONARD STRINGFIELD**  
UFO Crash / Retrieval

**WALTER N. WEBB**  
Astronomy

**NORMA E. SHORT**  
DWIGHT CONNELLY

**DENNIS HAUCK**  
RICHARD H. HALL

**ROBERT V. PRATT**  
Editor / Publishers Emeritus  
(Formerly SKYLOOK)

The MUFON UFO JOURNAL is published monthly by the Mutual UFO Network, Inc., Seguin, Texas. Membership / Subscription rates: \$25.00 per year in the U.S.A.; \$30.00 foreign in U.S. funds. Copyright 1990 by the Mutual UFO Network. Second class postage paid at Seguin, Texas. POSTMASTER: Send form 3579 to advise change of address to the MUFON UFO JOURNAL, 103 Oldtowne Rd., Seguin, Texas 78155-4099.

## FROM THE EDITOR

This issue we catch up on our Current Case Log, Carol and Rex Salisbury report on a strange swirl found in vegetation near Gulf Breeze, Florida, and all our departments make their regular appearances. Now, if we could just get back to our regular publishing schedule!

Meanwhile, for those who have written in and found some of the new format confusing, a word about Bob Gribble's "Looking Back" column: The column always refers to the same month as the Journal in which it appears. Thus, in this issue, it begins with April of 1950 (forty years ago). A black box at the head of a subsequent paragraph represents an entirely separate sighting, but one which also occurred in the same year (and month). Thereafter, only the changes in years will be indicated, in bold type, as in 1955, 1960, 1965, and so on. Again, all incidents in a given column will have occurred during the same month in which it appears, regardless of the year involved.

## IN THIS ISSUE

|                                       |   |    |
|---------------------------------------|---|----|
| SWIRLED LANDING TRACE? .....          | Carol & Rex Salisbury   | 3  |
| MJ-12: REBUTTING A "REBUTTAL" .....   | Stanton Friedman  | 7  |
| NEWS'N'VIEWS .....                    |   | 10 |
| IN OTHERS' WORDS .....                | Lucius Farish   | 13 |
| CURRENT CASE LOG .....                | Dan Wright  | 14 |
| HISTORICAL NOTES: THOMAS MANTELL..... | William E. Jones  | 18 |
| LOOKING BACK .....                    | Bob Gribble   | 19 |
| LETTERS .....                         |   | 21 |
| THE NIGHT SKY .....                   | Walter Webb   | 22 |
| DIRECTOR'S MESSAGE.....               | Walt Andrus   | 24 |
| COVER PHOTOGRAPH.....                 | Duane Cook<br>Editor & Publisher, <i>Gulf Breeze Sentinel</i> |    |

Copyright 1990 by the Mutual UFO Network, Inc. (MUFON), 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, Texas 78155-4099 U.S.A.

### ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form by photostat, microfilm, xerograph, or any other means, without the written permission of the Copyright Owners.

The Mutual UFO Network, Inc. is exempt from Federal Income Tax under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code. MUFON is a publicly supported organization of the type described in Section 509 (a) (2). Donors may deduct contributions from their Federal Income Tax. In addition, bequests, legacies, devises, transfers, or gifts are deductible for Federal estate and gift tax purposes if they meet the applicable provisions of Sections 2055, 2106, and 2522 of the code.

The contents of the MUFON UFO JOURNAL are determined by the editor and do not necessarily represent the official position of MUFON. Opinions of contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the editor, the staff or MUFON. Articles may be forwarded directly to MUFON. Responses to published articles may be in a Letter to the Editor (up to about 400 words) or in a short article (up to about 2,000 words). Thereafter, the "50% rule" is applied: the article author may reply but will be allowed half the wordage used in the response; the responder may answer the author but will be allowed half the wordage used in the author's reply, etc. All submissions are subject to editing for style, clarity, and conciseness. Permission is hereby granted to quote from this issue provided not more than 200 words are quoted from any one article, the author of the article is given credit, and the statement "Copyright 1990 by the Mutual UFO Network, 103 Oldtowne Rd., Seguin, Texas 78155" is included.

# SWIRLED LANDING TRACE?

By Carol & Rex Salisbury

The Gulf Breeze plot continues to thicken. For additional recent sightings in the area, consult this issue's Current Case Log. The following report concerns itself with a circle of swirled grass first found on November 15th of last year. Parallels, as well as differences, with the English "crop circle" phenomenon are obvious.

There have been no reports of UFO sightings in the area of the possible landing site since 2 November 1989. However, it is possible that sightings have occurred but have not been reported. The *Gulf Breeze Sentinel* published a report of the finding of the swirl in the Thanksgiving week issue, and it was hoped that this article might stimulate the reporting of possible sightings. One sighting was reported for the evening of 15 November, which was after the discovery of the possible landing site was reported.

### Chronology

At about 10:00 a.m. on 15 November 1989, an unidentified caller reported his discovery of a swirl in the grass at Shoreline Park to WEAR TV in Pensacola. Mr. Gene Kirkconnell, an employee of WEAR, took the call and recorded the information.

Mr. Kirkconnell related that the caller had a spry, young, male voice, and guessed the caller's age to be in his 20's or 30's. The man related that he had seen reports of swirls in the grass having been found in England and believed that he might have discovered one in Shoreline Park. He gave directions on how to find the swirl and hung up without providing his identity.

Mr. Kirkconnell shared the information with Mr. Mark Curtis, also an employee of WEAR, who has previously been active in reporting

UFO phenomena for his employer. During the evening of 15 November, Mr. Curtis passed the information to Mr. Ed Walters, a resident of Gulf Breeze whose extensive UFO experiences have been reported to MUFON.


During the morning of Thursday, 16 November, Mr. Walters called the Editor of the *Gulf Breeze Sentinel*, Mr. Duane Cook, to report the swirl, and to request that Mr. Cook accompany him to find the site. Mr. Walters stated to the investigators that he did not want to visit the scene alone because of safety considerations, (there is a swampy area just to the north of the site which is probably snake infested) and to avoid undue criticism of himself, i.e., that he might somehow be responsible for the swirl.

Mr. Cook and Mr. Walters took cameras and a ladder to the scene to inspect the site and to take photos for the newspaper. (Copies of these photos were provided to the investigators and are included with the

report.) Mr. Walters also reported the discovery of the swirl to Mr. Charles Flannigan, Pensacola MUFON Director, who assigned the case to the investigators. Mr. Cook and Mr. Walters are the first visitors to the scene who have been identified.

At approximately 9:30 a.m. on Friday, 17 November, Mr. Walters, accompanied by Mr. Robert Reid, a resident of Ft. Walton Beach, again visited the site. Mr. Reid attempted to duplicate the swirl in a grassy area similar to that of the original area. A video tape was made of this attempt. Additional color photos were made at the same time.

The investigators, in the company of Mr. Ray Griffin of Gulf Breeze, first visited the site about mid-day on Saturday, 18 November. Photographs were made and an inspection of the immediate area was accomplished, but it was evident that the initial conditions at the site had been compromised by the numerous visitors to the scene. The investigators went to



Ed Walters examines Gulf Breeze swirl site.

the scene again on Monday, 20 November, at about 3 p.m. with Mr. Donald Ledbetter, of the Santa Rosa County Radiology Office. On Tuesday, 21 November, at about 1 p.m. the investigators again returned to the scene with Mr. Dan Mullins of the Santa Rosa County Extension Service.

### Site Description

*Mr. Walters' Description:* When Mr. Cook and Mr. Walters searched for the location, they had some difficulty in finding it. They at first thought that they would need to approach it from the swampy area to the north, but soon gave that up as impractical. They next walked along the beach until they came to the sign indicating the end of the park and as described by the anonymous person who first reported the swirl to WEAR.

They searched around the immediate vicinity of the sign for a time without success and then widened their search back to the east along the way that they had come. Mr. Cook was the first of the two to find the swirl and called Mr. Walters to the spot. Both were careful so as not to disturb the scene and hamper investigation.

Mr. Walters described the circle as being uniformly 7 feet 8 inches in diameter. The grass inside of the circle was swirled in a consistent clock-wise direction with the spirals becoming gradually tighter toward the center. The center itself contained a clump of finer-bladed grass than the rest of the circle, which was coiled very tightly.

The grass outside of the circle was undisturbed, with a sharp line of demarcation between it and the grass inside of the circle, which was uniformly matted down. He could see no evidence outside of the circle to indicate that it might have been man-made, e.g. a path leading to the site or grass being trampled down from the use of equipment. He inspected the interior of the circle for physical evidence of man's intervention and could find none. He was particularly attentive to the center of the circle and lifted the coiled grass (see photo) to



see if the ground had been disturbed by some physical object. They found no evidence of same.

When Mr. Walters and Mr. Reid returned to the site on Friday, they attempted to make a swirl in grass similar to that where the original was found, to assess the difficulty and feasibility of such an effort. (This attempt was recorded on video tape.)

Mr. Walters is convinced that it would take significantly more effort than they exerted to duplicate the original swirl. The grass would not lay flat but would spring back up as soon as pressure was taken off of it. Because of this attempt and the lack of other physical evidence, he believes it improbable that the original swirl was man-made.

He also doubts that the swirl occurred from natural phenomena, e.g., a whirlwind or a water spout, since there was no evidence of a track of such phenomena arriving or leaving the scene. He offers no other opinion on how the swirl could have been made.

*Mr. Cook's Description:* Around mid-day on Thursday, 16 November. Mr. Walters called to relate the news of the discovery and to ask that Mr. Cook accompany him to the scene. Mr. Cook agreed and they went to Shoreline Park that afternoon to in-

spect the site and to take photos. They had some difficulty in finding the location, but Mr. Cook eventually spotted it in the high grass. He could see no paths leading up to it or any other man-made disturbances in the surrounding grass.

The swirl itself was a sharply defined circle in the high grass, with the grass outside of the circle standing undisturbed and that inside lying flat in a clock-wise spiral. The spirals of grass gradually tightened toward the center with the exact center (of much finer grass than the rest of the circle) coiled very tightly. He observed and photographed lifting the center coil to check for disturbances in the ground underneath. He related that neither he nor Mr. Walters could find any evidence of physical disturbance to the ground inside or outside of the circle. Mr. Cook would offer no opinion as to how the swirl was created, but indicated that he is reluctant to believe that it was either man-made or created by weather phenomena.

*Mr. Reid's Description:* Mr. Reid received a call from Mr. Walters during the morning of 16 November, and agreed to accompany Mr. Walters to the scene the following morning. They arrived at the park on Friday morning at about 9:30 a.m. and took some color photos and video tape of


the site. Mr. Reid described the swirl as a sharply defined circle in the grass which they measured to be uniformly 7 feet 8 inches in diameter.

Outside of the circle the grass appeared straight and undisturbed while inside it was uniformly matted down in a clock-wise spiral. The center of the circle, where finer bladed grass was located, was coiled very tightly.

He could see no physical disturbance either inside or outside of the circle to indicate that the swirl was man-made, although he could see the indentations in the sand where Mr. Cook and Mr. Walters had used a step-ladder the day before while taking pictures. He and Mr. Walters attempted to create a swirl in a nearby patch of similar grass by trampling it down with their feet, but even after considerable effort he deemed the process to be unsuccessful. The grass would tend to spring back up and not lay flat as in the case of the original swirl.

Mr. Reid does not believe that the original swirl was man-made or caused by weather phenomena since there is no physical evidence to indicate either. He could not, however, offer any other explanation as to how the swirl was created.

### The Investigation



***The center itself contained a clump of finer-bladed grass than the rest of the circle, which was coiled very tightly. The grass outside the circle was undisturbed, with a sharp line of demarcation between it and the grass inside of the circle, which was uniformly matted down.***

The investigators first visited the scene in the early afternoon of Saturday, 18 November. It was apparent that there had been numerous visitors to the scene, since there was a path leading from the beach to the site and the grass around the swirl had been somewhat trampled down. It was still evident, however, that there was a sharp line of demarcation between the standing grass outside of the circle and the flattened clock-wise spiral of grass inside. The center was still a tight coil, although it was apparent that the coil had been disturbed by other persons.

The center of the circle was located 37 feet to the NNW from the closest point of the high water line on the beach. It measured to be uniformly 7 feet 8 inches in diameter. The grasses all seemed to be bent over at ground level although the ground was uneven with the NW sector being slightly lower than the other area inside of the circle. The growth of heavier bushes and foliage begins about 8-10 feet to the NNW of the circle.

A search for debris, which might have been ejected from the swirl, was made of the surrounding area. Two pieces of house-wall insulation were found to the NNW at about 20 feet from the center of the circle. The pieces were 4 x 12 inches and were supported about a foot above the ground by the underlying foliage.





*Attempt by Cook and Walters to recreate swirl.*

Subsequently, a search for similar debris was made for a distance of 500 yards along the beach. Only one other incidence of debris supported by the underlying foliage was found. This again involved housing insulation of the same type, and a larger quantity of the insulation material was found lying on the ground just to the south of the second location.

It is noteworthy that the Gulf Breeze/Pensacola area suffered severe storms during the night of 15 November which could account for the presence of the debris as it was found.

### **No Radiation**

Mr. Donald Ledbetter of the Santa Rosa County Radiology Office was taken to the scene during the afternoon of 20 November to check for residual radiation. A CD 700 Meter, manufactured by the Victoreen Instrument Company of Cleveland, Ohio, was used. The instrument was last calibrated in June of 1988 and fresh batteries were installed prior to the survey. A background check was made in a ten foot circle around the center of the swirl. No evidence of residual radiation was detected.

Mr. Dan Mullins of the Santa Rosa County Extension Service was taken to the scene during the afternoon of 21 November. When he first saw the swirl he remarked that it appeared that it might have been caused by a whirlwind. He later admitted, however, that he had never personally examined a swirl caused by a whirlwind and could not now state that a whirlwind was the cause of the swirl in question.

He thoroughly examined the grasses both inside and outside of the swirl and could find no damage to either roots or stems of the plants. He also noted that even the blades on the grass were intact which would not have been probable had the grass been flattened by a physical object of some sort. He took numerous soil samples from both inside and outside of the affected area and a report will be submitted to the investigators when the analysis is completed.

The investigators last visited the site on Monday, 27 November. The grasses in the original swirl were still flattened down and appeared to be alive and healthy. The grasses in the swirl created by Mr. Walters and Mr. Reid have recovered significantly toward their original vertical positions. When

first made this swirl bore only slight resemblance to the original and now even that is gone.

Mr. Cook was interviewed in his office at the *Gulf Breeze Sentinel* on 28 November. Mr. Walters was interviewed briefly in-person at Shoreline Park on 20 November and twice by telephone. Mr. Reid was interviewed by telephone on 30 November. Mr. Curtis, Mr. Kirkconnell and others were interviewed by telephone. The photos attached to this report were provided by Mr. Cook and Mr. Walters.

The investigators have seen swirls similar to this one on Dead Man's Island (on the north side of Gulf Breeze) during the summer and fall of 1988. They took scant notice of them at the time since they were not then involved with MUFON and UFO investigations.

The investigators agree with the three primary witnesses that, although it might be possible for this swirl to have been man-made, the probability of such origin is slight. The probability of the swirl being caused by weather phenomena is also judged to be small, in that the circle just seems too perfect to be the product of mother nature. A whirlwind or water spout would have needed to arrive and depart with great precision to achieve the results in evidence.

No conclusions can be drawn from the debris found in the immediate area of the swirl. There is a high probability that it was deposited in the bushes during the storm of 15 November or a previous one. If the material had been deposited during the formation of the swirl, it would be difficult to hypothesize a trajectory since the debris is of such low density.

*Evaluation:* Unknown, Significant (Possible Landing Trace)

**MUFON**

# MJ-12: Rebutting a "Rebuttal"

By Stanton T. Friedman

I am frankly astonished at Robert Todd's 35-paragraph "MJ-12 Rebuttal" (Ref. 1) with its repeated *ad hominem* attacks and its massive misrepresentation of both my views and the facts concerning MJ-12. It seems strange indeed that the only one of my many papers (Ref. 2-9) referenced is a brief progress report (Ref. 9) to the Fund For UFO Research not even intended for publication!

Surely no reasonable person can consider Todd's tirade as a "rebuttal," which would make it a refutation of arguments made by me, not of false ones dreamed up by Todd and put in my mouth. Let me be specific about some of his false charges.

- Todd claims that I want and expect people to believe that: a) Elements within MJ-12 had me under surveillance; b) MJ-12 ordered the CT memo stolen; c) MJ-12 ordered the CT memo planted; d) that MJ-12 then went to Ethiopia to pick up postcards; and e) that MJ-12 flew to New Zealand to mail these postcards!!

What an imagination, since I have written none of these. The post office box 189 was added to the postcard. I would think that Todd is aware that postcards can be sent from one place to another in envelopes.

- Todd further claims that Moore and Shandera (M/S) weren't at the National Archives (NA) to find the CT memo. They were certainly there because the postal cards (read to me over the phone as we tried to solve the riddles thereon) and my input strongly indicated they should go to Washington, DC. They did not realize that the "Box 189, Addis Ababa" was a tipoff to Box 189 in which the memo was found.

Todd neglects to mention that I visited the Archives in March of 1985, and had a number of subsequent conversations with Jo Ann Williamson

and her boss, Edwin Thompson, *Director of the Records Declassification Division* at the NA, as well as with Ed Reese. Todd doesn't mention that there were at least half a dozen 4-person teams of USAF reservists, with the highest possible clearances, spending many months reviewing the USAF HQ Intelligence files, including Box 189, and in Top Secret Facilities where they could bring briefcases; that the box was first handled just 2 weeks *after* the death of the last reputed surviving MJ-12 member, and last handled *less* than 2 weeks before it was reviewed by M/S.

This is all spelled out in my 30-page 1989 MUFON Conference paper (Ref. 4) which Todd never references. The insertion, then, of a one page onionskin memo by a highly cleared USAF person is no big deal. Todd's elaborate fictional confabulation is absurd. M/S called me the day the memo was discovered and read it to me.

- Todd claims that M/S and I had long ago identified the most likely MJ-12 people. Nonsense. Donald Menzel's name on the list was a shock that made us very wary of the document, as demonstrated by the fact that it was not released when received in Dec. 1984, or even after the discovery of the CT memo in July of 1985, but rather in the Spring of 1987.

- Todd claims that M/S/F seem to feel that if a document can't be proven fake, then it must be real. My articles clearly indicate the opposite, and suggest that proving the anti-arguments wrong does *not* make the documents genuine, as I have written over and over. I have *not* said the MJ-12 documents are genuine.

- Todd amazingly claims that the Eisenhower Library believes the CT memo is a fake! I have made numerous visits to the EL, and have

had considerable correspondence and phone conversations with them as well as with the NA which quotes the EL. No such claim has been made! I must also give the EL and the NA credit for changing one of their earlier claims (Ref. 10) that *all* of Robert Cutler's carbon copies were done on paper with a certain watermark, after I pointed out several onionskin Cutler memo copies having no watermark. They do make mistakes.

- Since Todd has never been to the EL or NA, it is perhaps not surprising that his tirade about finding other onionskin copies on the same watermark paper as the CT memo and related comments is so foolish.

I will repeat what I have published elsewhere: that the EL does *not* have all the NSC materials from the Ike era, and that what it does have totals at least 250,000 pages, much of which has not yet been reviewed for classification and is totally inaccessible.

The titles of file folders are included in 11 different "finders aids," totalling, as I recall, over 100 pages. One can order at most 18 archive boxes at a time. Only one box can be opened at a time, and only one folder can be taken out of a box at a time.

Often an entire box would contain only withdrawal sheets, each listing, in very brief form, 10 or 12 documents still classified. If one wants a specific document classification reviewed, (i.e., Lay-to-Cutler memo, secret, July 16, 1954, 1 page) one lists it on long form. Within a week or two the EL will file a formal request for mandatory classification with the appropriate agency (CIA, FBI, or, worst of all, the NSC). Typically, the average response time for the NSC is 2.5 years!

Finally, on March 6, 1990, I received my first response dealing with the one-page Lay-Cutler, July 16, 1954, formally secret *message* I re-

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL  
WASHINGTON

~~RESTRICTED~~ (1)  
SECURITY INFORMATION



March 17, 1953

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

*also note:  
2 different  
WPC/A*  
*note  
STH*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Recommendations Regarding the National Security Council

At the direction of the President his enclosed letter and its attachments consisting of a memorandum for the President from Mr. Cutler and his report on the subject are circulated herewith for the information and guidance of the National Security Council. Special attention is called to the third paragraph of the President's letter, about which Mr. Cutler will confer with you individually,

JAMES S. LAY, Jr.  
Executive Secretary

- cc: The Secretary of the Treasury
- The Acting Director of Defense Mobilization
- The Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
- The Director of Central Intelligence
- The Director, Bureau of the Budget

DECLASSIFIED  
Authored: NSC Memo 11/30/51  
By: DTG NLE Date 2/18/82

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

**Donald Menzel's name was a shock that made us very wary of the document.**

quested in February of 1988. This is the date of the "previously scheduled meeting" noted in the CT memo. The CT memo is dated July 14, 1954, the same date on which Lay (who I believe prepared the memo) met with Eisenhower at 2:30 p.m., and then had a brief telephone conversation with him at 4:35 p.m.

The message (transmitted electronically by White House Secretary, General Paul Carroll) reviewed the items discussed at the July 15 NSC meeting and concludes with these two lines: "Hope you will recuperate, rest and enjoy yourself for few days before returning. Will try to have everything tidy and not too much pressure upon you when you arrive." Clearly Cutler and Lay were in touch and Lay was handling things for Cutler.

Since the briefing says "one-of-one," it hardly seems likely that a copy of it or anything else connected with MJ-12 would be at the EL. No archivist there has gone though all or most of the EL NSC material. Since much of the material in the NSC files is still classified, and the EL search of Todd involved only a modest sample of unclassified materials, it is not very likely that the EL would find much for the time in question.

Perhaps I should mention that the EL had also been unable to find a copy of one of the other two Cutler-Twining memos found at the Library of Congress Manuscript Division, nor of a memo Dr. Menzel claims went to Eisenhower, nor of a TS memo dated April 6, 1959, noted in a censored TS memo-to-file from Gordon Gray, same date and relating to an unspecified 5412/2 (Covert) activity.

The primary job of EL archivists is to retrieve Archive boxes for researchers, not to paw through huge files in vaults. Perhaps Todd is unaware that all EL file folders are in typically 6" wide, covered archive boxes, not in file drawers, and that

one only sees Xerox copies of censored items.

One of Todd's worst misrepresentations, however, relates to the security markings on the CT memo and on others from the NSC. TOP SECRET RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION is on CT (Todd neglects the SI). On a letter from Eisenhower to Cutler dated March 17, 1953, are typed "Restricted Security Information" at the top, "Restricted" at the bottom and, rubber stamped in large letters, "CONFIDENTIAL" at both top and bottom.

On a memo to the NSC from Lay, same date, are rubber stamped "RESTRICTED" in big letters and "SECURITY INFORMATION" in small letters at the top and "CONFIDENTIAL" top and bottom in big letters. (See previous page.)

There is no downgrading stamp, only the declassification dated 2/18/82 on both items. Based on my own extensive experience with the combination of "SECRET RESTRICTED DATA" and "Confidential Restricted Data," if the documents had been downgraded during their working life from Confidential to Restricted SI, there would have to have been a downgrading stamp on them. If Confidential can be used with Restricted Security Information, then so can SECRET and TOP SECRET.

Perhaps I should add that anybody reviewing thousands of documents at the EL or NA, or the other 12 Archives I have visited, quickly becomes accustomed to a huge variety of security markings. The size of the type, whether printed or rubber stamped, the location on the paper, whether Top or Bottom or just one, all vary. Not being either psychic, as Todd seems to be, nor a psychiatrist, I cannot provide an explanation for Todd's (to use his words) "gross deception, gross incompetence, spurious evaluations and assessments" or lack of objectivity.

Considering Todd has never been responsible for classified material, nor visited any archives, his lack of familiarity with procedures, document

markings, etc., might be forgiven.

I can find no excuse however, for the *ad hominem* attacks, nor for his obvious great distress concerning the documents. Me thinks he doth protest too much ... "sound and fury signifying nothing."

**References**

1. Todd, G. "MJ-12 Rebuttal" MUFON UFO Journal, No. 261, Jan. 1990, 17-20.
2. Friedman, S.T. "MJ-12: The Evidence So Far" International UFO Reporter, Sept./Oct. 1987, pp. 13-20 (included in Ref. 8).
3. Friedman, S.T. "The Secret Life of Donald H. Menzel" International UFO Reporter, Jan./Feb. 1988, pp. 20-24 (included in Ref. 8).
4. Friedman, S.T. "UPDATE ON OPERATION MAJESTIC-12" MUFON 1989 International UFO Symposium, Las Vegas, Nevada, 20 pages, 14 ref., included in item 8 and published in MUFON 1989 Symposium Proc., pp. 82-112. (includes MJ-12 Documents).
5. Friedman, S.T. "MJ-12 Debunking Fiasco" International UFO Reporter, May-June 1988, pp. 12-17. (included in item 8).
6. Friedman, S.T., Moore, W.L. "MJ-12 and Phil Klass: What are the Facts?" Proceedings of MUFON 1988 International UFO Symposium, June 1989 pp. 205-235.
7. Friedman, S.T. "Debunking a Debunker" 1988, 14p., 17 Ref., \$3.00 fr UFORI, POB 3584, Sta. B., Fredericton, NB Canada E3A 5H1.
8. Friedman, S.T. 90 page collection re MJ-12 "Update on Operation Majestic 12." Includes Ref. 2, 3, 4, 5 and other documents, and much more. \$10. from UFORI.
9. Friedman, S.T. "FUFOR Progress Report" MUFON UFO Journal, No. 257, Sept. 1989, p. 16, 17.
10. Williamson, J.A. "Reference Report on MJ-12 (revised)," May 9, 1988 National Archives, Military Reference Branch.

**CHANGE OF ADDRESS MEMBERS/SUBSCRIBERS**

Advise change of address (include county and phone no.) to:

MUFON  
103 Oldtowne Rd.  
Seguin, Texas 78155-4099

# News'n'Views ... MacArthur, Hazards of Space

## MacArthur's Mark

In the November 1989 issue of the Journal, I misadventerly maligned fellow ufologist Don Ecker. Reviewing his appearance on the "Larry King Live!" TV show, I questioned whether certain remarks Ecker attributed to the late General Douglas E. MacArthur had in fact ever been made.

MacArthur, as you may remember, has frequently been quoted in the UFO literature as warning that the next war would take place between a unified Earth and an extraterrestrial invader, or words to that effect. The quote reportedly occurred in the context of a speech made to the May 1962 West Point graduating class.

Ecker reiterated this point on the King show, and I wrote that you could see his credibility (and by extension, that of ufology) running down the microphone wire and into the floor. (Guest host Pat Buchanan, sympathetic to that point, seemed taken aback, and strongly objected to the statement, saying he had a framed copy of the MacArthur speech hanging on his wall, and no such sentiments were expressed therein.)

I asked Journal readers to write in and settle the issue once and for all. Did MacArthur, or didn't he?

The results are in, and clearly I owe Mr. Ecker an apology for having publicly questioned his sources. Several readers sent in the entire transcript of the graduation speech, including the General's own published recollection in his autobiography, *Reminiscences* (McGraw-Hill, 1964).

Pennsylvania state section director, attorney Lance Payette, provided what is probably the best summary of MacArthur's remarks (pages 423-26):

"We deal now not with things of this world alone, but with the illimitable distances and as yet unfathomed mysteries of the universe. We are reaching out for a new and boundless frontier.

"We speak in strange terms: of

harnessing the cosmic energy; ... of ultimate conflict between a united human race and the sinister forces of some other planetary galaxy; of such dreams and fantasies as to make life the most exciting of all time."

Robert Todd furnished a copy of the original speech, as did Charles Huffer of Arkansas. But West Virginia state section director Ted Spickler provided the best commentary.

"Within the context of the rest of the speech," he wrote, "this slight remark seems of no significance for us today relative to the UFO issue. I saw no hint elsewhere in the book that UFO's were on his mind at all and suspect that he was only continuing through on a thought that momentarily carried him into outer space. We may just as well get cranked up about H. G. Wells and his novels, or any public figure expressing some curiosity about dealing with extraterrestrials at some point in the far distant future."

There were probably others who contributed as well, whose names I have neglected to mention, and to whom I also apologize.

But Jim Moseley (who once met MacArthur) did write to add that even if the good General hadn't made the utterance in question, it certainly correlated with his thinking at the time. "He was obsessed by that sort of thing," says Moseley.

So let the record show: I stand corrected, and MacArthur really did say what Ecker said he said.

## Space Hazards

From the It-Doesn't-Hurt-to-Speculate Department, comes this interesting little item from the pages of England's *New Scientist* Magazine ("This Week," March 3, 1990, pg. 24).

It seems space travel may be more hazardous than previously suspected. In fact, a round-trip to Mars, which would involve almost 18 months in

deep space, could conceivably expose astronauts to lethal doses of cosmic rays and other energetic radiation.

Cosmic rays are made up mostly of protons, charged particles that pack enough energy to break up molecules and even "mutate" genes. In fact, some scientists theorize that cosmic rays are essential to the evolution of life on a planet like the Earth; the mutations that prove fruitful eventually advance life forms. A constant bombardment of cosmic rays, however, would prove fatal for most life. As it is, our atmosphere shields us from the constant flood of the majority of such particles.

In space, no such shield exists. Aluminium is known to absorb cosmic rays to an extent, but only at the cost of giving off secondary radiation in the process. Astronauts enclosed in an aluminum tube would actually be confined inside a deadly neutron flux.

Ordinary water seems to be the best defensive bet, but this would require a four-inch thick layer between astronauts and outer space. Theoretically, the resulting cancer rate would be increased only two percent above Earth norms. Another drawback? Water is also notoriously heavy.

Shielding against violent solar flares is even more problematic and less likely. But even if both these problems were licked, the dilemma of microgravity would still remain to threaten future spacefarers.

Microgravity refers to the conditions of little or no gravity encountered once one leaves the comfy confines of one's home planet. While lack of weight may prove beneficial for a few esoteric manufacturing processes, prolonged exposure seems in the main dangerous for humans. The biological response to microgravity, in most cases, appears time-dependent, that is, the more time spent in space, the greater or more pronounced the consequences.

These consequences range from simple space sickness, due to loss of sense of balance, to a decrease in the number of red blood cells and blood volume, even to changes in how the heart pumps blood, and demineralization of bone. Muscles atrophy from the lack of resistance.

Other effects may be more subtle and may affect different tissues and organisms in different ways. Bacteria, for example, seem to divide more rapidly and reveal an increased resistance to antibiotics.

Among the eukaryotic cells (those of higher organisms), however, there is less division, and the way cells specialize is altered. The proliferation of lymphocytes, those cells that attack infection, may be depressed by as much as 90 percent.

A long trip through deep space, in other words, appears to take its toll on living organisms. So, maybe as the conspiracists claim, the Earth really is a Stop'n'Go on the galactic highway, and humans little more than a fast food snack.

The saucers stop over for a night, fill their protective tanks with water, pick up a few blood donors without permission, extract a few sex cells at the same time, and continue on their merry way. "Nice little place," they mutter as they speed out of sight. "I'd give it two stars, for sure. But don't drink the water."

Worse, as well as more logical, scenarios could be imagined, I'm sure. But this particular exercise explains why they never stick around for any length of time. How long, after all, do you hang around a convenience store? It does make me wonder, though, where they're off to in such a hurry. You'd think they'd at least stop and smell the roses on occasion.

An alternative, of course, is that all life forms, even little gray ones, may have a hard time surviving the perils of life in space.

## U.S. Secrets

Can the government and/or its military intelligence agencies actually keep a secret, as Stanton Friedman

never tires of reiterating? The numbers, at least, certainly seem to be in their favor. As of the end of the last fiscal year, according to one recent report, government "censors" wielded top secret, secret or confidential rubber stamps almost 7 million times. The actual figure, derived by the Information Security Oversight Office, and as determined by department head Steven Garfinkel, comes to 6,796,501.

Interestingly, this represents a 35 percent decrease in the number of secrets per year since the last such survey. According to the April 5, 1990, AP *Dallas Morning News* article from which I'm drawing, "nearly all the decline came when the Navy decided it really didn't originate more secrets than the rest of the government put together."

The article leaves us tantalized, rather than satisfied, since the Navy has long been associated, seemingly uncharacteristically, with the UFO phenomenon in general, and certain high level related projects in particular. Why were they singled out as special offenders?

Unfortunately, the article offers little insight into ongoing machinations. Perhaps one of our readers would like to write for the final report and inform us in greater detail?

The AP release does go on to say that there are two basic kinds of secrets so stamped: original and derivative. Garfinkel said that there were some 500,000 of the former, and approximately 6.3 million of the latter.

## Keyhoe Award

(WASHINGTON, DC.; April 2, 1990) — A two hour television documentary on Unidentified Flying Objects has won first place in the Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award competition, sponsored by the Fund for UFO Research.

The documentary, entitled "UFOs: The Best Evidence," was produced by George Knapp, news director at KLAS-TV, Las Vegas, NV. "This two-hour special took an in-depth look at

the current state of UFOlogy, its strengths and weaknesses, and at some of the most controversial UFO cases, documents and individual researchers, in an attempt to evaluate whether UFOs are real — and if so — what they are," said Knapp.

"The special originally aired as a nine-part series in our nightly newscasts. The response to the series was so great that we made it into a special program," Knapp added.

"The documentary was one of the best-produced television programs on the subject of Unidentified Flying Objects," concluded the Keyhoe Journalism Award Panel. "It was especially impressive because it was put together by a local television station. But more important was the fact that it was a good piece of investigative journalism."

The panel for the award competition consisted of: Don Berliner, aviation writer; Richard Hall, editor; and Fred Whiting, public relations executive and former broadcast journalist. Berliner, Hall and Whiting are members of the Board of Directors for the Fund for UFO Research, a non-profit organization based in Washington, DC.

The first place winner in the journalism competition will receive a cash award of \$1,000.

Second place went to Kim Opatka, Staff Writer for the *Latrobe* (Pennsylvania) *Bulletin*, for her six-part series on UFOs published May 1-4, 1989. "The series was aimed at fostering a better public understanding of the UFO phenomenon and at abolishing misconceptions associated with the field and persons who have claimed sightings," said Opatka.

Another local television news series, "UFOhio," produced by WBNS-TV, Columbus, OH, was ranked third by the competition judges. The entry, which dealt with a week-long series of reports dealing with UFO activity in Ohio, was submitted by reporter Tom Berman. The station also conducted a telephone poll on UFOs, which resulted in more than 16,000 responses.

Runners-up in the award competi-

tion included:

- Patricia S. Wren, Bureau Chief, Okanogan County, *The Wenatchee World*, Okanogan, WA, for her article, "Locals Still Believe in the Duley Lake UFOs," published February 2, 1989;

- Pat Moore, reporter for the *La Crosse (Wisconsin) Tribune*, for "UFO Sighting Hot News," published October 15, 1989;

- Jeff Holt, reporter for the *Harrison (Arkansas) Daily Times*, for his article, "Residents Describe Sightings of UFO," published November 18, 1989;

- And Barry E. Taff of Los Angeles, CA, for his article "Anatomy of an 'EBE,'" published in Vol. 4, No. 3, 1989, *UFO* magazine.

The UFO Journalism Award is named after Donald E. Keyhoe, author of five books and a number of newspaper and magazine articles on the UFO phenomenon. A major in the U.S. Marine Corps and former aide to Charles Lindbergh, Keyhoe was former director of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena and one of the first prominent individuals to call attention to the U.S. Government's apparent cover-up of information on UFOs. He passed away in November 1988.

Entries for the second annual competition are now being accepted for the best article or story published or broadcast on UFOs in 1990. The deadline for entries is February 1, 1991. To receive an entry form, contact the Fund for UFO Research, P.O. Box 277, Mt. Rainier, MD 20712; phone (703) 684-6032 (voice or fax).

— Don Berliner

## Fund Report

The latter half of 1989 proved to be a period of unprecedented activity for the UFO community and for the Fund for UFO Research. In an effort to provide the most timely information possible, this report covered the final two quarters of the year.

The most significant public development was the October 9

TASS account of a UFO landing in Voronezh, USSR, about 300 miles southeast of Moscow and the appearance of unusual-looking aliens in the town park. The event was witnessed by a group of children. The Fund immediately received more than 50 media inquiries, which resulted in 22 interviews with a number of news outlets, including the Cable News Network. The Fund is attempting to establish contacts within the Soviet Union to get more information on this startling new development.

Meanwhile, Stanton Friedman's excellent behind-the-scenes research into the Roswell case received commendable attention by NBC-TV's "Unsolved Mysteries" program on September 20. The broadcast resulted in more than 50 new leads in the case, which Mr. Friedman is investigating in connection with his research into the "MJ-12" documents and personalities. His research is being supported by a \$16,000 grant provided by the Fund — the largest ever given by a private organization in UFO history. Because of these new developments, his report (which had been expected by the end of the year) will be finalized early in 1990.

Fund Chairman Bruce Maccabee, Ph.D., an optical physicist and recognized expert in UFO photo analysis, is examining several videotapes of alleged UFOs shot recently on opposite sides of the world. A Japanese video of a "Saturn-shaped" UFO made during a daytime sighting has tentatively been categorized as "unidentified," and efforts are underway to compare it with a similar object photographed in 1975. In the meantime, we are facilitating the analysis of a UFO captured on videotape by a member of the Dutch Ministry of Defense while on vacation in Spain earlier in the year.

Even before the TASS account and the NBC-TV report on the Roswell case, the Fund had circulated a news column on the UFO phenomenon to more than 8,000 newspapers across the USA, resulting in scores of inquiries from the public for more

information.

The Fund's Board of Directors approved two grant requests during this period: to Marge Christensen for \$1,450 to support the publication of a report, *Using Concepts From UFO Studies to Teach Science and Critical Thinking*, to the National Science Teachers Association Conference on December 2, 1989; and \$400 to Nigel Watson for an analysis of "mystery helicopters" in England. Mrs. Christensen's report, co-authored by Richard Haines, Ph.D., John Schuessler and Michael Swords, Ph.D., is now available from the Fund for a contribution of \$25 or more, as long as supplies last.

The Fund also is pleased to announce the availability for the first time of *The Gulf Breeze Sightings* by Ed Walters and Frances Walters, with a foreword by Budd Hopkins. This long-awaited report was prepared with the assistance of Dr. Maccabee, whose analysis of the photos has received critical acclaim throughout the UFO community. Special autographed copies of this just-published work, which is likely to be a classic in UFO literature, are now available for \$21.95.

The Executive Committee is pleased to welcome John Brandenberg, Ph.D., a physicist living in the Washington, DC area, as an Alternate Member. Dr. Brandenberg has been involved in the Mars Research Project to analyze photographs of anomalous objects photographed on the surface of the Red Planet. The Executive Committee feels his scientific background will make a splendid contribution to our efforts.

As noted in an earlier fundraising appeal, the Fund for UFO Research faces the possibility of being without resources to deal with new developments in the field, such as the Soviet landing case, the availability of UFO photographs and videotapes for analysis and continuing research into the Roswell crash and government involvement in the UFO question. All of our funds are committed to ongoing projects. If you have not yet responded to the appeal for contri-

butions, please do so as soon as possible, so we can keep you informed of exciting new developments in UFO research in the weeks and months ahead.

### **Adamski: "Pure Fiction?"**

As those aware of UFO history know, the publication in 1953 of *Flying Saucers Have Landed* by Desmond Leslie and George Adamski was an event of exceptional importance to the public's awareness of UFOs. Because the controversy which followed Adamski's writings continues to this day, it may be of some value to put the comments of one of his alleged scientific witnesses on record. I located this longtime San Diego resident, Gene Luther Bloom, after having by chance again read Adamski's references to him on pages 174 through 177 of *Flying Saucers Have Landed*.

What Adamski wrote: "Then late in 1949 four men came into the cafe at Palomar Gardens ... One of these men was Mr. J. P. Maxfield, and another was his partner, Mr. G. L. Bloom, both of the point Loma Navy (sic) Electronics Laboratory near San Diego ...

"They asked me if I would cooperate with them in trying to get photographs of strange craft moving through space ...

"They said they were going up to the top and ask for the same cooperation from the men at the big Observatory.

"I asked them then where I should look to be most likely to see the strange objects which they were asking me to try to photograph ... The moon was decided upon as a good spot for careful observation.

"Thus, when the military requested my cooperation in trying to photograph strange objects moving through space, with the aid of my 6-inch telescope, I was more than willing ...

"And it was not too long after this meeting that I succeeded in getting what I deemed to be two good pictures of an object moving through

space ...

"Some days later Mr. Bloom stepped into the place ... I handed him the two photographs which I had taken. I asked him to pass them on to Mr. Maxfield for examination and for the records. He said he would."

In my interview with him on July 19th, 1988, Mr. Bloom said that he and his colleague, the now deceased Joseph Maxfield, had only stopped at the cafe where Adamski worked to have lunch before continuing to the Hale Observatory to discuss subjects having nothing to do with UFOs. He said further that they were not there to ask for George Adamski's cooperation, and that until meeting him did not even know of his interest in flying saucers. He added that neither he nor Maxfield instructed Adamski on how to photograph the saucers, nor did they accept any photographs from him for analysis by the Naval Electronics Laboratory or for any other purpose.

Mr. Bloom's final comment to me was that "Everything Adamski wrote about us was fiction, pure fiction."

— Eric Herr

### **In Others' Words ...**

#### **Lucius Farish**

Are some UFOs actually living creatures? Strange as that may sound, there are some reports which suggest this possibility. Speculations on this theory may be found in the March 6 issue of NATIONAL ENQUIRER.

The March issue of FATE contains an article on abductee Betty Hill's claim that a UFO "landing area" exists near her home in New Hampshire. Betty is apparently not the only one who has seen strange things at this location. April FATE has a report on a British UFO sighting of 1977.

The "Anti-Matter/UFO Update" section in the March issue of OMNI has Paul McCarthy's profile of the TREAT (Treatment and Research on Experienced Anomalous Trauma) project to investigate reports of UFO abductions.

Zecharia Sitchin's fourth book, THE LOST REALMS, is now available in an Avon paperback edition. Continuing what he calls the "Earth Chronicles" series of books, Sitchin examines South/Central America Indian legends and mythology for traces of extraterrestrial visitations. All of Sitchin's books are well worth your time.

Volume 1, Number 1 of IF, the Bulletin of the Intruders Foundation, has just been released. It contains articles by Budd Hopkins, David M. Jacobs, IF Editor Penelope Franklin and abductee Rosemary Osnato. IF promises to be a very interesting periodical and is available for \$25.00 per year from: Intruders Foundation - P.O. Box 30233 - New York, NY 10011.

Forthcoming books of possible interest include: UNEXPLAINED MYSTERIES OF THE 20TH CENTURY by Janet & Colin Bord (Contemporary Books; March; \$12.95) - COSMIC LIFE-FORCE by Sir Fred Hoyle and Chandra Wickramasinghe (Paragon House; March; \$16.95) - CONFRONTATIONS by Jacques Vallee (Ballantine; April; \$19.95) - TIME TRAVEL by John Macvey (Independent Publishers Group [Scarborough House]; May; \$16.95) - AGAINST GRAVITY by Ed McCabe (Warner Books; June; \$22.95) - OUT THERE by Howard Blum (Simon & Schuster; August; \$19.95).

### **Have a Viewpoint To Express?**

**The Journal welcomes clips and comments. Send to:**

**MUFON  
103 Oldtowne Rd.  
Seguin, TX 78155-4099**

# Current Case Log

## Dan Wright, Investigations

The following summarizes case reports received from December 1989 through March 1990 that were well documented, and which may represent significant ufological events. Unless noted, no sound, vibration or odor was detected.

■ **Log #891204:** 11/2/89, 9:40 p.m. event in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigators Carol and Rex Salisbury. A teenage brother and sister, walking home from a convenience store, noticed two lighted bell-shaped discs moving swiftly nearby. As they stopped to stare, the vehicles likewise halted and hovered. The teens again started walking and the objects resumed their flight, only to hover once more seemingly in response to the witnesses stopping. Momentarily these sped out of sight and a third identical disc approached, then dropped below a treeline at which point a humming sound was detected. Racing home, they convinced their mother to go outside. She also heard the sound, which continued for over a minute, though nothing further was observed. Dogs in the vicinity barked until the humming ceased. The surface of all three was described as blue-gray. White light shone on the bottom of each of the first two, while the underside of the third displayed brighter yellowish light. The total duration was estimated as five minutes.

■ **Log #891205:** 11/15/89, discovery in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigators Robert Reid, Carol and Rex Salisbury. An unidentified caller to WEAR-TV (Pensacola) gave directions to an unusual mark in a weedy area of Shoreline Park. Upon referral from WEAR, the *Gulf Breeze Sentinel* editor and a companion located the site. Investigators were then called

in. The site, a clockwise swirl of depressed weeds, was uniformly 7 feet 8 inches in diameter. The vegetation was coiled tightly at the epicenter. No footprints or other imprints were found under the depression or leading up to the site. No debris was found outside the site which could logically be associated with a downdraft. An attempt to manually duplicate the effect in a nearby stand of weeds was unsuccessful. A radiological test conducted five days later offered no extraordinary readings. (See the article, "Swirled Landing Trace?" in this issue for additional details and photographs.)

■ **Log #891206:** 9/28/89, 8 p.m. event over Tillamook Bay, Oregon, investigator Skip Schultz. Nine reporting witnesses (and possibly dozens of others) observed a circle of lights, each light consisting of a ring and transparent center, moving slowly northward over the bay. An apparent thin line was observed connecting the lights. No other outline was positively identified. Separately, brilliant flashes of light were seen intermittently in the same area. Collateral contacts with military bases and a commercial airport ruled out conventional aircraft as a resolution. The duration was 15 minutes for the observations of individual scattered witnesses and 45 minutes in total.

■ **Log #900103:** 10/24/89, 6:15 a.m. event in northern Indiana, investigator Franklin Reams. A commercial airline crew in flight encountered a boomerang-shaped vehicle passing overhead. A vertical beam of light shone downward from the underside of the object. The object passed from view in 30 seconds. Radio communication during the in-

cident was confirmed by other airline personnel.


■ **Log #900104:** 12/21/89, 12:30 a.m. event in Pensacola, Florida, investigator Rex Salisbury. A man was driving across the Pensacola Bay Bridge on his way home from work when he spotted a dark cylindrical object moving very slowly in the near distance and opposite direction, perhaps 200 feet over the water. A bright beam emanated from its front. Two or three red blinking lights were centered on the underside. Total length was likened to an airliner. Having slowed his auto, the witness nevertheless passed out of view after 5 minutes. Collateral contacts with military and civilian authorities failed to resolve the incident but established that the path indicated is off limits to air and ship traffic.

■ **Log #900105:** April 1987, late-night event in Pensacola, Florida, investigator Joe Barron. A woman returned to bed after a bathroom visit and momentarily became aware of a brilliant light in the room. She then saw a column of white light (a rainbow of colors within) protruding down through the ceiling, within which floated a 3-3½ foot humanoid. The being, suspended near the ceiling throughout, was described as having an oversized head, grey skin, no hair, black almond-shaped eyes, a slit mouth, nostrils without a discernible nose and long arms. It wore a tight-fitting jumpsuit of dark olive "fuzzy" material which extended over both hands and feet. The woman leapt out of bed, turned on a lamp, and engaged the being in a fixed stare without communication for several minutes. Finally, she yelled "Get outta here!" Immediately, the beam began

to "boil" and the entity exhibited a climbing/swimming motion as it ascended through the ceiling. [Note: The witness, alone and with family members, has experienced paranormal incidents throughout her lifetime.]

■ **Log #900208:** 1/20/90, 1:20 a.m. event near Boyle, Mississippi, investigator James Scarborough. A man was driving home from work when he approached a slow-moving silvery object at treetop height over the road. As he neared, he discerned a disc 40-50 feet in diameter. A crease in the surface near the rounded top was the only variation in an otherwise cone-shaped exterior. Two rows of numerous lights, each in a blue-blue-green pattern, shone steadily. One row was situated near the base, the other along the perimeter of the flat bottom. The object picked up speed and paced just above and in front of the auto for 2-3 miles, moving repeatedly left and right to avoid larger trees along the roadside. Abruptly the car's engine, lights and instruments died. The man pulled to a halt and watched as the disc continued down the road, then executed a smooth turn and climbed out of sight. He was then able to restart the engine and found the electrical system operating normally. Duration was estimated as 4 minutes. [Note: The witness had previously reported sighting an identical vehicle on 10/24/88. See illust.]

■ **Log #900216:** 1/22/90, 6 p.m. event near Mountain Home, Arkansas, investigator Lawrence Willett. A farmer was tending his stock when he noticed flashing lights in the distance. He called his wife and children outside. As they watched, a large, dark blimp-shaped object passed slowly and nearly overhead. Clusters of red, green and white flashing lights were at either end, while a separate cluster was seemingly attached to a horizontal cable at one end. When almost out of sight, the object turned and retraced its route, dropping lower and aiming powerful searchlights angularly to the ground. It then continued



**Log #900208 (1/20/90 event near Boyle, MS)**

on a straight path until lost from view. The family's two dogs barked continuously while the vehicle was nearby. Total duration was approximately 20 minutes. Calling a nearby airport (without radar), the family was told that no large aircraft was in the area.

■ **Log #900217:** 6/10/79, 12 a.m. event in Quirpon, Newfoundland, investigator Michael Strainic. As a man stepped outside his home, he was greeted by a 100-foot-long object hovering over the vacant house next door. The bottom view was described as teardrop shaped with a flat underside and dull metallic surface. A prominent "tail fin" protruded upward at one end. Near the base was a row of pink, rectangular lights that pulsated continuously. A blue flashing light was centered at the rounded top. A low humming sound was easily detected. After about one minute, the object began rotating to the right, remaining at a level attitude. With a sudden burst (estimated 150 mph), it moved off

***The being, suspended near the ceiling throughout, was described as having an oversized head, grey skin, no hair, black almond-shaped eyes, a slit mouth, nostrils without a discernible nose and long arms.***

laterally with the sound of gusty wind and was lost in the distance in 30-40 seconds. Having heard her husband's call to come outside, the wife joined him in time to see the receding lights.

■ **Log #900221:** Spring 1988, 9 p.m. event near Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigators Carol and Rex Salisbury. A woman was driving when she came upon a dark "arrowhead" (or possibly boomerang) shaped object moving at jogging speed just above nearby treetops. Its wingspan was estimated at 150+ feet, while from the rounded nose to the apex of a flat-V rear edge appeared in excess of 100 feet. Floodlight-sized round white lights bordered the entire perimeter. The woman slowed and noticed other drivers slowing and stopping. The vehicle then passed slowly and directly over her car, and through her open sunroof she realized the underside comprised sections/panels rather than a continuously smooth surface. She drove on, continuing to see it in her rearview mirror until out of range. Duration was 3-5 minutes.

■ **Log #900302:** 10/20/89, 5:10 a.m. event ensuing near Huntsville, Arkansas, investigators Edward Mazur and Lucius Farish. Two women were driving toward a distant town when a large, glowing red-orange oval appeared just over the ground and within 100 feet over a field and began pacing them. Within minutes, two vertical columns of white shone within the oval. One of these repeatedly moved right and left within the oval "like a windshield wiper." The driver lowered the (power) rider window, and her companion snapped the first of several photos. They then stopped the car, and the light/object halted also. After a moment, it "almost disappeared." They drove off, only to have the unknown reappear and proceed alongside. With the distraction, they missed their intended turn and so drove on to the next town before turning around, at which point the object had again disappeared.

Starting back down the highway, the driver needed to stop to regather

herself. Pulling to the shoulder, her rider spotted the intruder directly over the car. It proceeded over a nearby mobile home, and the rider took more photos. Driving away, the object paced their auto once more, turning with them onto the intersecting road. Nearing their destination, it disappeared, then reappeared at other locations. A crackling sound was heard, a black streak seen, then the anomaly vanished without moving into the distance. Total duration was 1½ hours. [Notes: Initial examination of the photographs was inconclusive. In the ensuing weeks, both women suffered severe fatigue, irritability, lack of concentration, repeated nightmares, and an altered sense of reality consciousness.]

■ **Log #900304:** 10/23/89, 2:30 p.m. event near Smithfield, Illinois, investigator Poe Clark. Two women were driving when they spotted an object over the road perhaps a quarter-mile ahead. Described as a cylinder less than 20 feet long, the object was essentially white with a darker bottom and a haze surrounding the upper portion. The driver stopped, and for the next few minutes they watched the object as it barely moved in the near distance. After being blocked by a nearby tree for 3-4 minutes, the object reappeared and glided slowly and angularly into the sky, entering one of the few clouds and was not seen again. Total duration was 8 minutes.

■ **Log #900305:** 10/11/89, 11:30 p.m. event near Crestwood, Kentucky, investigators Scott Tipton and James Delehanty. A man was awakened by his dog and, after inspecting the home, observed four lights/objects outside, the nearest within 500 yards. Each consisted of a ring of pink-amber twinkling lights and moved slowly at separate elevations until out of sight. Duration was 16 minutes.

■ **Log #900310:** 2/24/89, 8 p.m. event in Butler, Pennsylvania, investigator Stan Gordon. During a

---

***The light, at first stationary, began to move quickly in their direction with a loud continuous roar. The object, passing high and almost directly overhead, was described as large and "triangular," with rows of red and green lights at the rear. The vehicle seemed to sparkle along the edges.***

---

neighborhood electrical blackout, a boy looked out the window and noticed a low, bright white light in the near distance. His mother went outside for a better look. The family dog began barking and running in circles. The light, at first stationary, began to move quickly in their direction with a loud continuous roar. The object, passing high and almost directly overhead, was described as large and "triangular" (or boomerang/arrow-head), with rows of red and green lights at the rear. The vehicle seemed to sparkle along the edges. The mother and son watched until it moved out of view. Duration was a minute or less.

■ **Log #900316:** 9/27/89, 4:20 p.m. event near Tillamook, Oregon, investigator Gene Elliott. Alerted by her young granddaughter to something unusual outside, a woman confronted a disc hovering just above the ground near her farmhouse. Perhaps 20-30 feet in diameter, its shape was later drawn as an inverted toy top and the surface described as "almost transparent." A bright yellow-white light shone at two opposing points along the flat bottom. As the woman approached to within 30 feet, a doorway opened, revealing a human-like being of average height, with blond hair, fair skin, and blue eyes, dressed in a silver coverall. At a window next to the door was a "bigfoot" creature, seemingly seated and visible from the chest up. For the next few minutes, the woman stared at the two, gaining the impression that the "human" was attempting (unsuccessfully) to communicate. Then, the vehicle and beings vanished instantly (i.e. without accelerating beyond viewing range). [Note: The woman is employed as a

psychic and claims to have had periodic encounters with UFOs and "bigfoot" creatures throughout her life.]

■ **Log #900318:** 11/4/89, 1 p.m. event in Pensacola, Florida, investigators Carol and Rex Salisbury. A couple was driving across a bridge when they noticed a dull grey, unlighted disc (in the shape of inverted pie tins) hovering about a mile's distance over the bay. The rotating object was thought to be approximately 20 feet from top to bottom, with a diameter likened to the length of a commercial blimp. At one point during the 2-3 minute observation, it began wobbling and darting short distances while proceeding generally toward the shore. Fishermen and others in the area did not appear to notice the anomaly. When across the bridge, the husband departed the car but did not spot the source again.

■ **Log #900319:** 1/12/90, 8:35 p.m. event in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigators Carol and Rex Salisbury. Six adolescents were climbing trees and playing when they spotted half a mile or so away a dull metallic disc, perhaps 20 feet in diameter, with peaked upper and lower domes. A steady red light was centered at the top, a steady bluish light at the bottom. Around the middle were rectangular lighted windows plus a few white lights which rotated rapidly around the circumference. The youths began walking toward the object, gaining the impression that it receded and halted in unison with their movements. The object was eventually lost beyond a treeline in the distance. Duration of the sighting was variously estimated from 2-5 minutes.

■ **Log #900322:** 1/1/90, 6:30 p.m. event in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigator Vicki Lyons. While crossing a bridge, two women noticed a brilliant white, elliptical light above and ahead of them. Reaching the far side, they followed the source down a residential street. The object was described as basically elliptical, 10 feet or so in diameter, with red lights on one end. It moved in a seemingly erratic pattern over the treetops over the roadway, then was lost from view. They drove to a shoreline park and spotted it again over the water. The women continued to watch its movements until the presence of another auto with male passengers prompted them to leave. Total duration was estimated to be 25 minutes.

■ **Log #900323:** 8/14/89, 9:20 p.m. event in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigator Arthur Hufford. A couple was taking a walk near their home when they noticed a disc of considerable size in the indeterminate distance. A brilliant, steady white light shone at the top, while the flat bottom was encircled by alternating red and green (or blue) lights which flashed sequentially. The object was "bobbing" up and down and moved left and right repeatedly. After 3-4 minutes, they ran back home to get their auto in order to drive closer. However, upon their return there was nothing in sight.

■ **Log #900324:** 1/8/90, 6:30 p.m. event in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigator Vicki Lyons. Ed and Francis Walters were taking an evening walk when they noticed a red glow hovering high overhead. They ran back home and, after placing brief calls to local MUFON investigators and other interested parties, Ed returned to the area with a camera. Within minutes, four other adults and one teen arrived on the scene. Several photos were taken with two cameras. The object was described as a dark disc or oval with "boiling" red light(s) centered underneath, surrounded by smaller whitish lights. After a few more minutes all lights were ex-

tinguished, and moments later nothing was visible. The photos were thereafter submitted for analysis.

■ **Log #900325:** Approximate 7/15/88, 10:45 p.m. event in Gulf Breeze, Florida, investigator Boots Eckert. Two teenage girls were outside identifying constellations when a dull greyish disc appeared from behind a cloud, moved back behind the cloud, then descended in a zigzag pattern within 500 feet of the witnesses. The object was described as about 10 feet in diameter and six feet high, with a row of square or rectangular unlighted windows around the middle. Running back to the house, they turned and saw a blue beam emanating from the underside. After finding a pair of binoculars, they returned but found nothing. Duration was estimated as less than a minute. [Note: One of the witnesses related that, later in the summer, she experienced a bedroom intrusion.]

■ **Log #900327:** 2/5/90, 6:30 p.m. event in Pensacola, Florida, investigators Carol and Rex Salisbury. A mother and daughter were outside their home when they saw a pulsating red light moving erratically in the distance. Half an hour later, the husband was about to get in his car when he noticed a disc perhaps 1,000 feet overhead. He called to the others, who joined him in time to see it

receding. The object was 20 feet or less in diameter, with a deep red pulsating light on the bottom and two white steady lights at the top. It moved at a gradual speed beyond trees and out of sight. Duration of the second observation was 20 seconds or less. [Note: the family had reported sightings in the previous two years.]

■ **Log #900328:** 10/9/89, 5:45 p.m. event near Tunica, Mississippi, investigator James Scarborough. A cropduster was flying to an assignment when he spotted an aluminum colored spheroid, estimated to be 300 feet or more in diameter, rapidly approaching from the distance. The object passed diagonally and below his plane at an estimated 800-900 mph at a half-mile or greater distance. No E-M effects were noted. Duration was estimated as 15 seconds or less.

*[Reminder to MUFON investigators: The original materials comprising a case report should be sent to our Seguin, Texas headquarters. A copy of the entire report is to be sent for evaluation to the Deputy Director, 228 S. Fairview, Lansing, Michigan 48912. Only those case reports which have been evaluated and determined complete will be included in MUFON's computerized record for comparative analysis.]*

### Calendar of UFO Conferences for 1990

- April 21 & 22 — Northern California UFO Conference - Fort Mason Center, San Francisco, California.
- April 28, 29 & 30 — Fourth European Rencontres de Lyon UFO Congress - Lyon, France. (Sponsored by Association D'Etude Sur Les Soucoupes Volantes.)
- May 11, 12 & 13 — 27th Annual National UFO Conference - Holiday Inn Oceanside, Miami Beach, Florida.
- June 28, 29, 30 — 10th Rocky Mountain Conference on UFO Investigations - University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming.
- July 6, 7, 8 — MUFON 1990 International UFO Symposium - Pensacola Hilton, Pensacola, Florida.
- July 14 & 15 — Phantoms of the Sky - Ufology into the 90's - Sheffield Library Theatre, Sheffield, England.
- October 13 & 14 — The UFO Experience - Ramada Inn, North Haven, Connecticut.

# HISTORICAL NOTES:

## THOMAS MANTELL

**By William E. Jones**

January 7, 1948 is one of those landmark dates in the annals of ufology that will forever be a part of each new comprehensive history of the subject. On that date Captain Thomas Mantell was leading a flight of Air National Guard F-51 fighter planes near Godman Air Force base at Fort Knox, Kentucky. Having been alerted to the presence of an unidentified object in the sky overhead, the Godman flight controllers radioed Mantell's flight and requested that they investigate the object which could easily be seen from the base.

One plane was low on fuel and it landed, but the others went up to observe from a closer viewpoint. As they closed in, the object appeared to speed away and climb higher. Since the planes had no oxygen on board, which would permit high altitude flying, they had to break off their pursuit.

Captain Mantell, however, apparently excited by the prospect of closing in on this stranger in the sky, continued to climb. Reports of the event indicate that he described the events as follows: "The thing looks metallic and it's tremendous in size." As Mantell flew higher, he was apparently overcome by a lack of sufficient oxygen, lost consciousness, and his plane went into a dive and crashed. Mantell was killed.

### Conjecture

The details of this event have been minutely described in numerous articles and books elsewhere. Conjecture on what really happened has been circulating ever since. Did Captain Mantell, an experienced fighter pilot, confuse the planet Venus for a large metallic object, as the government's Project Sign concluded he did? Was the object really a large Skyhook balloon, something at least implied by

the object's conelike shape? Did Mantell die as a result of oxygen starvation, or was he killed either accidentally or on purpose by conscious design?

The answers to these and other related questions are unknown, at least to the general public, and are likely to remain so. What we do know, however, at least as far as the newspaper reading public is concerned, is that this was the first time someone was killed pursuing a "flying saucer."

History was made. As history, however, there is a related story much less well known. The only other reference to it I have been able to find was in an article by Donald E. Keyhoe, "The Flying Saucers Are Real," which appeared in the October 1949 issue of *True Magazine*.

Albert R. "Jack" Pickering was a civilian air controller at Lockbourne Air Force Base, south of Columbus, Ohio, that January day in 1948. He was working in the direction-finding station off of the north-south runway about one mile from the control tower. Jack had been an air traffic controller with the military for four years before taking this civilian job. He had a two-year associate degree in engineering and a pilot's license with an instrument rating. He was familiar with military and civilian aircraft.

It was approximately ten minutes after 7 p.m. when Jack had an experience that he would never forget. It was dark. The sky was completely overcast with a ceiling of 1200 feet. There was a 10 mph southwest wind and the night was not particularly cold, as Jack remembers. There was no snow on the ground.

Pickering was inside the station shack, lying on his back on a cot looking out the window. The air traffic that evening had not been heavy, and he was listening to a local AM radio sta-

tion. The volume was turned down low, so that he would not miss any calls from inbound aircraft on his air traffic radio. There were no lights on in the shack except for those on the radio dial.

Suddenly, Pickering saw something come down through the overcast near or right over the runway. He was looking up at a 30-degree angle. Pickering described what he saw as follows: "Down through the overcast came this great big round red object." For a second or two he thought it was an aircraft falling in flames; it was red-orange in color. Then he realized that this was not what he was seeing.

### Interloper

Jack jumped off the cot and grabbed for the telephone to call the tower. He didn't have time. The tower called him first, wanting to know what was over his station. The telephone had a long cord, so he stepped out the door to get a better look at this strange interloper.

The object appeared to be a perfect sphere. It made no sound. It didn't change color. It had no windows, wings, fins or an exhaust. (Later, Air Force investigators asked him specifically about whether an exhaust tube and/or "a rocket tail" was visible.) It appeared to be completely smooth. Given the known height of the cloud ceiling, the object appeared to Pickering to be "bigger than a one car garage, but not as big as my two car garage."

The object came down through the overcast and stopped. It stayed in this location for approximately four to five minutes. It moved around slightly, never quite holding its position for long. The amount of movement didn't seem to cover more than 100 square feet. During this time Jack

tried without success to contact any incoming aircraft.

Suddenly the object made a complete circle of the entire base. It returned to a bit southwest of where it started and stopped "like it had run into a wall." Given the size of the base, Jack estimated later that the object had to have accelerated to a speed in excess of 1000 miles per hour.

The object remained at this point for a short time, then drifted near the edge of the base, a little past the end of the runway. It descended vertically to the ground, where it remained for ten to fifteen seconds, then rose vertically to just under the overcast. Curiously, no light seemed to be cast on the bottom of the clouds. For three or four minutes it remained in this location. By now Pickering had contacted an aircraft coming in from Wright Field to the west. The aircraft, which was several miles out, probably over West Jefferson, Ohio, reported that nothing could be seen. Before the aircraft got any closer, the object went back up into the overcast toward the northwest and disappeared.

Later, Jack went out to the area where the object had descended, but found nothing on the ground that appeared to have been caused by its touchdown.

There were at least four witnesses to this event: Pickering, an Air Force captain in charge at the tower, and two other civilian employees. Over the next two weeks or so, the three civilians were flown to Wright Field on three separate occasions where they were questioned separately by at least five Air Force officers. Jack does not remember their names. A report was prepared of his interrogation, which he signed. He believes it was classified. He was told not to talk about the sighting, which he did not do for many years afterwards.

This was not the only UFO related event of the day, however. Earlier, Jack had heard most of the Mantell-related radio conversations as they occurred. He does not know how this was done or why. It was unusual to have tower conversations relayed in this manner, but it may have been

done because it was so unusual.

The conversations may have been taped. Mantell's reports were heard up until the crash, along with related transmissions from Kentucky for almost an hour afterwards. He thinks Mantell said at one point, "I am closing in. It's gigantic and metallic." The word "gigantic" may have been "monstrous," but he didn't think so. My own interview with Jack was in 1977, so he was remembering back over 29 years.

The Mantell case has receded into history. A lot of changes have come to the UFO scene since then, making what was an exciting event at the time seem tame by comparison. In many ways, however, we are no closer to solving the UFO mystery now than we were in 1948. This footnote to the Mantell case was Jack Pickering's contribution to our history. Like Thomas Mantell, Pickering is no longer with us.

*Copyright 1989 by William Jones*

## Looking Back

### Bob Gribble

**April 1950** ■ Flying over the coast of Venezuela on the second, a DC-3 airliner piloted by John Power and Angel Delgado made its way uneventfully on course and on time. Suddenly, the aircraft began to shake violently from a shock wave. Both pilots tightened their grip on the controls and raised their heads in time to see a "huge machine" streak past about 2000 feet away. Powers said to the press after landing: "It was an enormous apparatus of aerodynamic form similar to an immense turtle and I have no doubt that this machine was guided."

■ UFOs buzzed the headquarters of Air Force Intelligence at Dayton, Ohio, on the fourth. At first they were just brilliant points of light in the dark sky, but then they took on an increased glow and attracted the attention of some people driving home at 5 a.m. The witnesses watched as two lights, one behind the other, entered the atmosphere at an incredible speed, then suddenly stopped in mid-air directly over Dayton. Then they moved to a point directly over Wright Patterson Air Force Base, stopped, then went into a high speed vertical climb and were out of sight in a couple of seconds. Later, two more lights appeared over Dayton, and maneuvered over the area until dawn.

■ The following report was submitted by First Officer Robert Manning, Trans-World Airlines Co-Pilot: "I sat at the controls of an airliner 2000 feet over Indiana about 6:20 p.m. on the 27th and watched an object so shapeless it was disconcerting, overtake our plane and cruise alongside, only half a mile away. I've heard of UFOs, too, so I watched it several minutes before I said a word. I wanted to be sure it was really there — and it really was. It glowed a dull red, like the gas stack of a refinery. But it moved right along with us at 185 miles an hour, creeping up from our right rear until it was about a half a mile away, off our right wing. Only then did I ask my pilot, Capt. Robert Adickes, if he saw anything. He noticed it, too. We rang for our stewardess, Miss Gloria Henshaw, and she saw it. She went back and asked the passengers, and they saw it.

"It was definitely an object, it kept right up with us. And most important of all, we could see that it was moving in relation to lights on the ground. We watched it fly parallel to us for seven or eight minutes. I couldn't tell its shape, but I'd guess it was roughly 50 feet across. Then Adickes said 'Let's get a better look,' and we turned to the right to fly closer. As soon as we did that, the object increased its speed and seemed to turn also, away

from us. Then it descended and seemed to disappear among the lights of South Bend. When it was all over, Adickes went back to the passengers and asked them what, if anything, they'd seen. Those on the right side of the plane described to him just what we'd watched: an object like nothing any of us had ever seen or heard of before."

■ **1955** Four members of the Ground Observer Corps were on duty at Rockford, Illinois, on the eighth when they spotted an unidentified object near their post at 9:30 a.m. They immediately reported the object to the Air Defense Filter Center in Chicago. Within a few minutes three Air Force jet fighters were on the scene, and, according to the three GOC members (all business men from the Rockford area) the jets fired on the mysterious object, causing it to explode. Before the explosion, however, the three GOC members said that a smaller round object shot out of the side of the "parent" device, then passed the jets, in horizontal flight, turned on edge, and went into a high speed vertical climb, disappearing from view.

A few seconds prior, the sound of jet fighters had been heard by John C. Gregory, executive secretary of the Winnebago County Civil Defense Council. Hurrying to the top of the City Hall building, he saw the jets converge on their target, as did dozens of other witnesses. Air Force personnel moved in quickly and warned the GOC members to say nothing about the incident. At the *Rockford Register*, a quick call was made to O'Hare Field at Park Ridge. The editor was told that the pilots had fired on a weather balloon which had been launched from Minneapolis. There was no mention of the object that streaked past the fighters, nor was there any explanation for the amazing attack on a weather balloon over a populated area. On July 28th, Air Force spokesman, Captain Robert C. White, said: "... We know of no instance where a military aircraft has fired on any unidentified object. Our aircraft do not fire on balloons, nor would

they fire on any target in a residential area unless we were invaded by an enemy force."

■ **1965** A nine-year-old rural New London, Minnesota, boy said he saw a UFO about 5 p.m. on the 26th. At the same time, his father heard an eerie noise that the youth said was caused by the object. Gary Green said he saw a black machine descend noiselessly to within a few inches of the ground, then raise a "stick" resembling an antenna or periscope. The noise then ensued. His father described the sound as somewhat similar to a siren, but different. It was even at first, then changed pitch in a slow, warbling manner. Gary said that after a minute or two, the protrusion was retracted and the noise ceased. Then the craft lifted slowly to the height of a barn. Then "zoom, it was gone." The disc was about 200 feet away, and looked like two table saucers placed rim to rim and about five feet in diameter.

■ George Hall watched in amazement as a spherical-shaped craft landed NW of Westfield, Pennsylvania, about 2 a.m. on the 29th. The glowing sphere then elevated an antenna-like projectile covered with flashing red lights. Seconds later the periscope device lowered and the craft disappeared over a nearby hill. Hall said the sphere was six or seven feet in diameter.

■ **1975** From 1:45 a.m. until sunrise on the third, law enforcement officers in five counties in SE North Carolina pursued a V-shaped craft with two spotlights. First spotted by Lumberton police, the vehicle was traveling 200-300 feet above the ground, heading north along I-95. Nearby St. Pauls' officers were contacted to be on the lookout for the craft. Two St. Pauls' officers headed south on U.S. 301 in their squad car to meet the mysterious vehicle. They spotted it about 10 miles north of Lumberton as it appeared to take off from open ground at 1:50 a.m. "We first saw this

bright light behind the trees. The light went off in a few seconds, then the craft came towards us," officer McPherson said. The vehicle darted about, making right angle turns and accelerating rapidly. "It was a brilliant, silent moving vehicle."

Reports from Hoke County followed the sighting by St. Pauls' officers. The time was shortly after 2 a.m. Officers in Hoke described the craft as a V-shaped, lighted vehicle. From Hoke the vehicle traveled into Cumberland County, then into Sampson County where it was spotted by Patrolman Jim Driver and John Hayes. Driver said the craft passed over him about 100 feet above the ground, without sound. Hayes, in another section of the city, reported seeing the vehicle about 200 feet from the ground and said it was some 40 to 50 feet in length, and was bathed in a blue tint. About 5:50 a.m. the craft was sighted in Elizabethtown in Bladen County. Citizens said the vehicle's "searchlights" bathed the town in light.

Approximately 100 sightings were recorded on the night of the third. No fewer than nine law enforcement officers reported seeing eight craft similar to the V-shaped vehicles reported earlier. Newton Grove Police Officer John Hayes spotted a V-shaped craft moving at low altitude. As it passed over some pecan trees he could hear a slight humming sound. It appeared to have a wingspan of about 50 feet and had an effect on his police radio. White Lake Police Chief Gary Moore had an extended confrontation with a brilliantly lit vehicle about 8:45 p.m.

Moore said he was driving about 50 mph when the craft "came down" and "the whole side of the road lit up like it was daylight. I went on about 300 yards, stopped my patrol car, got out, looked in the air and the light was so bright I had to look away. I got a set of binoculars out of the car and I looked up at it. Then I got an airplane landing light that I carry in my patrol car and I shined it up there. I blinked the light at it, and it blinked back.

Moore added that after the vehicle blinked, it "went straight up and out" at about 200 miles per hour. Moore described the craft as V-shaped. It lit up an area of about 500 feet on either side of the road and made no sound. Asked how he felt during the time of observation, Moore said, "I couldn't say anything for a second or two. I said if they want me, they're going to come and get me, but they didn't seem to want me."

■ Witnesses continued to flood law enforcement agencies with their sighting reports on the fourth and fifth. In an apparent desperate attempt to discredit the reports, United Press International — in a nationally distributed story — quoted an unnamed official federal source as saying an "experimental, delta-wing" aircraft was responsible for the sightings of the V-shaped craft. The story was immediately followed by a statement from the Federal Aviation Administration that no test flights were being made in the sighting areas at the time the UFOs were spotted.

The knockout blow to the UPI story came when Bill Cline, an intelligence officer from Seymour Johnson Air Force Base arrived on the scene in Lumberton. He said all the reports of things seen in North Carolina definitely indicate something unusual. Asked point blank whether he thought we were dealing with something manufactured on this planet he said, "No, I do not."

## Letter to the Editor ...

Dear Editor,

I've been interested to read the articles and letters in response to my paper on UFO abductions that appeared in the May issue of your journal. I appreciated having the feedback I received — even when it was critical — and found some commentaries (e.g., John White's) very constructive indeed.

Nevertheless, I had no intentions to try to respond, especially to my critics, because I felt that I had already been

given enough space to have my say and had no interest to engage in probably fruitless debate. I have been forced to change my mind, however, because of the commentaries of some of the abductees who have written to your journal to express their anger with me. I will not claim that what I will say next will be sufficient to assuage their anger, but I do feel that some of them at least have failed to understand what I went to some pains not merely to state but to emphasize in my original article. In my opinion — and I hope in theirs — had they understood me correctly, they would not have had reason to accuse me of the "crimes" with which I seem to have been charged. I may turn out to be wrong in my views, but nothing I said demeans the experiences of abductees or is meant to minimize the suffering they have endured.

Forgive me a few quotes from myself — but they serve to show how much distortion my perspective has already been subjected to. First, as to the reality of these experiences which I am held to deny: "Whatever 'UFO abductions' may be, they represent a subjectively real experience . . . to those who undergo them . . . It is no good to dismiss all this as attention-getting fantasy." In my article, when I discussed the imaginal realm, I stressed the events it addresses are perfectly real: "The most important attribute of the imaginal realm . . . is that it is ontologically real."

I don't know how many readers assumed — despite my clear statement to the contrary — that imaginal is *not* to be equated with imaginary. Indeed, I would invite you to repeat that statement ten times so as to stamp it in!

Just because I am not persuaded that abductions are engineered by extraterrestrial beings doesn't mean I don't think these experiences are real. Of course they are real — but what reality is, is not the straightforward issue that many people may suppose. If it were, we would have consensus, not controversy, over how to interpret abduction episodes.

Second, some abductees seem to think I am unaware of or unsym-

pathetic to their suffering. Let me again first rebut this misconception by a couple of quotes: "UFO abductions (are) deeply upsetting to the persons who undergo them. The phenomenon cries out for our respectful, if perplexed, attention — as the individuals who have been subjected to these experiences cry out for our help, compassion and understanding." "UFO abductions are not funny and those whose lives are dislocated by them don't deserve to be laughed at." I've talked to and heard from enough abductees to know that for many of them their experiences have been a source of deep trauma; I have nothing but respect for those, such as Budd Hopkins, who have addressed themselves to their needs. I regret any misunderstandings or bruised feelings that my words may have caused any abductee, who have already suffered enough, but at the same time I must categorically deny that, though I may be proved to be wrong about the source and nature of their experiences, I am unsympathetic to what they have had — and continue — to endure. Like them, I, too, am trying to understand this experience and it heartens me to know that at least some abductees who have written to me think that, after all, I "may be on the right track."

It would take another article to reply to all the objections that various writers have raised about my interpretation of abductions; but the ones brought up by the abductees themselves whose comments were published were, I felt, the most important to be addressed. I hope that my remarks here have served to reassure them on some troubling points while at the same time clarifying my own position regarding the reality I accord to the experiences which have so profoundly affected their lives.

— Kenneth Ring, Ph.D.  
Storrs, CT



# The Night Sky

Walter N. Webb

May 1990

## Bright Planets (Evening Sky):

Jupiter (magnitude -1.9), in Gemini, shines brightly in the west at dusk and sets about 11:30 PM daylight time in mid-May. The crescent Moon lies only 2° above the planet on the 26th.

## Bright Planets (Morning Sky):

The trio of Venus (-4.0), Mars (0.6), and Saturn (0.4) still can be seen at dawn low in the east, ESE, and south, respectively. Saturn rises first around midnight, followed by Mars about 3 AM and Venus at 4 AM. The Moon is only 1.5° below Saturn on May 15. The ringed planet begins retrograde (westward) motion on the 5th.

## Meteor Shower:

The gibbous Moon hampers viewing of this year's May Aquarid shower on the mornings of May 4 and 5. Nevertheless, an observer may see occasional bright yellow meteors streaking swiftly out of Aquarius in the east in the predawn sky.

## Comet Austin:

Earlier predictions for Comet Austin reaching zero magnitude have dimmed. New comets are notoriously fickle objects and don't always follow the curves forecast for them. Still, Austin could be visible to the naked eye at around 2nd magnitude in late April and somewhat fainter early in May. Knowledge of its exact position against the stars, and the use of binoculars will improve your chances of seeing the fuzzy object.

In early May the comet moves north of the Great Square of Pegasus and climbs higher each morning in the eastern dawn sky. (Look an hour or so before sunrise.) It comes within some 23 million miles of Earth on the 25th. Comet Austin's revised positions (1950 coordinates): Apr. 24, R.A. 0h 43m, Dec. +35° 44'; May 4, 23h 48m, +35° 07'; 14th, 22h 28m, +28° 35'; 24th, 20h 12m, +06° 49'.

## Space Telescope Update:

The Hubble Space Telescope was successfully deployed on April 25 by the space shuttle Discovery.

## Moon Phases:

First quarter — May 1

Full moon — May 9

Last quarter — May 17

New moon — May 24

First quarter — May 31

## The Stars:

The Big Dipper, high in the north, is centrally located in the late evening sky and serves as a handy built-in pointer system for finding many springtime constellations. First of all, a line through the two stars (Dubhe and Merak) on the end of the bowl carries you to a spot near Polaris the North Star, which is the end of the Little Dipper's handle. The stellar pair (Megrez and Phad) on the other side of the bowl points in the opposite direction to Regulus, the heart of Leo the Lion. The Big Dipper's handle traces a curve southward to the bright orange star, Arcturus, in Bootes the Herdsman. And another curved line extended an equal distance from Arcturus farther south takes you to blue-white Spica in Virgo the Maiden.

As we catch our last glimpse of the winter stars in the west — Procyon,

Pollux and Castor, and Capella — that sky symbol of summer, the Summer Triangle (Vega, Deneb, Altair), has begun to appear above the NE horizon.

## UFO NEWSCLIPPING SERVICE

The UFO Newsclipping Service will keep you informed of all the latest United States and World-Wide UFO reports (i.e., little known photographic cases, close encounters and landing reports, occupant cases) and all other UFO reports, many of which are carried only in small town and foreign newspapers.

Our UFO Newsclipping Service issues are 20-page monthly reports, reproduced by photo-offset, containing the latest United States and Canadian UFO newsclippings, with our foreign section carrying the latest British, Australian, New Zealand and other foreign press reports. Also included is a 3-5 page section of "Fortean" clippings (i.e., Bigfoot and other "monster" reports). Let us keep you informed of the latest happenings in the UFO and Fortean fields.

For subscription information and sample pages from our service, write today to:

UFO NEWSCLIPPING SERVICE  
Route 1 - Box 220  
Plumerville, Arkansas 72127



**Budd Hopkins**

Featured speaker at MUFON  
1990 Symposium in Pensacola.

## MESSAGE, Continued

work, the symposium will be hosted by Pensacola MUFON with **Vicki P. Lyons**, General Chairman; **Charles D. Flannigan**, State Director; **Carol and Rex Salisberry**, Co-State Section Directors; and **Donald M. Ware**, Eastern Regional Director.

Speakers scheduled and their speech titles are the following: **Edward and Frances Walters** (Gulf Breeze, FL), "Current Gulf Breeze Events"; Reverend **Barry H. Downing**, Ph.D. (Endwell, NY), "ET Contact: The Religious Dimension"; **Budd Hopkins** (New York, NY), "UFO Abduction Cases in the Gulf Breeze, Florida Area"; **John L. Spencer** (Harpندن, England), "The Differences Between Perception of Ufology in America and Europe"; **Robert L. Hall**, Ph.D. (St. Michaels, MD), "On Mass Panic and Other Favorite Myths"; **David A. Gotlib**, B.Sc., M.D. (Toronto, Canada), "Who Speaks for the Witness? Medical and Ethical Issues in Abduction Research"; **Brian O'Leary**, Ph.D. (Phoenix, AZ), "UFOs, Extraterrestrials and the New Science"; **Rima E. Laibow**, M.D. (Dobbs Ferry, NY), "Experienced Anomalous Trauma: New Directions"; **Donald R. Schmitt** (Hubertus, WI), "New Revelations from Roswell"; **Carey H. Baker**, newspaper publisher (Rainsville, AL), "The Fyffe Alabama Experience"; **John E. Brandenburg**, Ph.D. (Alexandria, VA), "The Rainbow Declaration and Human Destiny in the Cosmos"; and **Antonio Huneus** (New York, NY) "Red Skies: The Great 1989 UFO Wave in the U.S.S.R."

The Pensacola Hilton is located at 200 East Gregory St., Pensacola, FL 32501. Special room rates of \$55 per night (1 to 4 occupancy) are available. Make your reservations directly with the hotel by calling (904) 433-3336. The Hilton will provide free shuttle service to and from the Pensacola Regional Airport.

A "Get Acquainted" Reception with hors d'oeuvres will be held Friday evening, July 6, from 6 to 9 p.m. Cost: \$5 per person before June 1;

advance reservations are required. A cash bar will be available. The formal speaking portion of the symposium will consist of five sessions; three on Saturday, July 7, from 9:00 a.m. to 10:30 p.m. and two on Sunday, July 8, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. with breaks for meals. The advance registration fee for all five sessions is \$35 before June 1 and \$40 thereafter. Vicki Lyons has advised that advance registrations are exceeding expectations as of this date. A nearby hotel has been reserved for the overflow when the Pensacola Hilton is fully booked. It is recommended that you make your reservations at the hotel and advance registration as soon as possible. Individual sessions will be on space available basis at \$10 per person per session, paid at the door.

A chartered bus tour of UFO sites in the Gulf Breeze area is scheduled for Sunday morning, July 8, from 8:30 to 10:00 a.m. Advance reservations for the bus tour are required; bus fee: \$12 per person before June 1.

Delta Airlines is the official airline for the 1990 Symposium. Delta is offering 40% off regular coach fares and 5% off their lowest available fare. Seniors (age 62 or older) get an additional 10% off the lowest available fare. To get these discounts, you must call: 1-800-768-5463; ask for Reagan or Sue at Gulf Breeze Travel and tell them that you are coming to the MUFON Symposium.

Send advance registration form or letter with your check to: **Art Hufford**, 2300 Hallmark Drive, Pensacola, FL 32503. Make your check payable to: MUFON 1990 Symposium.

In addition to the symposium, a press conference is scheduled for Friday, July 6 at 1:30 p.m. for the speakers who have already arrived. **Dan Wright** is planning a meeting for State and Asst. State Directors or their

authorized representatives for Friday, July 6 at 10:00 a.m. and continuing until about 4 p.m. The MUFON Corporate Board of Directors Meeting is scheduled for Sunday morning, July 8th from 9:00 a.m. till noon.

### Western Regional Director Election

The term of **Paul C. Cerny**, the present Western Regional Director on the MUFON Board of Directors, will soon expire according to corporate bylaws. Filling this important position for the western states is a wonderful opportunity for a person with leadership abilities, dedication to resolving the UFO phenomenon, and time resources. Experience as a State or State Section Director are valuable attributes, however enthusiasm and available time are also certainly significant assets in successfully fulfilling this prestigious position. Since it is strictly a volunteer position, anyone interested in being considered should write to **Walt Andrus** to express their interest and desire. An election will be conducted by ballot by the members in the western states of WA, OR, CA, ID, NV, UT, AZ, MT, WY, CO, NM, AK and HI. The candidates must also reside in one of these states.

### MUFON Amateur Radio Net

80 meters — 3.990 MHz  
Saturday, 10 p.m.

40 meters — 7.237 MHz  
Saturday, 8 a.m.

10 meters — 28.460 MHz  
Thursday, 8 p.m.

10 meters — 28.470 MHz  
Sunday, 3 p.m.

All times Eastern Standard  
or Daylight

### Coming in future issues ...

- **Frederick Taylor on Crop Circles**
- **Martin Cannon on Mind Control & 'Abductions'**
- **Walter Webb, Bob Gribble & More ...**

# Director's Message

## Walt Andrus

### National UFO Information Week

The Sixth National UFO Information Week has been scheduled for August 12-19, 1990. This will be an ideal opportunity for State and State Section groups to set up photo exhibits, displays and booths for receiving UFO sighting reports and presenting closed circuit TV showing of UFO documentaries in shopping malls and libraries. Advance planning is required in order to reserve space in these facilities and to construct the exhibits and displays. Marge Christensen, Director of Public Education, heartily endorses this program in order to take advantage of the resurging interest in UFOs.

### MUFON Award

The Annual MUFON Award plaque for the most outstanding contribution to Ufology in 1989-1990 will be presented at the MUFON 1990 International UFO Symposium in Pensacola, Florida on July 7, 1990. Only members of the Board of Directors may nominate candidates for this prestigious recognition. However, anyone may recommend a person for the award by submitting the name of their candidate, along with a written paragraph stating their accomplishments, and mailing same to one of the Board of Directors listed in the 1989 symposium proceedings, or Jennie Zeidman.

The actual contribution or work is not confined to the calendar year of 1989-90, but may include significant accomplishments during the past five years. The Fund for UFO Research will provide a \$500 cash award to the recipient. The deadline for receiving nominations from Board Members is April 28, 1990 in Seguin, Texas. A ballot will be enclosed with the May

1990 issue of the MUFON UFO Journal so all members and subscribers may vote for their choice from the candidates proposed.

### New Officers

It is obvious that educated individuals are becoming more and more interested in resolving the UFO phenomenon, especially if the numbers of new Consultants and Research Specialists recently volunteering their services are any indication. The following individuals became Consultants this month: **Laszlo Steiner**, Ph.D. (Santa Rosa, CA) in Agriculture Economics; **Cran Lucas**, Ph.D. (Shreveport, LA) in Genetics; **Earl B. Knick**, Ph.D. (Melbourne, FL) in Electrical Engineering; **Stuart Appelle**, Ph.D. (Rochester, NY) in Experimental Psychology; **David J. Brandis**, D.D.S. (Springfield, IL) in Dental Surgery; **David B. Cheek**, M.D. (Santa Barbara, CA) Physician and Hypnotherapist; **Robert B. Koser**, M.D. (Bradenton, FL) in Medicine; **Paul Drallos**, Ph.D. (Albuquerque, NM) in Physics; **Robert L. Auchenbach**, J.D. (Harleysville, PA) in Law; and **William F. Kopta** (Downers Grove, IL) in Law. New Research Specialists this month are: **Laura G. Lietz**, M.A. (St. Joseph, MI) in Counseling Psychology; **Linda J. Brandis**, M.A. (Springfield, IL) in Cultural Anthropology; **Bruce L. Opal**, M.S. (Baton Rouge, LA) in Computer Science; and **Louisa S. Ewing**, M.A. (Taylors, SC) in Education (History).

**Donald M. Ware**, Eastern Regional Director, has promoted **Charles D. Flannigan** (Pensacola, FL) to State Director for Florida. **Henry H. McKay**, Canadian Regional Director, appointed **Michael J. Strainic** (Vancouver, BC) to Provincial Director for British Columbia, replacing **John**

**Magor** due to illness. **S. Christopher Early**, State Director for Georgia, selected **Nedd D. Mockler**, M.S. (Lilburn, GA) as his Assistant State Director in addition to his duties as State Section Director for Gwinnett, De Kalb, Rock and Walton Counties.

The following people were selected to become new State Section Directors: **Mark E. Blashak**, Virginia State Director, appointed **Don W. Lovett**, (Mechanicsville, VA) for Hanover and King William Counties; and **Biff Downey** (Richmond, VA) for Henrico and Chesterfield Counties. **Charles D. Flannigan**, Florida State Director, promoted **Carol** and **Rex Salisbury**, to replace himself, as Co-State Section Directors for Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties and **Lois Wilson**, R.N. (Tallahassee, FL) for Leon, Jefferson, Gadsden and Wakulla Counties. **Teresa Brito-Asenap**, State Director for New Mexico, approved the appointment of **Bridget Ann Kiser** (Santa Rosa, NM) for Guadalupe County. **Skip D. Shultz**, Oregon State Director, selected **Carlo J. Sposito** (Portland, OR) for Multnomah County. **Doris Upchurch** (Corpus Christi, TX) was promoted by **Thomas P. Deuley** to State Section Director for Nueces and Patricio Counties in Texas.

### MUFON 1990 Symposium

The theme for the MUFON 1990 International UFO Symposium in Pensacola, Florida is "UFOs: The Impact of E.T. Contact Upon Society." It will convene on the weekend of July 6, 7 and 8 at the beautiful Pensacola Hilton Hotel, only a few miles from the Gulf Breeze sightings that have continued for over two years. Sponsored by the Mutual UFO Net-

Continued on page 23