

THE HERALD OF PROGRESS.

DEVOTED TO THE DISCOVERY AND APPLICATION OF TRUTH.

VOL. 2., No. 14.]

{ A. J. DAVIS & CO.,
274 Canal St.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MAY 25, 1861.

{ TWO DOLLARS
per Year.

[WHOLE NO. 66.

TO WRITERS AND READERS.

B. P. A letter X on the margin opposite this notice is made to indicate to the subscriber that his subscription will expire with the next number. We trust that the interest of no person will expire with his subscription.

K. S. This Editor will be accessible to his friends and the public only on each Wednesday, at the publication office, a few doors east of Broadway.

D. S. A portion of our Editorial Staff will occasionally use the Phonautographic characters for signatures, in order to interest our readers in the brevity, utility, and economy of the system.

B. P. Let no confederate conclude, because we postpone no man's decline, the publication of an article, that we are, therefore, prejudiced against the writer of it, nor that we necessarily entertain sentiments hostile to his. We shall make every reasonable effort to satisfy both reader and correspondent.

S. O. Non-official letters and unbusiness correspondence (which the writers design for only the editor's perusal) should be supercribed "private" or "confidence."

B. P. The real name of each contributor must be given to the B. P., though, of course, it will be held from the public, if desired.

D. S. We are earnestly laboring to pulverize all sectarian creeds and to fraternize the spiritual affections of mankind. Will you work with us?

Whisperings to Correspondents.

"TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN."

F. T. L., MASS.—We have received "Tidings from the Summer Land."

D. HURLBURST, M. D. will receive our report on "The Eye-Opener" among books reviews soon.

M. A. S., ADRIAN.—We think that you will find something suitable to your infirmities in our columns one of these days.

ISAAC G., WILKESBARRE.—Please tell Miss S. C. to read the Medical Whispers in our last week's issue.

J. W. T., BELLEVILLE, ILL.—"Things to be Considered by the Opposer" is a welcome paper. It will be published, but not immediately, as our big drawer is nearly full of accepted contributions.

W. L. COFFINBERRY'S answer to Wm. B. Bishop's question—"How do birds keep themselves in a fixed position in the air without any apparent exertion," will soon be published.

D. J. BALDWIN'S reply to William Thirds, just received at this office, is died, with other papers on the same subject, to appear when the judgments of the people are more capable of dispensation inquiry.

LUCIE LAURAL, in the fullness of her love for the glorious cause of Human Progress, will not await our invitation. Let her pen move responsive to the dictates of the inward principle. May our pages ever be bearers of "glad tidings" to your interior being.

B. B., DUBUQUE, IOWA.—There is nothing in the sphere of your letter to indicate that you will become a medium. We think that your natural gifts are those of "Healing" and of "Knowledge." Your other questions cannot receive attention at this time.

E. R. ENRATA.—The beautiful extract in No. 64 of our journal, which we entitled "The Sacred Realm," and attributed to Dr. Bushnell, was written by Emerson, and may be found in the second series of his Essays.

E. In No. 5. (April 27th,) of the *Banner of Light*, is a short poem entitled "The Son's Birth-right," purporting to be written for that paper by a medium. The real author of this poem [Mrs. M. F. D.] does not claim to be a medium. An unmitigated and correct copy of it may be found in No. 15, Vol. 1, of the HERALD OF PROGRESS.

E. A. M., MILLPORT.—"Tis well, Sister. We would not have you teach others to depend upon you for their spiritual literature. It is best to help only those who would help themselves had they the opportunity and the means. That charity which teaches dependency is far more loving than wise.

S. L., CANADA WEST.—It will be hardly possible for us to prescribe for you a better treatment than that given to "Felicitas, Utica," in our last number. Be brave and hopeful, good friend, and the Angels of Health will come very near—will hold thy hands in theirs, and bless you with an immortal benefaction.

MINA, WAYNE, PA.—Strange Whispers drop through the air for thee, promising a period of happiness in this life, but it will not come before health and buoyancy are thine. We have no particular remedy for thy afflictions. Time, Magnetism, and Water, judiciously applied, would aid and cheer thee.

W. K. J., FAIR HAVEN.—Your mental structure does not favor a development of Clairvoyance. As a medium for the impartation of magnetism to the sick, you would be successful. The highest "spiritual circle" is a well-balanced mind. And the finest "physical manifestations" are doing good to some and harm to none. To such a medium the Infinite Mother and Father of spirits are not far off.

MES. S. C. H., RICHLAND CITY.—Many minds, notwithstanding the dawn of new light concerning the naturalness of spiritual beings, do not recover from the old nursery tales, so frightful to the young imagination, of grave-yard ghosts and haunted houses. Perhaps, in your own case, these early impressions have not ceased to exert an influence. This may be the unconscious reason why you dread the near approach of the "beloved" from beyond the tomb, unless your state be that of intellectual trance, in which all fear of the eternal world is overcome.

HANNAH asks: "Can I learn Phonography without the aid of a teacher? If so, please tell me what by means?" ANSWER: You can learn Phonography without a teacher just as the first man acquired the art, viz.: by independent study and practice, both day and night, for many months; but we would counsel you to either make yourself acquainted with the principles of the system, as explained in Standard works, or else avail yourself of the experiences and suggestions of a practical phonographer.

LOUISA J. M., PRINCETON, N.J.—Children born with a blemish over the face are fortunate in one respect, viz.: The inheritance of a clearer surface-brain; for it is manifest to our perceptions that the substance composing the val, is, in part, excreted from the brain, through the cranium, before birth, thus cleaning the channels of clairvoyant vision of much obstructing material, which, in most persons, entirely precludes everything in the line of such experience.

AN EDITOR IN TROUBLE.—The conductor of a city paper says: The extraordinary number of prose and poetical effusions which we daily receive, while affording unmistakable evidences of the high estimation in which our journal is held by our numerous correspondents, places us in a difficult position, from which we find it hard to escape, without giving, as we fear, unmerited and undesigned offense. Already we have more accepted articles on hand that we can find room for in six months; and still a torrent continues to pour upon us, which promises, in another month, to give us a year's supply. When we receive a good article we cannot conscientiously decline it, nor can we agree to give it immediate insertion.

What shall we do, then, to save wounding the feelings of our friends, and, at the same time, do them all the justice in our power? Simply this: to request that no more contributions be sent us until we call for them. Please, then, dear authors and authoresses, to hold in your intellectual horses until we give the word "go!"

REINSVILLE, April 16, 1861.

FRIEND DAVIS: From what did the writers of the Bible reckon "the evening and the morning," before the greater and lesser lights were made? Yours, in truth, W. B.

A N S W E R.

The writers of *Genesis*—and several persons were writers of this book—lived at a time, probably, when the Sabbath had long been consecrated as a holy day—as a day of rest. And when they wrote, the original reason for the institution of the day as sacred had probably long been forgotten. Remember that the week of these writers, unlike that of the Greeks, consisted of seven days. We

can account for this fact only on the supposition that the heavenly bodies were the primary objects of worship with the people from whom the Hebrews derived their religious system. This people early discovered that, from new to full moon, there were fourteen days; from new moon till the moon showed a quarter of her face, seven; and from full moon to full moon twenty-eight (nearly.) Hence arose the division of the week into seven days, in honor of "Ashtaroth of Horns," or Asstarte, *alias*, in the Greek system, Diana, the moon. It was only natural that the other great heavenly body—Baal, or the Sun, should be honored at the close of every seven days.

But, in the time of the authors of *Genesis*, this religious system, in the locality of the writers, had been superseded by another worship.

They therefore attempt to represent the process of creation as being accomplished by a new deity—ELOHIM, the bi-sexual God; but the seventh day being already consecrated to him as a *rest-day*, they were compelled to give him the other six for *work-days*, otherwise the week would not be his. In accordance with this fancy, the creation commences at an arbitrarily assumed evening, which the Hebrew system of reckoning time, namely, from evening to evening—all days beginning at evening—made familiar to him. He probably also believed that daylight did not always proceed from the sun, for that luminary does not, in his philosophy, officiate as light-bearer, till the fourth day. It is to this whinsey that we must attribute his reckoning days and nights without a sun; or we must take the only other alternative, namely, that he *forgot* that sunlight was essential to make a day—a kind of anachronism which is peculiar to many parts of Scripture. Thus the author of "Samuel" makes David carry Goliath's head to Jerusalem, while it is yet in the possession of his enemies; and the four Evangelists all make Jesus preach faith in an already crucified and risen Saviour, as essential to salvation.

There is no literature so curious as that of infallible books. Meanwhile, let us not forget to "search the Scriptures." D. L.

Philosophical Department.

"Let truth no more be gagged, nor conscience dungeon'd, nor science impeached of godlessness."

Miracles of the Bible.

ARE THE LAWS OF NATURE EVER SUSPENDED?

A DISCOURSE BY GERRIT SMITH, DELIVERED IN PETERBORO, APRIL 14, 1861.

Havé there ever been miracles? By which I mean, have the laws of Nature ever been suspended? Neither the observations and computations of astronomers, nor the explorations of geologists detect such suspension. "All things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation." As yet, it holds true, "while the earth remaineth, seedtime and harvest, and cold and heat, and summer and winter, and day and night, shall not cease."

Whatever the good that might come of miracles, certain it is that immeasurable evil would also come of them. Men would no longer know what to rely on in either the physical or the moral world—in the character of Nature or the character of God. That with God "is no variableness, neither shadow of turning;" and that like his Son, who reflects him, he is "the same yesterday, and to-day, and forever," is taught more largely and surely by the unchanging operations of his laws than elsewhere.

What an appalling and withering uncertainty miracles send throughout the realms of natural science! Quite discouraged would be the geologists and astronomers, and quickly abandoned their enterprises, should they come to fear change in those operations. Unhappy would be the effect upon the navigator, the farmer, the mechanic, the physician, and indeed upon whom not?

But you will say, that miracles are too infrequent for such disastrous consequences. You are, with few exceptions, Protestants; and you will say that the sole object of miracles is the authentication of Christianity. Nay, you will say "that there have been none for the last eighteen centuries, and that there never will be any more."

Even, however, if miracles are for this end only, they cannot be so infrequent as you suppose. The conditions of hell in one age may differ very widely from those in another, and so also in one country from those in another. What to the Jews were miracles, might not to the English wear the least semblance of them. So common were they in Judea, that their being miracles was not at all in the way of their being believed. But a great change must be wrought in the English mind before it can be brought so far as even to listen to testimony in behalf of this astonishing, and, at first, incredible phenomenon. But had the news been that an infant was seen to enter the world without a mother, then, and even though millions had testified to their personal and certain knowledge of the event, we should (always provided that our religion did not call for faith in it) have, from first to last, refused to believe in the event. For there is nothing in our previous knowledge and training to help, but on the contrary, everything to prevent our believing in it. However entire our faith in the honesty and intelligence of the witnesses, we nevertheless could not believe in it. Nay, we would in such case discredit the report, and impeach the trustworthiness of even our own senses; for while, on the one hand, our eyes, ears and hands, have often deceived us, and we have known the scenes of the most wondrous to be the subjects of illusions, we have, on the other, never known the least faltering in the laws of nature. In other words, we have never known a miracle. A (however greatly deformed) child is but a *lunus naturae*—not a *natural impossibility*—not a miracle. But a child without a birth—a birth without a mother—that is a *natural impossibility*—that is a miracle.

You admit that there is but one reason for miracles, and this is that the Christian religion may be thereby authenticated. But is there even this reason? Can there be miracles even to this end? Religion consists in nothing more nor less than the knowledge and observance of the laws of Nature. Hence, to make her laws uncertain is to make her religion uncertain. To make the laws of Nature uncertain, is to deprive mankind of their great and sure religious teacher. Miracles, then, might serve to unsettle and destroy, but not to establish religion; and therefore they will never be among the expedients of the Supreme Wisdom for establishing it.

I persist in my definition of religion. The man who, beyond all others, treats God and man and all beings according to the nature of each, is religious beyond all others. If human nature in the slave calls not for a

bound by fair logic either to give up your faith in all miracles, or to admit that they are so frequent as to forbid reliance upon the unvarying character and operation of the laws of nature.

But you feel that you cannot possibly cease to credit the miracles which are historically connected with your religion. Remember, however, that they are not part of it, and that its truth does not make them true.

Your faith in them, to be justified, must have a basis quite independent of that of your religious faith.

You must neither assume nor infer them to be true. You must have clear and direct proof of them, or you must reject them. Is there enough of such proof to carry conviction to an enlightened and unbiased mind? I think there is not. Of the numberless educated and good men, whether Protestants or Catholics, who believe in miracles, I do not think there is one who could believe in them, but for their being identified in his apprehensions with his religion. Such identification makes them sacred to him. He feels no need of their being proved to him, and to every disproof of them he is impervious and blind.

We proceed to inquire why it is that, as a general proposition, and indeed in every case save this in which the miracles are associated with the cherished religion, sound and cultivated men refuse their credence to them. It is because their observation and experience of the constancy and certainty of natural laws are too conclusive to be shaken by even the utmost accumulations of human testimony. Never have they seen inconsistency and uncertainty in these laws. But the moral constitution has given us the will not dishonor by such overruling. Both our glory and his own require him to hold it to its high responsibilities; and, therefore, the sinking of it from its free choices to the necessity of a machine can never be his policy.

It is said that if miracles do not convince

of truth, they are nevertheless useful to call

attention to it. But life abounds in events

far better adapted to this service than mira-

cles could be. The death of our husband,

wife, child; our prostration by sickness;

our sudden reduction from riches to poverty

—if they have not as much power as mira-

cles to astonish, have nevertheless far more

to call attention to religious truth. Our

Maker has adapted us to the truth. This

adaptation he has left us free to honor or

despise; and this freedom he will not over-

rule with miracles. The moral constitution

he has given us will not dishonor by such

overruling. Both our glory and his own re-

quire him to hold it to its high responsibili-

ties; and, therefore, the sinking of it from its

free choices to the necessity of a machine

can never be his policy.

It is said that if miracles do not convince

of truth, they are nevertheless useful to call

attention to it. But life abounds in events

far better adapted to this service than mira-

cles could be. The death of our husband,

wife, child; our prostration by sickness;

our sudden reduction from riches to poverty

—if they have not as much power as mira-

cles to astonish, have nevertheless far more

to call attention to religious truth. Our

Maker has adapted us to the truth. This

adaptation he has left us free to honor or

despise; and this freedom he will not over-

rule with miracles. The moral constitution

he has given us will not dishonor by such

overruling. Both our glory and his own re-

quire him to hold it to its high responsibili-

ties; and, therefore, the sinking of it from its

free choices to the necessity of a machine

can never be his policy.

I need say no more to show that the Chris-

tian miracles, as well as the miracles of other

religions, are neither proved nor capable of

being proved. They may not be the coinage

of craft and cunning. The love of the mar-

vellous, and the credulity of superstition, may

chiefly account for them.

But it is held that not to believe in mira-

cles, is not to believe in the Bible

which many of its writers and speakers were inspired to utter with a more impressive and soul-reaching eloquence than belongs to any other inspirations—these are what give its preminence to the Bible. Nay, these are in the Bible; and these are what justify me in still saying, as I have been wont to do: "The Bible, the whole Bible, and nothing but the Bible." The religion of the Bible is the true religion. Men need no other, and they need the whole of it. Far am I from claiming exclusive inspiration for these writers and speakers. The Common Father is impartial. The influences of his spirit are free to men of all ages and nations. But these speakers and writers got nearer to God, and knew more of him, than did others. Not content with striking the streams, they traveled up them to the fountains, and shaked their holy thirst ere yet the divine waters had begun to flow down through human impurities. No other writers and speakers seem to have escaped so far from the sphere of human uncertainties—none to have entered so far into the sphere of divine certainties. No other voices of earth sound so much like voices of heaven.

It is also held, that, not to believe in miracles is not to believe in Christ. But why should it be held? Substantially the same miracles are told of the conception and birth of Plato, who lived hundreds of years before Christ, as are told of the conception and birth of Christ. Nevertheless, our making no account of these marvels in the case of Plato, does not cause us to make no account, nor even any less account, of Plato himself. Miracles are coupled with the names, with the birth, deeds, and death, of many ancient philosophers and heroes. But our rejection of the miracles involves not the rejection of the men. And what if we do believe that the original attribution of miracles to Christ was crafty or superstitious, and that, therefore, instead of being sanctioned, it should be set aside? Nevertheless, Christ is not thereby set aside. He still remains; and he remains the same great Teacher and example, and therein the same great Saviour. He still realizes our highest conceptions of God's moral character, and therein is he still "God manifest in the flesh."

Miracles and magic go together. Hence, they who believe that they are saved by what Christ has done, rather than by what his spirit—the spirit which filled him both in life and death—has led them to do, will naturally cling to miracles. They will feel that to give them up, is to give up Christ, and to give up the magic salvation which they expect at his hands. But they who take no interest in the question whether Christ's mother was born sinful or sinless; and but little interest in the questions how, when, where he was born, and who believe that he saves men from the penalty of no other sins than those which his spirit saves them from committing, and who believe that all they have to do with him is to grow, and bring others to grow, in love and likeness to him—they will as naturally be undisturbed by the conclusion that the miracles connected with his birth, life, and death, are mere fictions.

Let me do injustice to none. Tens of thousands believe in the miracles, and also in that view of the atonement which I have disfavored, who not only believe in following Christ, but who set that duty far above all dogmas. The best and the worst men believe in the miracles, the trinity, and in that view of the atonement. The best and the worst men are orthodox. Practical religion only—lived-out-goodness only—that alone is the text—that alone puts all the good on one side, and all the bad on the other.

I have glanced at the arguments for believing in miracles; I will now pass on to the great need of their being disbelieved. Formerly I thought it not very important whether they were believed or disbelieved. But of late years I have reached the conclusion that scarcely anything is more important than that they be disbelieved. Book religion may justly be regarded as the greatest evil in the world. It will, however, last as long as miracles are believed in—they being recorded with it, and regarded as a part of it. Herein, then, is the great need of the rejection of miracles. Nevertheless, who will live long enough to witness the rejection? It is the union with each other of miracles and a book religion, which serves to make each well nigh invincible. The miracles admitted, and the religion is held to be true; the religion admitted, and the miracles are held to be true.

One of the necessities growing out of a book religion, is a priesthood—that frightful enemy of manhood. The mission of the book-religion priest is to unman himself and his people; to make a book-war upon human nature, and all nature; and to displace the real God by a conventional and book God. His people get their religion at second-hand, and it is what the priesthood have prepared for them. For, if they are allowed to read the Sacred Book, it is only in the light of priestly interpretations, and with no liberty to depart from priestly conclusions. Its religion is held to be wrapped up in mysteries which priestly learning is alone adequate to unfold—to be a cabalistic science, which sacerdotal skill can alone decipher. I should have called this popular religion a third-hand one, since no book-religion can rise any higher than a second-hand one—any higher than a record of the religious utterances of nature.

Am I asked whether I am opposed to all priests? I am. What, even to priests of the type of Henry Ward Beecher? There are no priests of that type. Mr. Beecher is not a

priest—he is a man. His soul is manly, and his preaching is manly. He is not the servant of the book; the book is his servant. He preaches from current life to current life; from nature to nature; from all nature and the God who fills all nature, to human nature. He does, indeed, love the Bible; and how could such a man fail to love such a book? He preaches its views of God and man. But he does so because his reason commands them to him as the richest and truest views of God and man which human hearts have ever conceived, or human hearts ever recorded. Should he find, as he never will, passages in that book favoring slavery or intemperance, he would in no wise be trammelled by them. He would still go with nature and religion, and against these enemies of both. But Mr. Beecher has speculative views of Christ differing from yours and mine! That may be. Still, as he subscribes with us to Christ's practical religion of doing as we would be done by, we can be very tolerant of such speculative views.

I mentioned Mr. Beecher not to eulogize him, but simply to illustrate an unprestigious preacher. I could find fault even with Mr. Beecher. The great and good Theodore Parker was almost disposed to welcome infirmities, not to say sins, in Washington, on the ground that they served as points and ties of sympathy between him and his fellow men, and to retain within the sphere of humanity this seemingly superhuman savior of his country. Mr. Beecher does, now and then, slide down into expediency; and now and then make concessions to a great wrong. I will not deny that he does by this means help keep himself in sympathy with the masses—help retain his hold upon them, and help preserve a wide field in which to wield his rich and exhaustless eloquence. Yet I must believe that God is never honored, nor mankind ever benefited, by any inconsistency, whether in Washington, or Beecher, or any one else, with the stern law of absolute rectitude.

It is not to get rid of preaching that we would have the priesthood abolished. Its abolition, which will be simultaneous with that of the book-religion, will make room for multitudes of preachers—such preachers as the world needs—preachers of nature, and reason, and righteousness.

One of the great evils of book religion is its forbidding progress in religion. Is the book a thousand years old? then it is mighty to hold back the human mind to a period a thousand years ago; and if three thousand, then to a period three thousand years ago. The believers in the Koran, in the Vedas, and in the sacred books of China, are at the present time religiously, and therefore intellectually and otherwise, where they have been for many, many ages. The same is true of the tribes which are bound and imprisoned by traditional religions—the effect of such religions being in this respect the same as that of the written religions. How sadly do the condition and character of the Mohammedans, Hindoos, and Chinese, illustrate the cramping and crushing influences of a book-fastened, stereotyped, stationary religion! Happy for Christendom that her sacred book is incomparably better than the sacred books of other parts of the world! For, in spite of the false and narrow interpretations put upon it by the priesthood, there has been great progress in Christendom. Yet how little this progress, compared with what it would have been had the book been held to be but a helper, and not a finality in religion! All the way down to the present time has the priesthood—putting its own meaning upon the book—arrayed it, more or less, in one way or another, against nature, reason, science, religion, and progress. At one time it is made to withstand astronomy, and at another geology. At the present time it is made to withstand the efforts to abolish war, intemperance, slavery, and the wrongs which oppress woman. As the authority of the book has always been set by the priesthood above nature—above the teachings of nature both in and out of man—so it is not strange that the book, or rather what has passed for it, has been involved in this incessant fight with nature. All now see the folly of its fight with astronomical and geological nature; and all will yet see the wickedness of its fight with human nature. The doctrine that man was made to wear the yoke of slavery will yet be as universally scouted as the doctrine that the great sun was made to revolve around the little earth.

Book-religion cannot subdue the mighty evils of the world. Dr. Cheever interprets the Bible against, and another Doctor interprets it for slavery. Dr. Nott interprets it against, and another Doctor for the drinking of intoxicating liquors. When Doctors disagree, the people cannot decide—for it requires learning to decide in such a case, and the people are not learned. They are not linguists and critics. Hence they must go this way and that, according not only to the different, but also to the changing courses of their learned leaders.

By the way, it is not clear that Dr. Cheever's anti-slavery labors will, on the whole, be useful. They certainly will be so far as the noble man succeeds in vindicating the precious Bible from pro-slavery aspersions. But they will not be if he shall bring large numbers to consent to let it turn finally on the Bible, whether slavery is right or wrong. Dr. Nott speaks and writes for temperance with very great ability. Nevertheless, he will do more harm than good if he shall lead mul-

titudes to make a book the final arbiter on this vital question.

Who battles more effectively for both Freedom and Temperance than the great American orator, Wendell Phillips? Nevertheless, although he now welcomes the aid of the Bible, he would be found battling against it also, were he to become convinced that it is against freedom and temperance. Go to the Bible as it might, he would still go for human nature, and therefore for the God in whose image it is made. Would you have him turn away from the authority of God's plainly-written book to construct an authority out of the controverted pages of a man-written book?

In my condemnation of party, I have had

no reference to the temporary combinations of men for repealing this wrong law, or exacting that right one; for preventing this, or securing that measure of political economy; for electing this good candidate, or defeating that bad one. Such combinations may be as justifiable as are those for raising or removing buildings. What I condemn is, going into a permanent party; going into it for life; going into it for personal advantage, and to supply with party influence the lack of personal influence. What I condemn is, going into a party as a matter of course; going into the Baptist, or Methodist, or Odd Fellow, or Masonic party, because others do; going into a political or other party, because you weary of the dullness of your family or yourself; going into it to exchange the quiet enjoyments of individualism for the excitements and frenzies of party spirit! How poor and evanescent the pleasures of party!—of clubs! How rich the harvest of self-cultivation! How noble the results of self-reliance!

I will detain you no longer. For years our Little church has testified against a book-religion as a great and ruinous mistake. This testimony, along with others which we have felt bound to give, has made us very odious. But still more odious shall we be if we deny miracles. And yet, unless we deny them if we would do all we can to rid the world of a book-religion, and if we would be faithful to all our convictions! Life is short. Let us hasten to say what we believe men need to have said, even though we shall be hated for saying it. We can afford to forego the public approbation, if but our conscience approve us.

Childhood.

"Thou later revelation! Silver stream,
Breaking with laughter from the lake divine
Whence all things flow!"

For the Herald of Progress.
The Cowslip Diggers.
BY STELLA.

Paul and Paulina were twin brother and sister. They lived with their mother, a poor German woman, a few miles from Boston, in a little hut near a meadow.

When the warm spring sun had coaxed the cowslips to put forth new leaves, and deck the meadow with their golden flowers, Little Paul and Paulina went out one afternoon with a basket, which they carried between them, and Paul said: "If we dig it full, Paul, may we'll get money enough to have a doctor for mother?"

Then, we won't stop a minute till it's full, will we, Paul?" his little sister answered; and they worked so busily, thinking of their dear mother who lay ill upon the bed, that they scarcely spoke again until their basket was heaped with the tender green plants, from which, here and there, peeped a golden flower.

It was now almost dark, and they hastened home full of hope that the cowslips would bring their mother the needed help.

At sunrise the following morning they were on their way to the city, their hearts beating fast to the tread of their little bare feet, and as loudly with fear as with hope, for these little children were but seven years old, and Paulina had never gone into the city before, nor had Paul, except with his mother.

By and by Paulina began to feel very tired, for she was not as large, nor nearly as strong as Paul; so they set down their basket, and waited awhile, hoping some of the market-men might take them into their wagons. But one appeared to notice them, and lifting their basket, they tradged on again.

"Oh, Paul! I've hurt my foot!" cried Paulina, when they had gone but a little way.

But they had to put down the basket again, while Paul examined her foot, and wiped away the blood. Then they went forward again, Paulina trying not to limp or mind the pain.

Just then a gardener, from one of the green houses, came along with a wagon full of flowers, which he was carrying to market.

At sight of them, Paulina ran after the wagon, urging Paul to "look." Her eyes sparkled so brightly with pleasure, that the kind-hearted gardener stopped his horse, and said:

"You seem to take such a fancy to my load, I guess I must give you a rose. I've got a little girl to home, about as big as you, that sets a deal by roses."

Paulina was so surprised and pleased at the unexpected gift, that she forgot to thank the gardener until he was driving off. Then Paul called after him: "Please, sir, would you carry my sister to Boston? I'll give you this to pay for it," offering a half dime which his mother had given him to buy bread with.

"I shall have some to buy the bread when we sell the cowslips, but we can't sell 'em if we can't get to Boston, and Pauli's hurt her foot."

"But what'll become of you if I take sis? How could you find her 'mongst so many people?"

"I don't know." And now, for the first time, Paul's courage failed, and tears started to his eyes.

"Let me see! you ain't a *great* load both together, and I can make a place for your greens 'mongst the flower-pots, so climb up here," said the kind man. "I'll put sis behind, 'long of the roses, so's not to have her tumbling out."

Paul sat where he could keep hold of his little sister's hand, and except for thoughts of their sick mother, they would have felt very happy.

When they reached the city, the gardener drove to a street where he thought they would find the best purchasers for their cowslips; but before putting them down, he inquired how they were going to return.

"We are going home in the horse-cars, if we get more money 'n the doctor's," answered Paul.

"How much is the doctor's money?"

"I don't know."

"Well, if you're a mind to go back with me, you're welcome. My team'll be standing round somewhere handy, when you've got through peddling."

The gardener's kindness gave Paul and Paulina fresh courage, and when they rang at the back gate of a large house near the entrance of the street, they felt almost sure of success. As the porter opened the gate, they saw half a dozen boys and girls looking down from a bay-window, and Paulina, being a timid little girl, wanted to hide behind Paul.

"Oh, Uncle William! please come quick and tell us what these beggar children have in their basket!" called little Alice Carey. "Tom says they are dandelions."

Uncle William looked over the children's heads and said: "What an ignorant little Alice she must be not to know the cowslips when they come to see her."

"They want old clothes, I know," said Alice's cousin, Rose. "If they'll stop at our house, I'll give them some of my old shoes for their pretty flowers."

"It's just like the Italian story-book, isn't it—beggars and flowers?" asked her cousin Rose.

"Be still laughing at them, Rob, you'll frighten the little heathen out of their wits," said a cousin of Robert's.

"You had better not correct my manners till you've mended your own, Sir Arthur," retorted Robert.

"There! Bridget is sending them off, and I wanted to see how cowslips looked!" Alice pettishly exclaimed.

"Stop, you thieves!" called her cousin Tom; at the same time striking on the window to attract their attention.

"I think it would be more gentlemanly to open the window, Tom, if you want to speak to them," said his uncle, lifting the sash as he spoke. "How do you sell your cowslips, my little lad?" he asked.

"Nine pence a mess, sir."

"Can you stop a moment? Here is a little girl who never saw a cowslip."

Paulina looked up shyly to see the little girl who had never seen a cowslip, as if she expected a strange sight, but Alice had left the window, and was running down stairs. The others followed, and soon Paul and his sister were surrounded by the six cousins; each asking some question, to which Paul gave ready answers, but Paulina kept close to his side, and her eyes bent, so as not to meet their bold gaze of curiosity.

"Where did you get that beautiful rose? My name is Rose, and you must sell it to me to wear to the dancing-school this afternoon."

"The flower-man gave it to me; I'm going to carry it to mother," answered Paulina, hiding behind Paul, and taking fast hold of his jacket.

"But hadn't you rather have this piece of money?"

Paulina thought of her sick mother, and of how many things they needed at home. The half-dime Rose held would buy a loaf of bread, or a quart of milk, or help towards getting the doctor; so she reluctantly handed her the rose, looking longingly after it with tearful eyes.

Uncle William now appeared with Bridget, to say he would take two messes of their cowslips. "How far do you live from town?" he asked.

"Four miles; on the Dorchester road," answered Paul.

"That's a long way for you to bring so heavy a basket."

"A gardener let us ride in his team, sir, and we're going back with him, to save more money for the doctor."

"For the doctor?"

"Yes, sir; mother's sick this three days with the fever, and we're going to sell greens to get the doctor."

Grace, Rose's sister, was teasing for the rose, and saying it was prettier for her to have, because she was going to wear a pink dress to the dancing-school. Their cross looks and proud manner made Paulina afraid to offer Grace some of the cowslips, as she wished.

"To-morrow is May-day; is it a nice place to go Maying, where you live?" asked Alice.

Paulina answered that she did not know what "Maying" was.

"Don't you know what *Maying* is? it's a whole party of boys and girls going after May flowers."

Paulina's pale little face lit with a sweet,

bright smile, as she said: "The woods is full of flowers, Paul says. I like flowers better'n everything; don't you?"

Alice liked so many things that she replied: "I like them sometimes."

Paulina reached her the flowers she had been gathering from the basket, saying: "The one that hasn't got the rose may have 'em. I'll pick you a great bunch if you'll come where I live."

And Paul said: "I'll get all the white blossoms that's in the woods for you, if you want 'em—as many as you can carry."

Then they were going away, but "Uncle William" asked them to wait while he went up stairs. When he returned, he gave Paul some medicine for his mother, telling him that he would visit her the next morning, and that he need not go for a doctor.

Their poor mother felt very grateful when her children returned home in safety, and told her of the kindness shown them by the gardener and the "good gentleman," who was coming, they said, the next day, to make her well; also, that they had sold all their cowslips, and brought home half a dollar. Paulina told her mother, too, about "a girl they called a Rose," who bought her rose, "but she wasn't so pretty as roses and cowslips," she said.

[Concluded next week]

Laws and Systems.

"Thrice is he armed who hath his quarrel just—
And he but naked, though locked up in steel,
Whose conscience with injustice is corrupted."

For the Herald of Progress.

More about Land Monopoly.

In the HERALD, of April 6th, is a sensible article, in my estimation, by B. M. Newkirk, on the above subject, which is followed by an "Explanation Editorial," filling one column of the paper, the intention of which seemed to be to assert that the honest, industrious poor in this country were suffering on account of those monsters called Land Monopolists, appropriating all the land to their own use, and leaving nothing for these, "poor, honest people."

As I have long been trying, in vain, to discover the very great wrong which these landless men think they find in land-monopoly in this country, I read this article through, to see if the editor had not some startling facts (1) in this case that I had not before discovered, but I did not find them (2). There was a plenty of assertions and complaints, but a total failure to show to my mind that there existed any great difficulty in obtaining land, or houses and dwellings. In fact, he did not attempt to show that there is any more difficulty in obtaining land than there was in procuring horses, cattle, provisions, or money; nor did he attempt to prove that land was any more necessary for the well-being or comfort of man, than food, clothing, or money. Then why these complaints? (3) Why not complain of the monopoly of dollars? One man has more than another, one man has ten times what he needs, whilst another has none at all; why not complain of this monopoly? If every man had the money, he could also get the land, for money will always buy it, and, at the present time, at very low prices.

(4) Who are these complainers? Are they not mostly men who own no land? many who never owned any? many others who have no money to buy it with? and many others, still, who lack the industry, prudence, temperance, and tact, to even earn money or land? If this is their character, would they keep land if it were given to them? or would they earn a living from it if they did keep it?

(5) Why not consult the land-holder in our investigation of this subject? We might obtain as much light from men who had always owned land, as we can from these landless men. Most of our land-holders have once been landless, and most of them purchased their lands by their own industry. (6) Do the profits arising from the purchase and rental of land exceed those of other ordinary business transactions? Has land-holding been a successful business above others? and are there many families in this country who have held large tracts through many generations? Please enlighten the understanding of Your benighted friend,

J. G.

A WORD IN REPLY.

(1.) We know not what our correspondent would consider a "startling fact;" but to us it is sufficiently startling to know by the United States Census returns for 1850—a fact alluded to in our former comments—that all the lands of the Union (not public) were held by about *one-third of the population*. A free people can continue free only so long as the number of their freeholders does not sink below a certain proportion of the population. The nearer the head of a family draws to that condition, in which the possession of a *home* is dependent upon the fluctuations of trade, or the caprices of an arrogant employer, the more pliant tool does he become of those who trade in politics, and who buy and sell votes for political success. Hence, no republic is secure whose foundations are a vast *tenantry*—men who *pay rent for a home*. The reason is, that this class are likely to be always in market to the highest political bidder.

Again, the greater the equality of property, and consequent sameness of rank among citizens, the greater the demand for varied industry, the wider the diffusion of ordinary comforts, and the more marked the absence of great social vices and crimes. Which people would probably be the more moral and

happy, that in which the majority of the families were freeholders, or that in which they were tenants? If the former, is it not good political prudence to foster such a *system of land-holding*, that the number of freeholders may augment with the increase of population?

(2.) There were no assertions made in our comments on the article referred to (HERALD, No. 59,) that are not either borne out by common observation, or that may not be substantiated by very little effort, provided, always, that the truth on this question is not unwelcome. We did not, it is true, attempt to show that there is any more difficulty in obtaining land, than in procuring provisions, horses, cattle, and the like. We supposed that the French cook's recipe for cooking a hare was based on so obvious principle, that it needed no statement, namely: To cook a hare, first catch it. So we suppose that for the majority of men, in order to make money, and become owners of horses and cattle, it would be vastly convenient to have the ground on which horses and cattle are to stand. We are glad now to comprehend the principle which our friend advocates, namely: In order that a laboring man may commence life successfully, let him enter the world, in debt for rent on the hovel in which his mother gave him birth! The exceeding beneficence of this principle passes all adequate commendation.

(3.) Well; that is precisely what we intend to do. What is a monopoly? An *unjust privilege* of accumulating property of any kind. We strike for *equal* privileges so far as equality in privileges is compatible with the *common rights of all*. There are facilities for the monopoly of money quite as odious as facilities for other crying evils. We raise our voice for their abridgment. We have already taken occasion in this journal to show how even *money* is most unjustly monopolized, and we shall "harp on that string" at every convenient opportunity.

(4.) We rather think the most of these complainers are men who own no land. But we have heard of men who owned acre by the thousand, who still wanted the *adjoining farms*. Even an old Roman poet quotes such an one, nineteen centuries ago, as whining:

"O si anguis ille
Quod nunc denunciat agellum!"—

"Oh! if that little corner, which now disfigures my farm [were mine]!" So the complainers are not always the landless. But in general, we confess that the needy are the loudest complainers. Would you have the loudest-to-do monopolize all the complaining?

You ask whether many who lack the industry, prudence, temperance, and tact, to earn money, or land, are not also among the fault-finders? They are. And justly. Their class is the product, for the most part, of land-monopoly. The children of a miserable tenantry, born in want, filth, and rags, as many of them are, where, in God's name, are they to learn the virtues of temperance, industry, freedom, and tact? These are plants that can be nowhere surely and thriflily raised but in *homes owned by the parents*. And, therefore, we say, multiply *homes*, rather than tenants' *hovels*.

The very fact that land, in civilized communities, bears a price, should show that the mass of the people are not indolent, not intemperate, not lacking in tact. Of the minority that are thus characterized, most are made so by a false social system, quite as much as by intrinsic unworthiness. If you doubt it, study the personal history of the first half dozen of that class you meet.

(5.) The question is, whether the privilege of accumulating land indefinitely is not a curse to society at large. The proper place to begin our inquiries, is with those who find the greatest difficulty, with honest industry, in acquiring the common comforts of life, *shelter*, food, and clothing. Is the land-holder such an one? or rather is it not the man who is at the mercy of the caprices of an employer, or of the fluctuations of trade, for a "place where to lay his head"? But we need not take the testimony of individual persons. Here are a few well known facts, not at all "startling."

1. Money is constantly accumulating in the hands of a few. 2. Land is the safest kind of property to hold, "in the long run."

3. The death of land-holders does not proceed at so rapid a rate as the concentration of moneyed capital. 4. Every man can buy as much land as his money will acquire. It is a very forced conclusion from all these facts, that the number of freeholders in society is constantly decreasing, and that with the decrease of freeholders goes the manly independence of a free people!

If most of our land-holders have once been landless, there are far too many still of the landless that never will be land-holders. The landless and homeless classes are the dangerous classes. What interest in social order has he, who, longing for the innocent delights of family and home, has before him only the life-long prospect of being a *tenant at sufferance* merely, on the face of the earth? Is property made more secure by diminishing the number of those who hold it? on the contrary, the greater the number of owners of homes, the greater the protection to all homes; for the number of those who enjoy common interests is thus augmented. It is astonishing that men of property cannot recognize so simple a principle. But they generally act as if property was rendered more secure in proportion to the legal guarantees given to facilitate for monopoly.

The only real issue between the land-reformer and the land-monopolist, is this: The latter insists on the right of buying all the land

he can get, the former on limiting the privilege. If the land-monopolist principle is the correct one, it is right in its extreme application, which is, that one person shall own all the land of a commonwealth. It is to-day exemplified in Egypt, where the Pasha is the proprietor of the soil—but the people are—slaves! Why should they not be? He who commands the sources of supply for food, clothing and shelter, is to that extent my master. If every man may buy all the land he can get, the great majority are inevitably doomed to be tenants, not freeholders. Is this a good condition for the people?

(6.) We knew a planter in Tennessee a few years ago who owned 1700 acres of land in one body, which he cultivated with seventy slaves. He did not make three per cent. profit on his capital (slaves and land.) A poor man with a large family rented some twenty acres from him, and just managed to live. Would it have been better that the planter should have worked the freeman and his slaves, as tenants on the whole estate, than that the estate should have been divided into freeholds among all that wrought upon it? Not that all should have been farmers. But while some were farmers, others smiths, some shoemakers, and others engaged in still different callings, what harm were it if every family had owned a small home? The question of profits settles nothing. It is a question between two kinds of society: one in which the people are divided into landlords and a tenantry—the other, one in which the mass of the people are *freeholders*, pursuing every possible occupation needed by the common weal. That is the issue tendered to thinking men by the Land-reformer. Which kind of society do you prefer?

D. L.

The Teachings of Nature.

Perfection and truthfulness of mind are the secret intentions of Nature."

For the Herald of Progress.

The Development Controversy.

MR. WARREN'S FINAL REPLY
MR. LELAND.

BIRMINGHAM, O., April 25, 1861.

BROTHER LELAND:—Yours, of March 7th, came to me in the HERALD, No. 58; but I had too much on hand to reply immediately. I write only when "the spirit moves." You are very sensitive, too much so, it seems to me, to be just. I have carefully examined my former article, to which you allude, and find no such language, or sentiment, concerning our ignorance of the laws of Mind, as you ascribe to me. Our readers will judge between us in this, as well as other cases to which I might refer.

As to your lectures at Birmingham, I must reaffirm that you adduced no facts whatever as proof of immortality. You treated of man as a progressive being; and hence, inferring his immortality, you devoted one lecture to his career in a higher state of existence. Your subject was not definitely announced, though it was understood to be something connected with Geology. After listening to the course, I have defined it as the "History of Development," from the first formation of the earth's crust, to the peopling of the Spirit World with immortal beings. To have rendered such an investigation scientific, the law of Development should have been distinctly revealed, and immortality logically predicated upon it. Prof. Draper, in his work on Physiology, thus expresses the mode in which Science is to fathom these mysteries: "So long as our attention was confined to statical physiology, everything connected with this subject (immortality) was enveloped in darkness; but it will be very different when dynamical physiology begins to be cultivated—dynamical physiology, which speaks of the course of life, of organs, individuals, and races. *The laws of development* will guide us to an interpretation of many things which are now shrouded in obscurity, and teach us, from a consideration of what we have learned of our past, and what we know of our present, what we may expect of our future state."

I am a profound admirer of this sentiment, which, in the beginning, I suggested as a foundation for our present discussion, and which you will now see, might have pointed us to those "fossil footprints of spirits," which must have settled, scientifically, the direction of the road we are to travel after death. I am, therefore, surprised and disappointed at your repudiation of this philosophical basis, and resort to the alleged facts of Spiritualism, (ninety-five per cent. of which you acknowledge to be spurious,) which you thus elevate above science.

In this last article, you assume two propositions concerning the nature and powers of the human soul, or spirit, which were necessarily implied in the former; thus, at last, stating your philosophy in an intelligible form. You argue from the facts of somnambulism, and clairvoyance, that the spirit is distinct from the body, and can exist without it; and from the facts of Spiritualism, that it does so exist after the body is dissolved. The facts adduced in support of your third proposition, which you first discuss, have been fully and logically, as I think, explained; but with a coolness bordering on effrontery, you set my explanation aside without comment, and pronounce your conclusions incontrovertibly established. It will be observed, that admitting all your positions, we have learned next to nothing of human destiny. That we exist after death does

not prove that we shall live always. The soul may survive this shock, and still not be imperishable. This is admitted on all hands; but your argument is based on the assumption that the existence of spirits proves immortality. This, with your first and second propositions, will be considered as I proceed with an affirmative statement of my philosophy of Development; commencing with

THE ORIGIN OF MAN.

The tribes embodying the germs of humanity, were, like all other primitive forms, evolved by what is termed spontaneous generation—that is, by a mechanical and chemical intermingling of elements previous to the advent of a reproductive organism, each species being endowed with specific attributes and functions, in themselves constant and unprogressive. There were many of these primeval families more or less allied in physical and mental characteristics. The progress we observe in some mixed races has mainly arisen from the operation of the law of hybridity, by which the offspring inherits the peculiarities of both parents. And, as the progress of allied and proximate species only are prolific, it is clear that no transmutation can have taken place from the lower orders previously existing, since man possesses faculties and configurations entirely distinct from those of all other species within the limit of fertility. Improved climate and other conditions would give the faculties greater activity and power, and thus develop character which had before remained latent, but could never change an organic function, these being indelibly fixed in the antenatal state. All theories, therefore, looking to the elevation of savage nations, without an infusion of foreign blood, will prove fallacious. I need not occupy my limited space with facts in addition to those already adduced on these points.

WHAT IS THE SOUL?

Physiology, aided by chemistry, magnetism, &c., has shown beyond dispute that the brain is a magnetic battery, the electrical undulations of which constitute our thoughts; that we are not entities, but processes, through which matter is constantly passing on its way toward refinement and perfection, the entire fabric being several times rebuilt in the course of its career. The brain is composed of many distinct organs, variously developed and proportioned, and capable of acting separately or in concert. In somnambulism the activity is concentrated upon one set of faculties, in connection with one or more of the senses. In clairvoyance the eyes are closed, the mind receiving its impressions by magnetic induction from other minds, or from the objects toward which its attention is directed. The two conditions are based upon the same principles. In both the vital force is withdrawn from portions of the brain, and concentrated upon other portions. If it be all pressed upon a single organ, as in the Vermont arithmetical prodigy, we see the strangest manifestations, characterized by the nature of that particular organ. If other faculties participate in the movement, we have a different display of phenomena, as in the case of the lawyer to whom you refer, and of your mathematical friend.

But Mr. Owen, in his "Footfalls," says: "Individuals have been seen in places many miles distant from their physical bodies." I have not this book by me, and would therefore inquire if it is asserted that persons have been seen in such situations by those who were themselves in their normal condition. If so, the fact would go to prove not only that the spirit can exist without the body, but that it has a body of its own which is tangible to the senses, (strange there are so few of them seen); while, if the witnesses are not in their normal condition, their testimony is good for nothing. I have a friend who tells of seeing his brother-in-law, whom he was expecting from a distance, two days before he actually came. The brother seemed following but a short distance from him, and, after waiting a little for him to come up, he resumed his walk, speaking of the circumstance to a neighbor who accompanied him, and upon turning again they both vanished; advancing again they saw him no more. This is a case parallel to the one you mention; but a significant fact should be noticed: the brother-in-law had experienced nothing unusual, and knew nothing of his arrival after his arrival. Here is a test fact which we can only explain in one of two ways: either a man may leave his physical habitation and travel to "places many miles distant," without ever knowing it, or, which is far more probable, a very impressionable mind may see things that do not exist. This seeing is all in the subjective world—a spontaneous activity of the finely-organized mind. Such mind is infinitely etherialized and elastic; the tremulous intonations of the finest musical instrument are slow movements compared with the delicate undulations that enliven the chambers of its conscious being; the slightest influence from without is wafted along the telegraphic wires, and registered in the recording office with incredible speed and precision. All this in its ordinary condition; but when part of its faculties sleep—when its force is concentrated in a single direction—the organs through which the inspiration pours glow with unearthly light and yield to the gentlest influence. A thought from a dear one far away, the aura from a simple flower, or the electrical currents from the mineral beds below, now awaken vivid pictures of scenery and events, persons and principles, throughout the visible and invisible world. *These pictures are not always truthful*, but, from the slightest impulse, continue to

THE DESTINY OF THE RACE.

In the quietude of its original birthplace, the meager faculties of each tribe basked in undisturbed repose. The conditions which first gave them existence, had supplied them the necessities of life, of which they had but to partake without labor, and without care. The animal brain predominated. The passion for reproduction was indomitable; and this, seeking its libidinous gratification, brought *hybridity*, a war of opposing mental and physical forces, each striving to maintain its individuality, and stamp its image on the organism. In this manner Intellect was born, and, from generation to generation, we see this physiological warfare raging, until, from innumerable crossings and re-crossings, the towering Caucasian mind is unfolded. These discordant, but aspiring souls, ever since history began, have been prophesying an Eden state, a delicious rest, where pleasure is to be spontaneous and unalloyed. This aspiration for perfect happiness, is but the longing of particular faculties to regain their original condition of unobstructed gratification. All mortal conceptions of a paradise, heaven, or happy "millennium," are but the beauties of Eden reproduced and improved, the perpetual building, but Wisdom alone can immortalize building, but Wisdom alone can immortalize

THE HERALD OF PROGRESS.

HERALD OF PROGRESS.

ANDREW JACKSON DAVIS, EDITOR.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MAY 25, 1861.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION:

SINGLE COPIES, per year,	\$2.00
TEN " " to one Post Office,	5.00
TWENTY " " " 10.00	

And any larger number sent to one Post Office at the rate of \$1.50 each.

Postage at our risk. For all large sums, drafts on New York should be procured, if possible.

Additions to circulation in the club rates, only when sent from the same Post Office.

Single copies of the HERALD OF PROGRESS may be obtained of all the NEWS DEALERS throughout the country.

Sample copies mailed from this office on application. A limited number of Advertisements will be received at the rate of ten cents a line for the first insertion, and eight cents for each subsequent insertion.

NOTES: All notices, advertisements, or communications intended for publication must be sent in the week preceding date of publication. The earlier the better. All letters to be addressed to

A. J. DAVIS & CO., PUBLISHERS,

274 Canal Street, New York.

Office Hours, 9 A.M. to 4 P.M. Publication Office is located a few doors east of No. 418 Broadway.

"THE COWSLIP DIGGERS" will be finished for our little friends next week.

"PAUL, THE SIMPLE," published in this number, is a sketch illustrative of the Eternal Spiritual Law.

"THE LAST REPLY OF MR. WARREN TO MR. LELAND, ON THE DEVELOPMENT THEORY, IS PRINTED IN THIS NUMBER.

"OUR REPORT OF MR. FAY'S CIRCLE FOR PHYSICAL MANIFESTATIONS, RECENTLY HELD AT THE RESIDENCE OF MR. CHARLES PARTRIDGE, OF THIS CITY, WILL APPEAR NEXT WEEK.

"LAND MONOPOLY" IS THE IMPORTANT SUBJECT DISCUSSED AT SOME LENGTH, ON OUR THIRD PAGE. WE HOPE THAT OUR CORRESPONDENT WILL PONDER THE REPLY OF "D. L." AND FAVOR US WITH ANY FUTURE THOUGHTS HE MAY HAVE ON THE POINTS PRESENTED.

"UNION AND PROGRESSION!"—THESE WORDS, SO REPLETE WITH INTEGRAL PATRIOTISM AND SPIRITUAL SIGNIFICANCE, ARE PRINTED ON THE BANNER RECENTLY UNFURLED BY THE SPIRITUALISTS OF ST. CHARLES, ILL. WE WOULD SUGGEST THE GENERAL ADOPTION OF THESE WORDS BY THE FRIENDS OF PROGRESS IN ALL SECTIONS OF THE COUNTRY.

"THE DISCOURSE BY HON. GERRIT SMITH, WHICH IS GIVEN TO THE PUBLIC IN THIS NUMBER, WILL IMPART GRATIFICATION TO THOUSANDS. IT IS ABLE AND CANDID IN ARGUMENT, COMPREHENSIVE IN THE SWEEP OF ITS CONCLUSIONS, AND ESTABLISHES THE FACT THAT MR. SMITH IS INTELLECTUALLY AND MORALLY SUPERIOR TO THE CREEDS AND DOGMAS THAT CLUSTER ABOUT THE PRINCIPLES OF TRUTH, REASON, LIFE, AND IMMORTALITY."

"PROPHETIC WORDS OF THEODORE PARKER."

THE FOLLOWING EXTRACTS FROM SERMONS AND SPEECHES BY THEODORE PARKER, DURING THE YEARS JUST PRECEDING HIS JOURNEY TO THE SUMMER LAND, SHOW THAT HIS EARNEST MIND WAS BURDENED BY A PRESENCE OF THE NATIONAL STRUGGLES NOW AGITATING OUR COUNTRY.

FREEDOM AND SLAVERY.

THESE TWO IDEAS ARE NOW FAIRLY ON FOOT. THEY ARE HOSTILE; THEY ARE BOTH MUTUALLY INVASIVE AND DESTRUCTIVE. THEY ARE IN EXACT OPPOSITION TO EACH OTHER, AND THE NATION WHICH EMBODIES THESE TWO IS NOT A FIGURE OF EQUILIBRIUM. AS BOTH ARE ACTIVE FORCES IN THE MINDS OF MEN, AND AS EACH IDEA TENDS TO BECOME A FACT—A UNIVERSAL AND EXCLUSIVE FACT—AS MEN WITH THESE IDEAS ORGANIZE INTO PARTIES AS A MEANS TO MAKE THEIR IDEAS INTO A FACT,—IT FOLLOWS THAT THERE MUST NOT ONLY BE STRIFE AMONGST PHILOSOPHICAL MEN ABOUT THESE ANTAGONISTIC PRINCIPLES AND IDEAS, BUT A STRIFE OF PRACTICAL MEN ABOUT CORRESPONDING FACTS AND MEASURES. SO THE QUARREL, IF NOT OTHERWISE ENDED, WILL PASS FROM WORDS TO WHAT SEEKS MORE SERIOUS; AND ONE WILL OVERCOME THE OTHER.

SO LONG AS THESE TWO IDEAS EXIST IN THE NATION AS TWO POLITICAL FORCES, THERE IS NO NATIONAL UNITY OF IDEA, OF COURSE NO UNITY OF ACTION.

FOR THERE IS NO CENTER OF GRAVITY COMMON TO FREEDOM AND SLAVERY. THEY WILL NOT COMPOSE AN EQUILIBRIUM FIGURE. YOU MAY CRY "PEACE! PEACE!" BUT SO LONG AS THESE TWO ANTAGONISTIC IDEAS REMAIN, EACH SEEKING TO ORGANIZE ITSELF AND GET EXCLUSIVE POWER, THERE IS NO PEACE; THERE CAN BE NONE.

THE QUESTION BEFORE THE NATION TO-DAY IS,

WHICH SHALL PREVAIL—the IDEA AND FACT OF FREEDOM, OR THE IDEA AND FACT OF SLAVERY; FREEDOM; EXCLUSIVE AND UNIVERSAL, OR SLAVERY, EXCLUSIVE AND UNIVERSAL? THE QUESTION IS NOT MERELY, SHALL THE AFRICAN BE BOND OR FREE? BUT, SHALL AMERICA BE A DEMOCRACY OR A DESPOTISM?

FOR NOTHING IS SO REMORSELESS AS AN IDEA, AND NO LOGIC IS SO STRONG AS THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF A NATIONAL IDEA BY MILLIONS OF MEN. A MEASURE IS NOTHING WITHOUT ITS PRINCIPLE. THE IDEA WHICH ALLOWS SLAVERY IN SOUTH CAROLINA WILL ESTABLISH IT ALSO IN NEW ENGLAND. THE BONDAGE OF A BLACK MAN IN ALEXANDRIA IMPERILS EVERY WHITE WOMAN'S DAUGHTER IN BOSTON. YOU CANNOT ESCAPE THE CONSEQUENCES OF A FIRST PRINCIPLE MORE THAN YOU CAN "TAKE THE LEAP OF NIAGARA AND STOP WHEN HALF WAY DOWN."

THE PRINCIPLE WHICH RECOGNIZES SLAVERY IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES WOULD MAKE ALL AMERICA A DESPOTISM, WHILE THE PRINCIPLE WHICH MADE JOHN QUINCY ADAMS A FREE MAN WOULD EXTRAPOLATE SLAVERY FROM LOUISIANA AND TEXAS. IT IS PLAIN AMERICA CANNOT LONG HOLD

THESE TWO CONTRADICTIONS IN THE NATIONAL CONSCIOUSNESS. EQUILIBRIUM MUST COME.

"OH, WELL FOR HIM WHOSE WILL IS STRONG! HE SUFFERS, BUT HE WILL NOT SUFFER LONG; HE SUFFERS, BUT HE CANNOT SUFFER WRONG: FOR HIM NOR MOVES THE LONELY WORLD'S RANDOM MOCK, NOR ALL CALAMITY'S LUGEST WAVES CONFOUND, THAT, COMPASSED ROUND WITH TURBULENT SOUND, IN MIDDLE OCEAN MEETS THE SURGING SHOCK, TEMPEST-BUFFETED, CITADEL-CROWN'D."

NOTES OF PROGRESS.

A GOOD EXAMPLE.

WE HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE OFFICERS OF THE "LADIES' SPIRITUAL SOCIAL CIRCLE," OF DEERFIELD, LENAWEE CO., MICH., TWO DOLLARS, WITH DIRECTIONS TO FORWARD THE HERALD OF PROGRESS TO A GIVEN ADDRESS FOR ONE YEAR, IN ACCORDANCE WITH A RESOLUTION OF THE CIRCLE.

WILL NOT SOCIAL ORGANIZATIONS IN OTHER LOCALITIES PROFIT BY THIS EXAMPLE.

AN INNOVATION.

WE CHRONICLE A CHANGE RECENTLY INAUGURATED IN THE SUNDAY EVENING SERVICE OF ONE OF THE UNITARIAN CHURCHES OF THIS CITY, THAT OF DR. OSGOOD, STYLED THE CHURCH OF THE MESSIAH.

THE SERVICE PREPARED EXPRESSLY FOR THIS CHURCH CONSISTS OF A VARIETY SELECTED FROM THE VESPERS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, THE FORMS OF THE EPISCOPAL SERVICE, AND THE SILENCE OF THE QUAKER RITUAL.

IT CONTAINS ONLY ONE SHORT PRAYER, TWO READINGS FROM THE BIBLE—ONE EACH FROM THE OLD AND NEW TESTAMENT—ONE PSALM READ RESPONSIVELY, A SHORT EXHORTATION FROM THE PULPIT, SILENT SPACE OF ABOUT TEN MINUTES DURATION, THE LORD'S PRAYER RENDERED IN MUSICAL LANGUAGE BY THE CHOIR, TWO HYMNS, ONE EACH BY THE CHOIR AND CONGREGATION, AND TWO SELECTIONS OF SACRED MUSIC FROM CLASSICAL COMPOSITIONS.

IT IS PROPOSED TO SUBSTITUTE THIS IN PLACE OF THE USUAL FORM OF EVENING SERVICE, ONCE IN EACH MONTH.

WE TAKE PLEASURE IN NOTING AN INNOVATION OF THIS CLASS AND CHARACTER, AS TENDING TO BREAK UP THE RIGIDITY OF RELIGIOUS OBSERVANCES, AND RELIEVING CONGREGATIONS OF SABBATH WORSHIPPERS FROM THE SUPERABUNDANCE OF PULPIT EXHORTATION, BY INTRODUCING AN ATTRACTIVE MUSICAL ENTERTAINMENT. IT IS A STEP TOWARD THE CHURCH OF THE FUTURE, WHERE PARENTS AND CHILDREN MAY ENJOY RELIGIOUS CULTIVATION, A HARMONIOUS INFLUENCE, AND TRUE INTELLECTUAL AND SPIRITUAL REFRESHMENT, WITHOUT THE WEARISOME FORMS WHICH STILL PERTAIN TO ALL SUNDAY EXERCISES.

GROVE MEETINGS.

THERE WILL BE A GROVE MEETING AT BRUSHY PRAIRIE, IND., SATURDAY AND SUNDAY, JUNE 15 AND 16. THE FRIENDS HAVE PREPARED A BEAUTIFUL GROVE, AND A "GOOD TIME" IS ANTICIPATED. THE FOLLOWING SPEAKERS ARE ENGAGED: J. T. ROUSE, S. C. COFFINBERRY, AND S. P. LELAND. OTHER SPEAKERS WILL DOUBTLESS BE IN ATTENDANCE. FRIENDS FROM A DISTANCE WILL BE PROVIDED WITH PLACES TO STAY.

THERE WILL ALSO BE A GROVE MEETING AT SOUTH KIRKLAND, OHIO, JUNE 22 AND 23. SEVERAL SPEAKERS ARE ENGAGED TO BE IN ATTENDANCE. THE FRIENDS HAVE FITTED UP A FINE GROVE, AND WILL DO ALL IN THEIR POWER TO RENDER THE MEETING PLEASANT AND PROFITABLE. A LARGE ATTENDANCE IS EXPECTED.

YEARLY MEETING AT WATERLOO, NEW YORK.

THE THIRTEENTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE FRIENDS OF HUMAN PROGRESS WILL TAKE PLACE AT THE FRIENDS' MEETING HOUSE, NEAR WATERLOO, SENeca COUNTY, NEW YORK, ON FRIDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF MAY, 1861, AT 10 O'CLOCK, A. M., AND CONTINUE THROUGH SATURDAY AND SUNDAY, THE 1ST AND 2D DAYS OF JUNE.

AS THIS IS A MEETING BOUND TOGETHER BY NO ECCLESIASTICAL LIGATURES, BUT BY A COMMON TIE OF BROTHERHOOD AND A COMMON LOVE OF TRUTH, PURITY, AND PROGRESS, IT EMBRACES MEN AND WOMEN DIFFERING WIDELY IN THEOLOGICAL OPINION, BUT AGREEING IN THE ONE GREAT CENTRAL DOCTRINE AND PRACTICE OF ALL TRUE RELIGION, NAMELY: LOVE TO GOD, EXHIBITED IN LOVE TO OTHERS.

THEOREFORE A CORDIAL AND HEARTY INVITATION IS EXTENDED TO ALL LOVERS OF GOD AND HUMANITY, WITHOUT REGARD TO SEX, COLOR, SECT, OR CONDITION, TO COME UP TO THIS ANNUAL GATHERING, AND ENJOY THE FREE EXPRESSION OF ALL CARNEST THOUGHTS AND IDEAS CALCULATED TO PROMOTE TRUTH, FREEDOM, PURITY AND PROGRESS.

COMMUNICATIONS FOR THE MEETING SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO I. LISK, WATERLOO, N. Y. ISRAEL LISK, STEPHEN SHEAR, PHEBE DEAN, CAROLINE HALSTEAD, MARY DOTY, HENRY BONNEL, COMMITTEE OF ARRANGEMENTS.

CHAGRIN FALLS.

A. HARLOW WRITES THAT THE SOCIETY AT CHAGRIN FALLS, OH., HAVE ORGANIZED, WITH THE FOLLOWING BOARD OF OFFICERS: LUCIUS GOODWIN, PRESIDENT; A. HARLOW, H. B. VINCENT, AND SILAS ANTISDEL, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE; W. C. WALDRON, TREASURER; A. HARLOW, CORRESPONDING AND RECORDING SECRETARY; CHARLES WALDRON, COLLECTOR OF DUES; S. O. BANCROFT, WARDEN, FOR THE NEXT SIX MONTHS.

WE ARE ORGANIZING A JUVENILE CLASS TO RECEIVE PROPER INSTRUCTION, AND PROPOSE HOLDING MEETINGS REGULARLY EVERY SUNDAY THROUGH THE COMING YEAR.

THE GREAT SPIRITUAL JUBILEE FOR THIS SECTION OF THE WEST WILL BE HELD HERE ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY FOLLOWING THE 4TH OF JULY, WHICH ALL FRIENDS, FAR AND NEAR, ARE MOST CORDIALLY INVITED TO ATTEND. PROMINENT SPEAKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE PRESENT.

MRS. H. M. MILLER HAS RECENTLY SPOKEN AT THIS PLACE, WITH GREAT SUCCESS.

Sight and Insight.

For the Herald of Progress.

SAINTS AND SINNERS.

NUMBER FIVE.

For the Herald of Progress.

THE HOUR BEFORE DAWN.

BY C. L. M.

BY MRS. LOVE M. WILLIS.

"DON'T BE HOUNDING ON THE BACK TRACK!" IS A GOOD OLD PROVERB, AND yet the saying, "WHAT HAS BEEN IS," IS QUITE AS GOOD A MAXIM. THE PAST TEACHES US, BY SHOWING US THE FACTS AND TRUTHS OF HUMANITY. AS THE GEOLOGIST FINDS THE LAWS OF NATURE WRITTEN ON THE BEDS OF PETRIFIED LEAVES AND INSECTS, AND ON THE TABLETS OF STONE READS THE HISTORY OF LAW, SO WE CAN FIND, IN LIFE-HISTORIES FROM THE PAST, THE RECORD OF OURSELVES, OUR NATURES, OUR POWERS, OUR GIFTS AND GRACES.

PAUL, THE SIMPLE, PROVES THE NATURALNESS OF THE SPIRITUAL FACULTIES, AND THE FACTS OF SPIRITUALISM.

HE WAS SO EARNEST AND TRUTHFUL, WITH HIS UNEDUCATED MIND, THAT THEY GAVE HIM THE TITLE OF SIMPLE. FATHER ANTHONY, WHO WAS BORN 252, HAD REPEATED, IN CHRISTIAN EXPERIENCE, THE FOLY OF THE ANCHORITES OF PAGANISM, AND PAUL WENT TO HIM TO BE TAUGHT THE ART OF GOING UNWASHED, UNCLAD, UNCLOTHED, FOR THE GLORY OF GOD.

PAUL DID NOT LIVE HAPPILY WITH HIS WIFE, AND LEFT HER TO BECOME A DISCIPLE OF ANTHONY: VERY POSSIBLY HE MIGHT HAVE DESERVED, AS MUCH AS SOME OTHERS, THE IMPUTATION OF BEING A "FREE LOVER." ANTHONY HAD NOT MUCH FAITH IN THIS POOR, HARD-WORKING PEASANT, BUT MORE IN THE MONKS WHO BEGAN TO FLOCK TO HIM TO LEARN HIS POWER OF ENDURANCE. BUT PAUL WAS SO WILLING TO LEARN, AND OBEYED SO LITERALLY ALL THAT WAS COMMENDED HIM, THAT HE SOON EXCELLED ALL THE ANCHORITES OF THE THEBIAD.

MANY POSSESSED OF DEVILS, AND INNATIVES, CAME TO BE HEALED BY ANTHONY, AND HE PERFORMED MANY NOTABLE MIRACLES. ONE YOUNG MAN WAS BROUGHT TO HIM, POSSESSED WITH SOME SORT OF DEMON, WHICH WE SHOULD PROBABLY DENOMINATE, LESS SIGNIFICANTLY, CHILL, FEVER, MEASLES, OR PNEUMONIA. ANTHONY ORDERED PAUL TO EXERCISE HIS GIFTS ON THE YOUNG MAN, SO HE BEGAN: "FATHER ANTHONY COMMANDS YOU TO LEAVE THIS MAN."

"I CARE NO MORE FOR FATHER ANTHONY THAN FOR YOU, BIGGER MAN."

THEN PAUL WRAPPED HIS SHEEPSKIN MANTLE AROUND HIM, EVIDENTLY GIVING THEM TO HIM MORE OF HIS OWN MAGNETISM, AND THEN SAID: "YOU SHALL GO, YOU EVIL." BUT IT OBEYED NOT.

SO PAUL WENT OUT UNDER THE SCORCHING SUN OF EGYPT, AND STANDING ON A ROCK, SAID: "I DECLARE THAT I WILL NOT EAT NOR DRINK THIS DAY, IF THE DEVIL DOES NOT LEAVE THIS MAN, BUT WILL STAND HERE AND STARVE."

THE EVIL DEPARTED, AND WENT TOWARD THE RED SEA, ASSUMING THE FORM OF A DRAGON.

WE RECEIVE THESE ACCOUNTS, COLORED WITH THE SUPERSTITION OF THE AGE, BUT NO DOUBT PAUL'S PERTINACITY COMPELLED THE FAITH OF THE YOUNG MAN, SO THAT THE HEALTHFUL CURRENTS OF LIFE OBEYED HIS WILL, AND HE WAS HEALED—PERHAPS THE "PNEUMOGASTRIC" CURE.

IT IS RELATED OF PAUL THAT HE COULD TELL THE THOUGHTS OF PEOPLE BY MERELY LOOKING AT THEM, AND THAT HE KNEW THE DISPOSITION OF THOSE WHO CAME NEAR HIM. HE ALSO BEHELD, WITH HIS SPIRITUAL EYES, AN ATTENDANT ANGEL WITH ALL PERSONS. HE COULD DISCOVER, IN THE

POETRY.

"THE TRULY BEAUTIFUL EVER LEAVES A LONG ECHO IN THE SOUL."

BY C. L. M.

THE OLD NORTH WIND A VIGIL KEPT,

SHADOWS AND FROWNS APPEARED;

STORM-CLOUDS IN SOMBER COLUMNS SWEEP

O'er all the land careered,

AND BRAVE OLD OAKS, LONG USED TO STORMS,

WERE DOWN BEFORE THE BLAST,

AND PINES, WITH TALL AND STALY FORMS,

BOWED LOW THEIR HEADS AT LAST.

SNOW-WREATHED WAS EVERY DA'E AND MOUNTAIN,

FROST-SEALED WAS EVERY LAKE AND FOUNTAIN,

WEIRD CURTAINS EVER PANNE RECEIVES,

BRIGHT BAYONETS BRITTLE FROM THE EAVES,

AND EARTH, FAST LOCKED, NEEDS NO CARESS,

THE SUN HAS LOST ITS POWER TO BLESS,

AND EVER PROSPECT SEEN WITHOUT IT IS

AS CHEERLESS, GLOOMY, FOOD FOR DOUBT.

WHITE O'er the hills the storm-elf crept,

BENEATH THE SOIL A CROCUS SLEPT,

AND, NOTHING DOUBTING, NOTHING FEARING,

DREAM'D PLEASANT DREAMS OF SPRING'S APPEARING.

NO STORMS NOR SWEEPING WINDS SHE HEARD,

NOR WORE SHE TILL THAT FAITHFUL BIRD,

THE ROBIN, SANG OUT LOUD AND CLEAR,

"THE SPRING IS COMING—GOD IS HERE!"

<p

and the orchard was searched for the fairest fruits of the season for them, and the pitcher of water mixed with vinegar handed them. The two strangers turned away their heads with an appearance of disgust.

"My father," said the younger of the two huntsmen, addressing the abbot, "I thank you that you have received us so cordially; I shall not forget it, I assure you; but I do not like fruit, and water does not agree with me, particularly when I am warm."

"It would be highly imprudent to drink it, even a drop of it!" replied the other huntsman. "Water, in the circumstances in which we are placed, produces, and can obtain, nothing but pleurisies, inflammations of the abdomen, hepatitis, and other maladies, the least of which is fatal! I was a physician before entering the service of His Majesty as master of his stables."

At this remark, which revealed to them that they had to do with one of the officers of the king, the monks spontaneously rose to their feet, and bowed before the successor of the famous Peter d'Hozer. They did not resume their seats till invited so to do by a gesture. As soon as they were seated, the officer continued:

"Now, this drink, under the circumstances, being attended with such dangers, you should understand, my brothers, that, in my capacity of an old disciple of Hippocrates, I must forbid it, and I do formally forbid it to Monsieur, the duke!"

When this imposing and unexpected title was applied to the young huntsman, whose simple costume by no means indicated a personage of such eminence, the monks rose again, bowed still more profoundly, and, notwithstanding the invitation of the noble stranger, they refused to sit down again in his presence.

"I am overwhelmed with your courtesy," said the young huntsman, "but it affords no relief, I must tell you, to the thirst I feel."

And addressing the prior, while smoothing his hair with a little ivory comb he had just drawn from his sleeve:

"My father," said he, could you not find at the very bottom of your cellar, behind the fagots, as good people say, some divine bottle of old, soul-comforting wine?"

"Forgive me, my son, that I have suffered the request to anticipate the offer," replied the prior. "I have truly a few bottles of wine which we use here but rarely, and in case of sickness."

"I feel very sick, my father!"

"They are at your disposal!"

While speaking, the abbot had taken a key from a bunch hanging at his girdle, and delivered it to the serving brother. The latter immediately went down into the cellar.

"Forgive us in our turn, that we cause you so much trouble," replied the master of the stables. "The duke ordered a few of his servants to follow him in the hunt with a supply of provisions; but they must have lost our track during the pursuit of the stag."

At this moment a whistle was heard behind the wall of the priory close.

"If we are not mistaken," said the pretended duke, "they have come! The rogues must have been more fortunate in finding our track than in following that of the game."

The master of the stables made a movement as if to go out and meet them; the prior opposed his going, and, at his orders, one of the monks went out. He soon returned, followed by some twenty persons clad in blouses or frocks, carrying luggage. The refectory of the priory could hardly hold so many.

The abbot was startled at first. He was not expecting so numerous a company. But at last, resolved what to do, he numbered at a glance the addition to his guests, and, stopping at the door of the cellar, cried:

"Brother Stephen! bring up six bottles of wine!"

"Bring up twelve!" the master cried after him. "Excuse us, my father, if we proceed so unceremoniously; but these worthy fellows need some refreshment after their fatigue. Moreover, to make all good, Monsieur le duke will send you a cask of a certain vintage which will make you wish to be sick, were it only to smell of it."

When the wine was brought:

"We will not drink alone, my brothers," continued the master, addressing the monks, "and I hope you will do the fair thing, and clink glasses with us."

All shook their heads.

"Cordieu! it is the king's health I propose—will you refuse?"

"No, my son," said the prior; "but we will drink it with water."

"Sir prior, I demand it of you," said the duke, in a tone of the utmost courtesy. "I desire that my transient visit at Hennemont may serve as an excuse for this slight infringement of your rules."

"To the health of the king!" said the abbot, who was the first to reach forth his goblet; "but if we do not drink it with pure water, we at least take nothing but a mixture of water and wine."

"Granted!" said the master of the stables.

The duke's attendants seized upon the bottles and pitchers, and themselves poured out bumpers for the monks. The abbot found a strange taste in his draught: he attributed it to vinegar in the water.

After a moment's pause: "Now, I drink the health of Monseigneur, the Regent of France," said the duke.

"Ah! Sir Prior," answered the young duke, in a tone of reproach, "is the prince, then, to count you among the number of his enemies?"

"God forbid, sir; I will pray for him, but—"

"To the health of the Regent!" cried the whole band, in a voice of thunder.

The quiet remonstrances of the abbots were drowned in this deafening shout, and the monks thought it best not to disobey, and accepted this second toast.

"Come! come! my brothers," cried the master, "the wine that is brought from the cellar shall not go down there again. I propose the health of the new duchess of Modena."

"I forbid it!" cried the abbot, rising to his full height.

"I understand—it concerns a lady—well to the health of the Pope, then! Pardon me, that I did not think to begin with him."

"To the health of the Pope!" shouted the whole band of huntsmen.

The monks made the sign of the cross, and not seeing their abbot in the confusion, and no longer hearing his voice raised to command further refusal, they submitted.

The abbot himself, stunned and deafened by the din, after trying in vain to seize with a trembling hand the goblet placed before him, had sunk back into his seat and fallen asleep, feebly stammering a *Libera nos Domine [Deliver us, O Lord!]*

While he sleeps, they continue to drink to the duke, and even to the master of His Majesty's stables. It seemed as if they desired to put the poor inmates of the monastery to the torture with wine. As at the wedding at Cana, or in the case of the miracle of the five loaves, water is transformed, and decantars are multiplied: the bottles pour out red, the pitchers, white wine. The monks, filled to the full, but without the courage to make resistance, or the ability to seek the clues to these strange proceedings, in a state of stupefaction, with reeling brains and drooping arms, think they lift the cups to their own lips, when the hands of others perform that office for them, and compel them to swallow draught upon draught. Casting around their daubed faces from beneath their heavy and half closed eye-lids, they see through a sort of mist grimacing phantoms leaping about, sneering visages, demons with human faces, which seem to whirl in a wild dance along the walls; they hear the songs of the orgy blended with half-suppressed sighs, and imagine themselves in hell!

Ten minutes later, the six monks of the priory of Hennemont lay stretched under the tablet, upon which were still to be seen, untouched, their meager mess of lentils and their loaf of black bread.

Then followed entire silence among the guests, who for a few moments gazed at each other with their fingers upon their lips; then a general burst of laughter announced that the farce had been played out.

The first act simply, however, had been performed.

"You know what remains to be done?" said the duke, drawing up to one of the parties to his train, who wore a livery.

"Be quiet," answered the valet.

"By the crest of my father!" cried the master of the stables, "I believe the sober monk holds his ten pints by gift of nature!" If they had been four more, the wine would have failed us in spite of our ample provision. Tell me of the abbot; at the first blow, he was stricken down, and his valet was knocking with more and more violence at the wicket after Theresa, came next to announce that an old man wrapped in a brown great coat, with a large old-fashioned wig, and a three-cornered hat on his head, was the only person in the carriage; that he seemed to be growing impatient, and that the valet was knocking with more and more violence at the gate to be opened, and went herself into the court to meet the new comer.

The latter descended from his carriage with the assistance of his lackeys; he entered without making any salutation.

"You are, then, barricaded here?" said he, looking upon all with some ill humor and a severe expression: "it has not always been so—unhappy—"

And addressing Madeleine, he added:

"Where is your mistress?"

"I am Mademoiselle des Aubiers," answered Madeleine, with a haughty emphasis which was counterbalanced by the humble timidity of her bearing.

"Ah! pardon me!" said the visitor, lifting his hand toward his hat; but the hand stopped: "I, mademoiselle," he answered, throwing back his head and raising his voice,

"I am the count of Maret, father of the viscount de Rupereux, and I wish to speak to you."

We will pass rapidly over the opening of the interview between the old man and the young girl: let it suffice to say, after having been somewhat harsh toward Madeleine at first in his character of a great lord, he ended by displaying some sensibility to her grace and frankness, and gradually softening and giving rid of his rough bark, he suddenly inquired:

"Then you wish to become my daughter-in-law?"

"Yes, Sir Count," replied Madeleine, dropping her eyes; "for my honor is concerned in it, for your son has promised me marriage; he swore it—on the cross!"

"Well! well! enough! we know what all such pretenses mean at his age. To punish you for your presumption, miss, I consent to everything! the marriage shall come off!"

"Ah!—at last!" cried Madeleine.

"Don't rejoice too soon. By St. Hubert! I tell you, you will have for a husband a worthless fellow!"

"Yes, sir."

"A scapergace!"

"Yes sir."

In her confusion Madeleine could only give entire assent to whatever her visitor said, without giving herself any concern about the meaning of her words.

"He will make you unhappy, I forewarn you; but at all events I have promised him to come this very day, and ask your hand in his name; this demand it is advisable for you to reject."

"I grant it, Sir Count."

"Very well! you are a determined girl; so much the better! This marriage, I now confess, is displeasing to me only in one aspect. The essential thing, you perceive, as far as I am concerned, is that the viscount shall have done with the matter, and that he perpetuate my name—kiss me, my child!"

Madeleine bent forward to kiss his hand, but like a true gentleman, he would not allow it, and pressing her to his breast with a truly paternal impulse, he kissed her affectionately again and again.

"Now that we understand one another, my fair child," he resumed, "I am going to tell you the condition upon which I give my entire consent: the marriage must be celebrated as soon as possible without any noise or display, in short, secretly."

"And why secretly, sir?" said Madeleine, already alarmed. "My good name has been publicly compromised by M. de Rupereux, that they always be allowed to say in this village that I am only his mistress? Secretly! Is he then ashamed of me? My family is respectable; my father was—"

"Come! come! child, be calm; the affair is to be a secret but for a few days, a month at most; everybody shall know at last how matters stand, I assure you. Moreover, this condition does not proceed from the viscount; it is I that have imposed it upon him—yes, I! Must I tell you all?" Well! know that I had long ago asked the hand of an heiress of a good house for my scapergace of a son! My proposition was accepted, when, with your idle love affairs—"

Pausing as he saw Madeleine begin to tremble, he continued:

"I do not wish to reproach you, my pretty one; but understand clearly, if your marriage is publicly proclaimed—the young lady has

And Theresa, what had become of her? Bad she taken part in the last assault of the viscount? No. The honest servant-maid, moderating more and more in her habitual austerity, might have aided the future husband in obtaining certain slight favors, now regarded by her as the indispensable preliminaries to marriage; but she could never have consented to aid in an act of violence; the severity of her principles rendered this impossible. For an accomplice in his culpable attempt, the viscount had had only Master

Brothers—and there will be duels to follow. Rupereux has caused but too much talk of his skill in this line already; he would end by losing his life in that way!"

"Yes, yes, you are right, sir; this marriage must be performed—secretly. But you will be present, will you not?"

"I ought not, perhaps; but for your sake, little sorceress, I consent to it—yes, I promise."

He opened his arms; Madeleine threw herself into them, overjoyed at her good fortune.

"Yes, I will be there—I swear it! with a few members of my family and some intimate friends. It will be a real pleasure to them, I am sure. When the affair is consummated, it will be of no avail for the brothers to ask what it will be no longer possible to grant them. Everything will be arranged for the best: do you understand?"

And while he thus spoke he held her fast in his embrace.

Madeleine assented to everything, even to the kisses, at which she now took no alarm.

The count first desired that the marriage should be celebrated at his castle of Chambourcy; but he reflected that this would compromise him in the eyes of the family to which the young lady, of whom he had spoken, belonged. The priory of Hennemont, which was contiguous to his own domains, and almost formed part of them, was therefore selected as the place for the performance of the ceremony. The day settled, he left Madeleine in an intoxication of delight, and not without one more farewell embrace.

HERMAN SNOW, formerly Unitarian Minister, will address Spiritualists and friends of Progress not too remote from his residence, Rockford, Ill.

Rev. M. Taylor speaks every other Sunday, at Stockton, Me., once in two months at Troy, Me., and will answer calls for other days.

Rev. J. D. Lawyer will attend to any invitations to deliver six or more lectures on Doctrinal Christianity, directed to Coxsackie, N. Y.

R. P. Ambler will accept engagements in the vicinity of Boston or Lowell during May, June, and August. Address care C. W. Bateman, Attica, Ind.

Cleveland, O.—Meetings at Chapin's Hall 2 P.M. and evening. For engagements during the week, near Cleveland, address Mrs. H. F. M. Brown.

Leo Miller speaks in Philadelphia the four Sundays of May. Call to lecture week evenings should be addressed, Hartford, Conn., or as above.

William Denton intends to explore the lead regions of Illinois, Wisconsin, and Iowa, this Spring. Friends desiring his services as a lecturer on Geology and General Reform can direct to Painesville, Ohio.

Mrs. M. B. Kenney speaks at Gloucester, June 22d; Newburyport, June 19th; Quincy, June 25; Charlestown, May 26th.

Wm. Bailey Potter, M. D., will answer calls to lecture on Scientific Spiritualism, in Eastern Massachusetts, through the coming season. Address Westboro, Mass.

Frank L. Wadsworth has changed, somewhat, his programme, and will return east in August. Those wishing to secure his services for the fall, or winter months can address him at Detroit, Mich., during the month of June.

Mrs. Emma Hardinge will lecture in Oswego, N. Y., in July; at Bangor, Me., and Worcester, Mass., in June; in Providence, R. I., in May. Address care of Bala Marsh, 14 Bromfield Street, Boston, Mass.

Lamarine Hall, New York.—Meetings for free spiritual discussions are held every Sunday at 3 P.M., at the Hall corner Twenty-third St. and Eighth Avenue. Lectures by Trance Speakers every Sunday evening.

W. K. Ripley speaks in Bradford, Me., April 28th, and each afternoon Sunday the ensuing year. Every fourth Sunday at Glens Falls, commencing April 21, and at Kenduskeag May 5th and every fourth Sunday thereafter.

Mrs. Augusta A. Currier speaks in the East until November, when she will again visit the West, lecturing through November in Oswego, N. Y. Address J. W. Currier, Box 510, Lowell, Mass., or as above.

J. H. Randall's address, after the first of June, will be that of Mrs. H. F. M. Brown, Cleveland, Ohio. Previous to that date, Odyde, O. Applications for engagements at the East next fall and winter should be made soon.

Mrs. Laura DeForce will speak in Plymouth, Mass., the Sundays of May; in Providence, R. I., in July; in Quinsigamond, Aug. 4, 11, and 18; Saratoga Springs, Aug. 25 and Sept. 1; Palmyra Corners, Sept. 3 and 15; Concord, N. H., Sept. 29; Portland, Maine; above. Applications for week evenings addressed as above will be received.

Mrs. Laura McAlpin will lecture Sundays of May in Elizabethtown, Greenup County, two parts in August. Will hold circles in New York City and vicinity the first half of the month of May; at Cambridgeport and Quincy, Mass., the last half of June. Persons desiring his services, an physical test medium or as speaker, will address him as above. He has for sale copies of the Life of Davy Boys.

S. P. Leland will commence a course of geological lectures at Washington, Iowa, May 15; Wapello, Iowa, May 22; will hold a grand meeting at Brushy Prairie, Ind., June 22 and 23; Conneaut, Ohio, June 15; Adrian, Mich., July 21 and 23; Friends between Davenport and Chicago desiring lectures on Geology or General Reform in June will please write soon. Address Cleveland, Ohio.

AGENTS FOR THE HERALD OF PROGRESS.

GENERAL AGENTS.—MESSRS. ROSS & TOUTZ, 121 Nassau Street, New York, are our regularly constituted agents, and will supply news dealers in all parts of the country with THE HERALD OF PROGRESS on favorable terms.

ALBANY, N. Y.—LYDIA MOTT, at the Antislavery Office and Book Depository, 15 Steuben Street, will fill orders for Books on our list, and also receive subscriptions for THE HERALD OF PROGRESS.

BOSTON, MASS.—BELA MARSH, 14 Bromfield St., will fill all orders for this paper, or books on our list.

CLEVELAND, O.—Mrs. H. F. M. BROWN, 288 Superior street, is duly authorized to act as our agent in Ohio and the west.

PHILADELPHIA

THE HERALD OF PROGRESS.

Notices of New Books.
"Talent alone cannot make a writer; there must be a whole mind behind the book."

Juvenile Literature.

Publications of Walker, Wise & Co.

Selected for the List of A. J. Davis & Co., who will fill Orders in any quantity.

THE SILVER PENNY SERIES. Six volumes, neatly put up in box, \$1.50, or sold separately, 25 cts. each.

These little books are published in an attractive form, being printed on thick paper, illustrated, and bound in red and gilt.

"**SUNNY-EYED TIM, THE OBSERVANT LITTLE BOY,**" recounts the adventures and incidents of a journey from New York to Northampton and Mount Holyoke, made by Tim Powell, his uncle, and a boy companion. Tim's "keen black eyes" were always wide open, at least all day, and so incessantly in use, that he was nicknamed by his play fellows and friends "Sunny-eyed Tim," because they said his eyes are like the sun's rays, nothing escapes them. The results of his observations are pleasantly chronicled, and for children from seven to twelve years of age the book possesses much that is instructive and interesting.

"**PATTY WILLIAM'S VOYAGE,**" contains an account of a voyage made by little Patty Williams from New York to San Francisco via Nicaragua. It gives a history of adventures on shipboard and at the landings, as well as during the passage across the isthmus. It is an interesting work to children, and, like the other books of the series, the moral standard is unexceptionable.

"**THE LITTLE PRINCESS NARINA,**"—This is a short tale, partaking somewhat of the fairy character. Many a precious lesson is received into a child's mind and heart through the medium of allegory or narrative, and there fixed, remains a fruitful source of good deeds long after the story melts away. Narina is the only child of an Eastern Queen, who died shortly after the birth of her daughter; not, however, until she had caused the child to be committed to a cedar-wood box and placed upon the sea, where she preferred to cast her to the care and guidance of good spirits, rather than with the father of the child, who had come too much under the influence of an evil-minded mother. The child comes into the hands of a shepherd family, where it is educated under the guidance of its mother, who appears from time to time to Ben Hafiz, the shepherd. In her instructions to him she admonishes him "Be faithful to your trust and you will be happy." No one was ever miserable in your world (the world I have left), who loved the truth, and performed what he felt to be his duty." Under the guidance of this truthful spirit, the child and her father, and the shepherd and his wife, ultimately arrive at the highest idea of a happy earth life. The general tendency of the book may be inferred from the quality of the closing sentence which is here quoted: "What your lot may be in the life to come, Ben Hafiz," said the angel mother, "I may not disclose; rest satisfied, however, with the assurance that the Great Being, in whose sight I draw an eternity of bliss, can in no wise cast forth those who strive to imitate him in acts of long suffering and kindness."

"**THEDEA AND THE MOUNTAIN,**"—High-toned and instructive, evidently the product of a Christian mind that interweaves occasionally its recognition of Jesus as the Saviour. The scenes are laid among those incident upon country life. In part first, which is headed "What the Tree and Stream Taught Her," the little Theda holds an imaginary conversation with an oak tree and with a still-river. In part second she "learned the same lesson at Sunday-school," taught her in the usual Sunday-school method. Part third illustrates how Theda tried to help others, and to what extent she was able to call into exercise the lessons learned at Sunday-school and in her talks with nature. Part fourth, "Going up the Mountain," treats pathetically of the manner in which Theda went to meet her mother in the Spirit land. The mind of the writer may be seen through the sentence put in the mouth of the oak tree: "People never enjoy themselves much when they are only trying to make themselves happy. It is the weariest work in the world." We must try and think what will make other people happy and comfortable first, and in doing that we shall find our own peace."

"**CHILD LIFE IN INDIA:** A story of Jethoo and his Sunday-school, by a native Brahmin, with an appendix of short stories. This little work is from the hands of a Brahmin who was converted to the Unitarian faith in Christianity.

"**NOBODY'S CHILD, AND OTHER STORIES,** is a selection of short stories and anecdotes, some twenty in number. The selection is good, and it is a book which proves interesting and useful to young children.

"**ALICE'S DREAM:** A Tale of Christmas-times. Two illustrations by Billings. 50 cents.

As its title indicates, this work is from a Christian mind, although it has no sectarian tinge to it. Alice, the eldest of six children, possessing an ambitious and ideal mind, is looking forward to the enjoyment of the Christmas Holidays. On Christmas Eve a heavenly peace descended upon her spirit as she sank to rest. She believed her mother still watched over her, and, turning to say how much happier she felt, was astonished to behold instead the form of a young girl of

exquisite beauty. Her face was radiant with love, and its expression almost childlike. She was robed in a floating cloud of azure, confined at the waist with a girdle of stars. Her hair, of the palest golden hue, was drawn back from her forehead, and drooped gracefully over her shoulders. Alice had never beheld any being so lovely. She tried to speak, but the effort was vain. The beauteous vision bent over the wondering child, and words fell from her lips in tones of softest music: "Fear not, Alice; I am your guardian angel, and bring an answer to your prayer. It is accepted by our Father, who has given me power to unfold before you some of the hidden secrets of life, that you may better understand its purposes. Will you trust yourself to my guidance?" Alice suffered herself to be enfolded in the arms of her angelic visitant, and together they visited, successively, a home in a palatial city residence, in an humble farmer's cottage, in an industrial school, in the haunt of poverty on the outskirts of a city, and in a country villa. Scenes, and incidents, and conversations, that occurred at each place, are well pictured and happily set forth. These chapters are full of the spirit of love, charity, and patience. Finally, they visited the "garden of Contentment and Love," wherein were groups of angel children, who brought a wreath of pure white roses, and a phial containing water from the "Fountain in Love." These, with the "cross of self-devotion, formed of flowers that grow in the same garden," were given to the young girl, "who looked the thanks she could not utter." The celestial visitant closes her interview with Alice in these words: "The flowers of the cross must be sprinkled with the water from Love's immortal fountain, or they will wither and die. Adieu, sweet girl! You will see me no more on earth, but I shall be ever with you to watch over you and bless you."

ALL THE CHILDREN'S LIBRARY; or a library of six books, graded so as to be adapted for children of all ages. Price for the box and contents, \$5.50.

"**NOISY HERBERT AND OTHER STORIES,** is for the youngest; and is a succession of child-stories playfully woven together, and made very interesting to young children. The words are short, and the print is large. All the books of this series are well illustrated and tastefully bound.

"**MY LITTLE NEIGHBORS**" is designed for the younger children also, but is more in a vein that would be styled religious—more solemn, and not so glee-like, as "Noisy Herbert."

"**BESSIE GRANT'S TREASURE**" is of a similar character, adapted for children of a larger growth; so also is it with

"**THE LITTLE GRAYS,**" which contains many good practical teachings, embodied in five chapters: 1. The Snow Storm; 2. The Story of Aschenputtel; 3. A Day in May; 4. June Pleasures; 5. The September Journey.

The four volumes are sold at 50 cents each.

"**FAITH AND PATIENCE**" is a book especially adapted for boys. It bears the impress of a masculine mind. Its teachings are strictly practical, and contain many lessons of value. It is a larger volume than either of the four above named, and is adapted for larger children. Price 75 cents.

"**MODESTY AND MERIT**" is a book of the same size as "Faith and Patience." The illustrations are colored, and are very attractive to children. The story is one of a bird, which met with a series of experiences, and found among the human family its favorite companions. With the story of the life of the bird is interwoven several tales of the fairy stamp, translated from the German. There are also several gems of poetry scattered through the volume. The author writes in the preface: "Most of the great German scholars—philosophers, poets, theologians, historians—have occasionally thrown aside the severe forms of logic and metaphysics, and, under the form of beautiful little parables, or stories, illustrated the deepest and most vital truths of science, morality, and religion." Which picture suits the reader best?

[N.Y. Dispatch.]

LAND TO SPARE.

It has often occurred to us that there is vacant uncultivated soil enough, even within an area of twenty miles from the city, to supply food for all the destitute of the city.

Doubtless the majority of our farmers would be really richer were their farms cut down one-quarter or one-half. And homes for the homeless are abundant if we consult only the capacity of the soil this side of Mississippi.

We observe a sensible recommendation in the Orange (N. J.) Journal to this effect: "Let those who have more land than they can cultivate themselves, give, without charge, to some less fortunate neighbor, or any poor man in the town, the privilege of planting so much of it as he can well cultivate. There is an abundance of land lying waste, or only in pasture, in the town of Orange, which would be benefited by cultivation, and scores of men, doubtless, who would be glad of the opportunity of thus providing in part for the wants of their families."

ANOTHER VICTIM OF TOBACCO.

Much is said and written of the danger of using explosive fluids for burning, and every accident resulting therefrom is heralded. Will no one compile the cases of death, conflagration, and loss, from the use of tobacco and cigars? It would be found that many "mysterious" fires come from the pipe or cigar. The following is but a single example of the folly of puffing smoke from a dirty roll of tobacco leaves:

"An English traveler, Mr. John Burgess, was recently on his way from Hopetown, South Africa, with a party to join Dr. Livingston at the Zambesi. He had with him three Europeans, several natives, and three wagons, which contained, among other things, a considerable quantity of gunpowder. On the 22d of August the party came to a halt, and Mr. Burgess, after fatiguing himself in an elephant hunt, went to one of the wagons, where he laid down to rest and smoke a cigar. In two minutes after an explosion took place, he and two natives were blown up, the wagons were destroyed, and seven horses killed. The rest of the party escaped. He was a fine young man, and only twenty-three years of age."

ONE OF THE WONDERS OF HISTORY.

In 1850-'51 Russia, the most despotic and securist of monarchies, without inside or outside pressure, voluntarily sets free at least twenty million bondmen, with paternal provisions for their well-being; the great American republic, almost at the same moment, is rent in twain, and eight of its States, in the face of the moral sense of the world, seek a reconstruction upon the principle that slavery is a divine institution, the cornerstone of civil liberty, and a means of human reformation, second in dignity, importance, and sacredness, alone to the Christian religion."

[N.Y. Dispatch.]

Apotheosis.

"Death is but a kind and welcome servant, who unites with noiseless hand life's flower-encircled door to show us those we love."

Departed: From her earthly form, in Walworth, Wayne Co., N. Y., April 29th, 1861, AMELIA MILLER DENISE, wife of Hubbard Denise, and daughter of Harvey Miller, Esq., aged 30 years.

Ever ready, with an untiring zeal, to benefit those around her, her mission seemed but to bless. Yet she has thus early, in the bloom and freshness of youth, passed on to those higher spheres, where, in the spirit land, her cheerful heart may make glad the hearts of many as was its wont to do while here.

We shall miss thee from our circle,

Miss thee from our household band,

Now that thou hast passed the portal

Of the joys of Eden-land.

Though we miss, we have not lost thee,

For we feel thy spirit still,

Claiming now that "sweet communion"

Which we answer thrill by thrill.

Miscellaneous.

REFORMER'S HOME.

The comforts of a home are offered to those persons who may visit New York temporarily, or to families and individuals whose residence in the city is permanent.

Mrs. A. L. Giddings has taken house No. 27 Bond Street, with a view of accomplishing the unitary system in domestic life, as far as is possible with the means and materials at hand at the present time.

She invites the co-operation of all who seek to lead a quiet and orderly life, and who desire to have established in New York a strictly Harmonial Home.

MAY, 1861. 654f

Brown's Water Furnace Company.

Manufacturers of Brown's Patent

HOT WATER FURNACE,

For warming and ventilating Dwelling, School and Bank Buildings, Hospitals, Stores, Green-Houses, Graperies, &c.

Also, Steam Apparatus constructed for warming Hotels, Factories, &c.

274 Canal Street, New York,

Three doors east of Broadway

THE NEW BRICK MACHINE

Is gradually extending over the United States and Canada—is worked by one man, by horse and by steam—from 4,000 to 25,000 bricks a day—costs

from \$75 to \$400. For further particulars, in a pamphlet giving full instructions on brick setting and burning, address, inclosing three stamps,

FRANCIS H. SMITH, Baltimore.

45-eow13.

A LADY desires the situation of housekeeper in a Widower's family, or for a party of gentlemen in the city or country. References exchanged. Address "HOUSEKEEPER," care A. J. Davis & Co., 274 Canal St., New York.

50f

NEW JERSEY LANDS.

Parties desirous of purchasing New Jersey lands will find it to their advantage to call on, or address,

B. Franklin Clark, TRIBUNE BUILDINGS, or 183 East

Broadway, New York.

44tf

D. WHITE, M. D., IMPRESSIVE AND HEALING MEDIUM, has removed to 150 Varick Street, (near Spring Street.) Office hours from 8 to 9 A.M.

3 to 5 and 7 to 8 P.M.

62f

BOARD FOR FAMILIES, Or single persons,

at 183 East Broadway near Canal St. Transient lodgers accommodated at moderate rates.

21-tf

MRS. TOWNE, Healing, Clairvoyant, Develop-

ing Medium, Fishkill Village, N. Y.

50f

THE LANCASTER CIRCLE.

As we have been frequently importuned, any ques-

tioning us in the line of collection of rents ena-

bles him to give satisfaction. He makes this depar-

tment a specialty, and invites the patronage of the

public. Hours from 12 to 2.

J. COVERT, 200 Clinton St.

55-70

REAL ESTATE AGENT.

The undersigned would respectfully suggest that

any questioners in the line of collection of rents ena-

bles him to give satisfaction. He makes this depar-

tment a specialty, and invites the patronage of the

public. Hours from 12 to 2.

J. COVERT, 200 Clinton St.

55-70

THE LANCASTER CIRCLE.

As we have been frequently importuned, any ques-

tioning us in the line of collection of rents ena-

bles him to give satisfaction. He makes this depar-

tment a specialty, and invites the patronage of the

public. Hours from 12 to 2.

J. COVERT, 200 Clinton St.

55-70

THE LANCASTER CIRCLE.

As we have been frequently importuned, any ques-

tioning us in the line of collection of rents ena-

bles him to give satisfaction. He makes this depar-

tment a specialty, and invites the patronage of the

public. Hours from 12 to 2.

J. COVERT, 200 Clinton St.

55-70

THE LANCASTER CIRCLE.

As we have been frequently importuned, any ques-

tioning us in the line of collection of rents ena-

bles him to give satisfaction. He makes this depar-

tment a specialty, and invites the patronage of the

public. Hours from 12 to 2.

J. COVERT, 200 Clinton St.

55-70

THE LANCASTER CIRCLE.

As we have been frequently importuned, any ques-</p