

Mr. Emerson, in this utterance, is entirely indiscriminate as regards phenomena of spiritual origin. There may be, and doubtless are, some interpositions of spirit-beings in the world which a wise man may be none the wiser or better for acquaintance with, and may be excused for wishing to avoid. But our philoso-

* Job xxxii: 9.

An extraordinary medium has been found at Agen. She is quite young—is a Mlle. Honorin—and when the spiritualistic phenomena occur through her her hands are tied together with a handkerchief and she is placed upon a bed. Cards are placed under her pillow or are placed to the bed-curtains, and mental questions are asked, with the desire that the answer shall appear on said cards; and there, indeed, the correct answer is found. The "Marcelline" is then drummed out, the "*Dame Angot*," the "*Chant du Départ*," the "Retreat"—in fact anything called for. The sound of the horses' feet as the cavalry retreat is perfectly represented. Musical instruments are played upon and carried about the room. An officer of the army placed a ribbon under the pillow, and invisible fingers tied a knot in it. Money laid outside of a closed tobacco-box was found with

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are illiterate has increased from 1.2 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of illiterate people in the world is projected to reach 1.7 billion by the year 2015. The number of illiterate people in the world is projected to reach 1.7 billion by the year 2015.

pher would have us shut the door indiscriminately in the face of all, whether they be "spirits of grace," "trickie Pucks," or "goblins damned."

In doing this he seems totally oblivious to the fact that his own early writings give unmistakable evidence of a spiritual origin, and his own account of the mode in which they were produced, if true, makes it beyond question that they are a part of the "spiritual phenomena" of modern times! In other words, Mr. Emerson himself, by his own showing, has been a remarkable inspirational medium of the higher class, and his most valuable writings are communications from the world of spirits.

I will proceed to show this by some citations from his printed works, and thus "out of his own mouth" answer his sweeping and ill-considered imputations upon "these spiritual phenomena." My first quotation is from his essay on "Spiritual Laws," first published, I believe, in 1847. (Essays—First Series). I take the liberty in this, as in subsequent citations, to italicize passages worthy of special note:

"A little consideration of what takes place around us every day would show us that a higher law than that of our will regulates events; that our moral labors are unnecessary and fruitless; that only in our easy, simple, spontaneous action are we strong, and by contenting ourselves with obedience we become divine. Belief and love—a believing love—will relieve us of a vast load of care. Oh, my brothers, God exists. There is a soul at the centre of nature, and over the will of every man, so that none of us can wrong the universe. It has so infused its strong enchantment into nature that we prosper when we accept its advice; and when we struggle to our sides, our creatures, our hands are glued to our sides, or they beat our own breasts. The whole course of things goes to teach us faith. We need only to obey. There is a guidance for each of us, and by loving listening we shall hear the right word."

The above may be thought to mean nothing more than the popular doctrine of God's omnipresence and guidance; but it is quoted here only as preliminary to the following, in which the philosopher's conception of "God," or the "Soul at the centre of nature," as well as of man and his function as an utterer of thoughts, is more fully developed. In his essay on "The Over-soul," printed in the same volume, occurs the following significant passage:

"Why do men feel that the natural history of man has never been written, but he is always leaving behind what you have said of him, and it becomes old, and books of metaphysics worthless? The philosophy of six thousand years has not searched the chambers and magazines of the soul. In its experiments there has always remained, in the last analysis, a residuum it could not resolve. Man is a stream whose source is hidden. Our being is descending into us from we know not whence. The most exact calculator has no presence that somewhat incalculable may not balk the very next moment. I am constrained every moment to acknowledge a higher origin for events than the will I call mine."

As with events, so is it with thoughts. When I watch that flowing river, which, out of regions I see not, pours for a season its streams into me, I see that I am a pensive; not a cause, but a surprised spectator of this ethereal water; that I desire and look up, and put myself in the attitude of reception, but from some alien energy the visions come."

In this remarkable passage, written before modern mediumship was known (at least by that name), Mr. Emerson exactly describes the attitude and experience of the conscious medium, or inspirational speaker or writer, as his own. He emphatically declares that the streams of thought came to him out of regions which he saw not; that he was not their cause, but only a surprised spectator; and that the visions came from some alien energy or foreign source, on his putting himself in a receptive condition—precisely as is the case with thousands of mediums or inspirers at this day.

True, he does not recognize either individual or associated spirits as the source of these streams of thought and these visions. But at least he regards their source as spiritual. And they were phenomenal in Mr. Emerson's case, as in others. His experience as a writer, and his remarkable essays themselves, were singular phenomena at the time of their publication, of which the "metaphysics" of those times furnished no solution. They then were "spiritual phenomena," of evidently the same nature (and setting forth substantially the same ideas to some extent), as a large part of those of more recent days, which Mr. E. indiscriminately advises all people to "shun," as the orthodox theologians advised all to shun his writings thirty years ago!

But though Mr. Emerson did not distinctly perceive and announce the agency of exalted spirit-beings in communicating thoughts to his mind, yet when he comes to define what he had previously termed "God," and the "soul at the centre of nature," and which in this later essay he names "the Over-soul," he uses language which certainly must include all good and wise individual human souls or spirits that are in existence, whether embodied or disembodied. He says:

"The Supreme Critic on the errors of the past and the present, and the only prophet of that which must be, is that great nature in which we rest, as the earth lies in the soft arms of the atmosphere; that Unity, that Over-soul, within which every man's particular being is contained and made one with all other."

"We see the world piece by piece, as the sun, the moon, the animal, the tree; but the whole, of which these are the shining parts, is the soul. All goes to show that the soul in man is not an organ, but an intellect, and exercises all the organs; is not a function, like the power of memory, of calculation, or of comparison, but uses these as hands and feet; is not a faculty, but a light; is not the intellect or the will, but master of the intellect and the will; is the background of our being in which they lie—an immensity not possessed, and that cannot be possessed."

In all this, and much more to the same effect, Mr. Emerson makes it clear that, in common with communicating spirits in general and all intelligent Spiritualists, so far as the writer is aware, he regards the *innmost* of every human being (which he denominates the *soul*, and which some others term the *spirit*) as essentially one with or a part of the Universal Soul. But failing to recognize, or at least to give any hint of, the truth of discrete degrees in universal Being (which degrees are represented in man as soul, mind and body) his words leave the impression that he regards the sun and moon, the animals and trees, as just as much parts of the Universal Soul as man's *innmost* essence—a species of pantheism which is not acceptable to discriminative minds. Intelligent and philosophic spirit-teachers, whom he would have us so carefully "shun," have given us more rational ideas on this subject, as also on the question, whence the streams of thought and vision which pour into the receptive and inspired mind. If the departed of our race continue to exist, and to advance in wisdom and goodness, they must surely continue to be embraced in "the Over-soul," and from or through them these streams would naturally descend.

But it is an open question, so far as appears from these essays, whether Mr. Emerson be-

lieves in an individual conscious future life for human beings. In fact, he deprecates all thought and inquiry on this subject as "low curiosity," which "we must check." He says:

"Do not require a description of the countries toward which you sail." "To truth, justice, love, the attributes of the soul, the idea of immutability is essentially associated. Jesus, living in these moral sentiments, heedless of sensual fortunes, heeding only the manifestations of these, never made the separation of the idea of duration from the essence of these attributes, nor uttered a syllable concerning the duration of the soul. It was left to his disciples to sever duration from the moral elements, and to teach the immortality of the soul as a doctrine, and maintain it by evidences. The moment the doctrine of immortality is separated, man is already fallen. In the flowing of love, in the adoration of humanity, there is no question of continuance. No mind of man ever asks this question, or consents to these evidences. For the soul is true to itself, and the man in whom it is shed abroad cannot wander from the present, which is infinite, to a future which would be finite."

Here, doubtless, is the explanation of our philosopher's strong repugnance to "spiritual phenomena." In so far as they give "evidence" of a continuance of existence, they minister to a "low curiosity," and evince that man "has fallen," and he will not "condescend to them!"

Well, it is very possible that a man so fortunately born and favorably organized as Mr. E.—free from childhood, from the ordinary bread-and-butter necessities which force the common millions into rude contact and competition with the world—able to spend his years in dreamy leisure and contemplation on the banks of the Concord—"living in the moral sentiments," far above the attainments, or comprehensions even, of common humanity—it is possible that such a man may rise into that conscious unity with the Universal Soul which enables him to feel that he dwells in an "Infinite Present," which admits no thought and requires no evidence of a future. No doubt, the more fully any of us can rise into that plane of existence, the more free we shall be from care, anxiety or curiosity as to what is to be. But to the mass of humanity this is impossible—constitutionally and circumstantially impossible. Instead of having "fallen," they have not yet risen to that high plane of life. And what shall raise them out of sordid sensuality and materialism? What shall ennoble aspirations for that higher life? What console them for the miseries and toils and drudgeries of this mundane existence? What but the "evidence," rational and sensible, addressed to such faculties as they possess, that there is another and a grander life to which they may attain? Surely, the writing or preaching of such fine-spun spiritualisms, and such transcendental philosophizings, and such (to them) unintelligible cunctations, however true, as our philosopher has put forth, if continued till doomsday, will never do it!

This depreciation of "evidences" of immortality, this stigmatization of rational inquiry as "low curiosity," and this exhortation to a reliance on internal or subjective experiences, have been the cant of the church and the clergy for sixteen hundred years or more, ever since the so-called "age of miracles" is alleged to have ceased; and yet the world has been all the while sinking deeper in the slough of doubt, unbelief, and materialism, sordid or scientific, varied with spurts of fanatical superstition. Seeing this, and realizing that this downward tendency could be arrested only by a new and wide out-pouring of "evidences" from the supernatural realm, it would appear that the exarcent souls of our ascended brethren who constitute that part (if one may so speak) of the great "Over-soul" which rules this planet, have determined to furnish fresh demonstrations that shall command the attention of even the most sordid. This they are doing, through the modern spirit-phenomena, in almost every nation upon the globe, despite the depreciations of creed-bound theologians, incredulous scientists, and fastidious transcendentalists.

Surely, the grand conception of the Over-soul, the Universal Spirit, the Eternal Unity, with which Modern Spiritualism supplements and supersedes Mr. Emerson's crude and vague Pantheism, is as rational as it is satisfactory. Recognizing all individual souls or spirits as offspring in some true sense of the Universal Father and Mother Soul; and as constituting gradations of associated being according to their degree of intelligence and purification, or submergence of the egoistic in the universal; it regards these harmonized human spirits as the actual agents or instrumentalities in their respective grades, in and through whom the Universal indwelling Spirit works for the government and perfection of the universe. Each acts as the Infinite Energy works in him "to will and to do." And from the higher grades to the lower, or from the inner to the outer, naturally flow the streams of thought and of energy which unite and vivify the whole.

This makes it clear how "every man's particular innmost being may be" "contained in the Over-soul," and "made one with all other." It also shows whence come those rivers of thought and visions of beauty which descend into the receptive mind, out of regions which he cannot see—transmitted by or through ranks of exalted and wise intelligences till they reach this lower plane of being.

This view does not justify that attitude of serene indifference to society improvement—that effortless waiting for the Supreme Soul to bring everything right in this world without human aid—which has characterized the dreamy philosopher of Concord and his most ardent admirers. In treating of the Over-soul he wrote:

"If we will not be near-plots with our miserable interferences, the work, the society, letters, arts, science, religion of men would go on far better than now, and the heaven predicted from the beginning of the world, and still predicted from the bottom of the heart, would organize itself, as do now the rose, and the air, and the sun."

This sentiment, which doubtless may have a just interpretation, has been so construed as to throw a damper upon every earnest philanthropic effort for the organic improvement of society. "Let us not interfere," say these serene indifference, "and the heavenly state in due time will organize itself, and the rose!" They forget that the Over-soul is incorporated for executive purposes in the innmost of each individual man and woman, and only as these act in obedience to its divine and humane promptings is or can be the will of the Highest done on earth as it is in heaven. Indifference and inaction prove that though the divine light may have touched the intellect, the celestial energy has not yet reached the heart.

It seems plain, then, that Mr. Emerson, in wishing to be "ignorant of these spiritual phenomena," and in warning all people to "shun" them, in fact repudiates his own remarkable experiences and writings in his earlier years, and joins the cry of "orthodox" bigotry against them; while he proves himself less "wise" than ancient Paul, who said, "Now concerning the spiritual gifts, brethren, I would not have you ignorant." The context shows that Paul had reference to presbyterianism, and was warning with a large part of those of our own times. (See I Cor. xii.)

A. E. NEWTON.

THE JOURNALIST.

BY MRS. MARY CLEMENS.

Man of the eager mind and teeming brain,
Small is the honor that men do thee to
They snatch the fruitage of thy years of pain—
Dewy—yet scorn the tree.

What though the treasure of thy nervous force,
Thy rich vitality of mind and heart,
Goes swiftly down before thy Moloch's course—
Men cry: "It is not art!"

The poet, dallying with his fitful muse,
On lagging Pegasus, whose halting stride
Sometimes gives out—henceforth the man of "News"—
Cries: "See, we're parted wide!"

The novelist, elate from lofty crest
Of fiction's lovely palace of the air,
Looks down and sighs: "Only a Journalist!
My height is his despair!"

The joys minute of feeble "Literature,"
Who lightly chatter on its outmost rim—
Of night but of their small "position" sure,
Point scornfully at him!

Who takes the freighted journal, cool and damp,
And with its pages sets to work on nerve and brain?
Not morning sun, nor gentle evening lamp,
Reveals its birth of pain.

"Only a newspaper!" Quick read, quick lost;
Who sues the treasure that it carries hence?
Turn, trampled under feet, who counts thy cost?
Starve and die of it!

And ye, the nameless! Best-beloved host!
My heart recalls more than one vanished face,
Struck from the rank of toilers, early lost,
And leaving not a trace.

Martyrs of news! Brave soldiers of the press!
Princes of giving from large heart and brain,
One leaf of laurel, stowed in tenderness,
Take ye, oh, early slain!

Though in the author's roll no line obscure
Your waning names can hold forever fast;
The seeds of truth ye sown afar, are sure
To spring and live at last.

On lonely wastes, within the swarming marts,
In silent dream, in speaking deeds of men,
Quick with momentum from your deathless hearts—
Your thoughts will live again.

What'er our prizes, or how far our crown,
Or deep our losses, only this is best—
The soul's great peace. Nor sinner, nor smile, nor
Can shut it from its rest.

Exalt thy calling! On its spotless shield
Write truth, write honor—first and last;
Cravens may clutch thy stars, and you not yield;
Love them and hold them fast!

Defender of the people—of the State;
Kindler and quick'ner of majestic thought,
Sure of the finest triumph, thou canst wait
The crown thy patience wrought.

To serve thy generation, this thy fate:
Written in wax, thy swiftly fades thy name;
But he who loves his kind, does first, and late,
A work too great for fame.

Free Thought.

THE MYTHO-ZODIAC THEORY OF RELIGIONS.

Jesus no Myth—The Three Crosses and Two Zodiacal Crucifixions.

BY F. J. BRUGGS.

PART ONE.

To the Editor of the Banner of Light:

Inasmuch as a persistent as well as a gratuitous effort is being made to revive and place before Spiritualists their acceptance the doctrine of the astronomical origins of all religions, even to denying the personal existence of Jesus, John the Baptist, Mary, Jesus's mother, Joseph, and the apostles, as well as noted personages and reformers of other old religions, I have thought that a history of this solar Zodiac religion, and of the Zodiac, would impart information appropriately useful at this time, and practical in its results to reflective and inquiring Spiritualists. It is no theory that I am about to advance, but history and facts, practical in their influences on the religious status of Spiritualism.

Thus it has been stated that "The sun was the Jesus of Nazareth, who was born of a virgin," and that this virgin "is the constellation Virgo." "That the Lamb of God is no other than the Jesus of Nazareth mystically born of the virgin of the Zodiac," so "that Jesus of Nazareth is nothing more nor less than the sun, when passing through his annual course, personified." And such and similar theories are indulged in with regard to other noted personages of olden times, and of comparatively modern also.

To make this Zodiac Christianity wear even a semblance of plausibility, as Jesus is figuratively twice called "The Lamb of God," John 1: 29, 36, and "the Lamb," repeatedly in Revelations, and his death is compared to that of the paschal lamb as a figure, Acts viii: 32, 1st Peter, i: 19, it was necessary to make this mean the sun in the constellation Aries, the Ram. So it has been assumed that Aries used to be called the Lamb; and Volney, in his *Ruins*, calls this constellation, "The Lamb (or Ram)." That is not candid, for the truth is it never was called the Lamb, *amnos* or *avnton*, the name given to the Jewish paschal lamb and to Jesus figuratively. This lamb of the Jewish sacrifice never had the most distant allusion to the sign of the Ram, called Aries, in Latin, as the translation of its original Greek name. The Jewish paschal lamb, *amnos* or *avnton*, antedates by many hundreds of years, the constellation *Krios* (in Greek), Ram, and which was never called *amnos* or *avnton*.

The truth is, there were no such constellations as either Archer or Ram till since 500 B. C., when Erosstratus of Tenedos grouped those stars into those constellations, and added them to the then unfinished Zodiac! He, a Grecian, named the first *Tozates*, in Latin *Sagittarius*, in English Archer; and the second *Krios*, Aries, Ram. See Humboldt's *Kosmos*, vol. 3, p. 160. Now Erosstratus arranged those constellations without any regard to the Jewish paschal lamb. And that pretty effectually disposed of this effort to resolve Christ into the Zodiac! The sun could not have been said to be in the constellation Aries till the constellation existed. Nor could the sacrificial lamb allegorize it before it was imagined and arranged.

Those ancient and medieval pictures of mother and child came from the Phallic religion—not from an astronomical religion or the Zodiac—the sun and the rays darting from the sun symbolizing the active or masculine principle in nature, and the female the passive or receptive.

It is true that one astronomical myth has been attached to Jesus, but not till more than three hundred years after his crucifixion, which has been made a pretext for turning all into myth. I mean the assigning of Christ's birth to the 25th of December, and instituting our Christmas festivities, which was brought about as follows:

The primitive Jewish churches had, two, and only two, yearly festivals, which they brought with them from the Synagogue: the Passover and Pentecost. They adapted those festivals by transferring their application from Jewish legends to Christ and Christianity. The Gentile Christians, on the other hand, as they came out from Polytheism, which was so antagonistic to Monotheism and Christianity, had no yearly festivals. They first borrowed those of

their Jewish brethren. Afterward they arranged their Christmas from certain Pagan festivals that were observed about the time of the winter solstice. They were not arranged and publicly adopted into the church till about A. D. 350. The celebration of those autumnal feasts was in many ways closely interwoven with the whole civil and social life of the whole Roman world, so that those Christians must have felt a warm attachment to many of the solemnities and ceremonies of those festivals.

First came the *Saturnalia*, which represented the peaceful time of the Golden Age, or rather the longing and hope for such a time. During this festival all distinctions of rank were laid aside. Masters and slaves, the high and the low, the rich and poor, the old and young, those in power and those oppressed, all mingled together as companions and equals. So the Christian doctrine maintained the equality of all in the sight of God, and claimed to bring a true liberty of spirit to the freeman and the slave alike. This was followed by the custom peculiar to that season of making presents. This passed over into the Christmas festival, and the presents were called "Christmas gifts." "Next came the *Festival of Infants*, with which the *Saturnalia* concluded, where the children were presented with images; just as Christmas was the true festival of the children. Next came a festival still more analogous to the Christmas, that of the shortest day, the winter solstice; the birthday of the new sun about to return once more to the earth. In the case of this last named feast, a transition to the Christian standpoint of view naturally presented itself, when Christ, the sun of the spiritual world, was compared with that of the natural."

Hence those celebrations were adopted and placed on the 25th of December for the double purpose of drawing away the Christians from participating in the heathen festivals, and gradually drawing over the Pagans themselves from their heathen customs to the Christian celebration." This could be done the more easily as Jesus's birthday was unknown. Neander's *Church History*, Vol. I., pp. 229-301; Vol. II., pp. 310-312. This, then, is the historical fact—the world has not been without Jesus of Nazareth; his personal existence is no myth. But for more than three hundred years Jesus was without this Pagan Christmas, which has since been used to dissipate his person and history into solar myths.

Our next step is to disentangle the three distinct and separate crosses which this Zodiac-mythology has jumbled together in promiscuous confusion.

1. As this theory makes Jesus born zodiacally, it had to provide for his zodiacal crucifixion and zodiacal resurrection. His birth was when the sun passed the winter solstice, the ending of the shortest day of the year. And his crucifixion was the autumnal equinox. Now let us see how they make this out. Well, as the sun in its apparent course descends to the equator and crosses it, this apparent path does make with the equator a sort of St. Peter's cross, somewhat like a long condensed letter X laid horizontally. This is never called the cross (to my knowledge) only by these Zodiac-Christian theorists. Yet this is the cross that Jesus—that is the sun—was crucified upon! And his zodiacal resurrection was six months after his crucifixion, when, about March 20th, he crossed the equator again up into the northern hemisphere, making precisely the same cross as before, only at his death he was crucified down on the line of the ecliptic, and at his resurrection he was crucified up into life, or back on the same line as before. First he was crucified to death, into the southern hemisphere, and then was crucified to life, back into the northern hemisphere.

This Zodiac cross is purely ideal, being composed of a section of the imaginary line called the equator, and the merely apparent path of the sun where these ideal lines ideally intersect on September 23d and March 20th. And what analogy or allegorical representation can there be between the sun's crossing the equatorial line into the southern hemisphere and the crucifixion of a man! But this cross of the imagination claims the credit of being a very scientific cross.

2. From very early times, wherever the ancient Phallic religion prevailed, the letter T became an emblem of the active or masculine principle in nature; and when made with a ring or oval at the top, by which it was carried in religious processions and ceremonies, it denoted the cooperation of the active and passive principles in nature which causes productivity. It was a religious emblem of all life as it springs up or is born, lives its time, dies, and reappears again in ceaseless rounds, and hence, figuratively, of future life. This cross was purely an emblem or symbol. Its origin was not astronomical, but Phallic, in which religion certain natural objects, pictured more or less conventionally, were emblems.

3. The Roman cross, which was an upright post with a cross-piece near the top; it was an instrument of capital punishment by a slow torture. It was called in the Greek *stauros*. This has no connection with the Phallic cross, nor the Phallic cross with it, any more than with our gallows, or the yard-arm of a man-of-war. These three crosses are forever distinct and separate; and one does not owe its origin to the other, only so far as this fanciful cross of the Zodiac was gotten up to get rid of the Roman cross of the Gospels. To sum up, the Zodiacal crosses were merely imaginary lines, which never figured anywhere in the ancient religions, or even ancient astronomy. These lines cannot be confounded with the Phallic or Roman cross. The Phallic cross was an emblem of life, but no astronomical allegory or sign.

The Roman cross was a barbarous instrument of death. That is the cross on which Jesus was crucified; and it is the only cross of the New Testament. It was a cross that was dreaded. The sentence was, "Thou shalt go to the cross;" and it was never carried in the New Testament times as an ornament, badge, or religious emblem; and it is nowhere in the New Testament made an emblem of life, but of reproach, peril, suffering, death. It was centuries after the crucifixion before the apostatized and three-fourths heathenized Christians adopted the Phallic cross. I think that was first done in Egypt. It became an object of adoration with the Christians in the fourth century, when the Empress Helena, the mother of Constantine, claimed to have found the true cross in the sepulchre where Christ was buried—as if the cross was buried with the criminal! The crucifix did not come into use until the tenth century.

With regard to this zodiacal, allegorical interpretation of the New Testament narratives, whether true or legendary, a person cannot realize how far-fetched, sparsely-selected and labored they all are, until he has instituted

a comparison with the narratives themselves. If such comparison does not open his eyes to the fact that the whole scheme is a labored and studied after-thought, we greatly misestimate.

For example: we are told that "St. Luke first mentions the Virgin Mary as a virgin in the sixth month, which, reckoning March as the first month, can be no other than the constellation Virgo, of August, who, when the angel Gabriel visited her, was found at home—as she always will be found—in the sixth month." Here, to make August the sixth month of the year, the year is reckoned from March. But, to make another reckoning fit for another occasion, we are told by the same writer: "It so happens that the 26th of July, the day devoted to St. Anna in the calendar, was the New Year's Day of ancient Egypt." To make out these reckonings, the year must be made to begin on any month needed for the reckoning. And this can often be achieved approximately by selecting the beginning of the year with first one nation and then another. "It so happens" that the Egyptians began their year on the 20th, instead of the 26th of July." The Romans began their year with the month of March. The Jews began their sacred year with the first new moon in April; and their secular year with the same in October. But, in this legend, "the sixth month," the time of the annunciation to Mary, is not reckoned from the beginning of any year, but from the commencement of the fulfillment of the promise made respecting her cousin Elizabeth becoming the mother of John the Baptist. The sixth month relates wholly to that; and what was the sixth month with Elizabeth was the first month with Mary. Queer materials for even a headstrong blunderer to manufacture solar-zodiacal myths out of! Luke i: 24-27.

Again: "The blessed virgin is still more astronomically defined by the author of the Gospel according to Matthew, as being the Virgin of Bethlehem, which means the house of bread, or house of corn, a direct definition of the pavilion or astronomical house of the Virgin of August." But it so happens that Bethlehem was an ancient veritable city in Judea long before the Zodiac was thought of; and the author was penning a legend connected with this city, and not with the month of August. But what is worse, the month of August was not "the house of bread or house of corn," because in that climate the harvests were from about the middle of April to the middle of June! And the harvest-months, or "house of corn for Egypt—where Volney and others contend that this Zodiac-myth was gotten up and extended to other nations—were the months of March and April! August is one of the months of the river Nile's inundation. And the water gets so high right in about the middle of this "house of corn," that the dykes are cut, and all the country is submerged beneath the rising floods. So much for that Zodiac-myth, said to have been born in Egypt! Its birth was not in Egypt, nor from any heads that regarded the climate of Egypt, or the Orient.

One example more: Mary is represented "as standing by the cross, as we read in the allegorical gospel according to St. John. This is also astronomically correct, for the sun is crucified, or crosses the line, [in the name of wonder, what semblance even of crucifixion is there in the sun's crossing the equinoctial line?] not only in March, but in September; and the Virgin of August stands by his side as he passes through the constellation of the Balance." In the month of March the sun is crucified up to life, "into the hill country, the northern hemisphere." In September he is crucified down into the southern hemisphere. But why this skimming, this selecting Mary, the mother of Jesus, as if standing near the cross alone, when there were four other noted personages equally near the cross, i. e., the sun "as he passes through the constellation of the Balance!" "Now there stood by the Cross of Jesus his mother [i. e., the constellation Virgo], and his mother's sister, [what constellation is she?] and Mary, the wife of Cleopas [what constellation is that lady?], and Mary Magdalene [another constellation, but what one?]. These four constellations are all equally near the cross, and they all or none belong in the Zodiac." "When Jesus, therefore, saw his mother [that is, when the sun threw his rays on the constellation Virgo] and the disciple whom he loved, [what constellation is the apostle John, that the sun throws his rays on him at the same time it does on Virgo?], he saith unto his mother, 'Behold thy son!' Then saith he to the disciple, 'Behold thy mother!' And from that hour that disciple took her to his own home."

Yet Virgo has ever remained in her home in the Zodiac; but the constellation John has never appeared there near the cross, nor anywhere else in the Zodiac! And are we to receive this as "astronomically correct"? This leaving out three-fifths of the conspicuous persons of the narrative, and selecting only two to make up the allegory and turn it into myth, will not meet, by a long ways, the exigencies of the case. Those active prominent personages must all have a place in the Zodiac, or none. Neither should there be an overstraining to get up an explanation of the assumed myths, as there is all through this Zodiac religion. As a constellation was needed for Joseph, and Bootes, a northern constellation rises and sets at the same time with Virgo in the Zodiac, that was enough: Joseph is Bootes, the husband of Virgo! Because John baptized people in the river Jordan, that would do to make him Aquarius, the Water Bearer, the sign the sun enters for the rainy season, in the East. So there never was a John the Baptist, as they say. John immersed people in the Jordan, and Aquarius sprinkles or profusely showers water upon the earth; and so they are both the same! John the Baptist is a Zodiacal myth, the constellation Aquarius.

Having disposed of a few rather miscellaneous preliminaries, my next will go vigorously into the merits and nature of the subject, by an account of the discovery of the (so-called) ancient Egyptian Zodiacs, the mytho-Zodiac religion built upon them, and the utter misapprehension of the age and design of those Zodiacs.

Verification of a Spirit-Message.

HELEN S. LOUD.

To the Editor of the Banner of Light:

The communication published in the *Banner* of Feb. 10th, 1881, from HELEN S. LOUD, of Loudville, Mass., has been recognized by the family and friends, and by them pronounced true and characteristic of her.

E. W.

"A man called out to his creditor, 'Get out, you or-nithorhynchus!' The man departed meekly. 'Who's that?' inquired a friend of the speaker. 'An or-nithorhynchus.' 'How's that?' 'Well, Webster defines him as 'a beast with a bill.'"

"That furred tongue, bad tasting mouth and miserable feeling, says you must stop Bitters."

to pluck the house from off the everlasting rock
of truth, and set it afloat in the shifting sands

cause we are most in exterior; but we are
only waking-to-day to what is interior; and
when we shall have lifted the curtains and let
the spiritual sunlight into our spiritual abodes,
we shall find that in our poverty we have car-
ried about within us spiritual and celestial
riches, to which all material wealth—all known
and seen, and all buried in the bosom of the
earth—is as nothing in comparison. Then
doubts of the most wonderful phenomena may
pass, in the brightness and glory of the day
we shall reach, revealing new states and new
truths of which we could have no comprehen-
sion now if they were revealed to us.

SPIRITUALIST LECTURERS.

REVISED AND CORRECTED.

(This List is for lecturers only; for any names appear of
those who lecture, we earnestly advise *bona fide* speakers to
communicate their names to the Editor.)

REY, WILLIAM ALCOCK, South Hyver, Warrington, Ms.
J. MADISON ALEXIS, Matfield, Mass., box 26.
MRS. N. K. ANDROSS, trance-speaker, Delfon, Wis.
WILLIAM C. BARNES, Southampton, Mass.
MRS. R. AUGUSTA ANTHONY, Abdon, Mich.
MISS M. C. ALLBERG, inspirational, Derby Line, Vt.
WILLIAM E. BARKER, Northampton, Mass.
REV. CHARLES ANDROS, Fishing, Mich.
MRS. EMMA HARDING BUTTES, The Lines, Hamp-
ton, Mass.
MRS. NELBIE J. T. BRIGHAM, coloring, Mass.
WILLIAM C. BROWN, 17 East Main street, Boston, Mass.
HISTOR A. BEALS, Janesville, Champaign, N. Y.
MRS. PISCILLA JOEY BRADLEY, Fairfeld, Me.
MRS. HARRIET SARGENT BROWN, New York.
MRS. E. BURR, Inspirational, box 7, Southold, Ct.
ADDIE L. BALLLOU, Springfield, Cal.
MRS. F. C. BAKER, 180 Middlebury College, Vt.
DR. JAS. R. BAILEY, rare R. P., New Bedford, Ill.
WM. S. BELL, 79 Fourth street, New Bedford, Mass.
WILLIAM C. BURNETT, 17 East Main street, Boston, Mass.
MRS. A. P. BROWN, St. Johnsbury Centre, Vt.
A. BLANK, 38 Front street, Worcester, Mass.
MRS. S. A. BYRON, 100 West Main street, Chelsea, Mass.
J. FRANK BAXTER, 18 Walnut street, Chelsea, Mass.
MRS. E. C. BARTON, Battle Creek, Mich.
A. B. BROWN, box 70, Worcester, Mass.
MISS ABBY N. BERNHAM, 9 Davis street, Boston.
MRS. J. C. BERRYMAN, 100 West Main street, New York.
MISS LIZZIE D. BAILY, Louisville, Ky.
W. J. COLVILLE, inspirational orator and poet, 47 West
Main street, New York.

WILLIAM EMMETT COLEMAN, Chief-Quartermaster's
office, Portsmouth San Francisco, Cal.
WILLIAM C. CASH, 100 West Main street, Boston.
DR. DEAN CLARKE, Portland, Ore.
MRS. HEETIE CLARKE, Union, 39 Thayer street, Boston.
WILLIAM C. CHURCH, 17 East Main street, Boston.
DR. J. H. CURRIER, 71 Leverett street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CUMMINGS, 17 East Main street, Boston.
GEORGE W. CAMPBELL, Kendallville, Ind.
MRS. MARIBETTA F. CROSS, trace, W. Hempstead, N. H.
WILLIAM C. COLLIER, 100 West Main street, Boston.
MRS. BELLE A. CHAMBERLAIN, Ennska, Cal.
DR. JAMES COOPER, Belvidere, Ill.
MRS. E. C. CONNOR, 100 West Main street, Mansfield, Mass.
MRS. AMELIA H. COLBY, Lacon, N. Y.
REY. A. C. COTTON, Union, N.J.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. LOUISA S. CARP, Rowe, N. Y.
REV. NORMAN CHANDLER, Warehamstead, Boston, Mass.
ALFRED DEXTON (Dr. Clegg), Wellesley, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. ADDIE P. M. DAVIS, Birmingham, Ala.
A. P. DOTY, Elm, Herkimer Co., N. Y.
W. PARROW, 100 West Main street, Boston.
A. BRIGGS DAVIS, Brentwood, L. I., N. Y.
MRS. A. C. DELAPORTE, Hartford, Ct.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
THOMAS GABLES FORSTER, 36 West 1st st., New York.
J. W. FLATTERY, rare *Ranger of Light*, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. CLARA A. FIELD, Ipswich, P. Essex street,
Boston.

MARY L. FINCH, Townsend Harbor, Mass.
MRS. M. A. FULLERTON, Mt. D. Gallois, N. Y.
JOHN C. GARDNER, 100 West Main street, Boston.
NETTIE M. F. FOX, inspirational, Newcom, Pa.
MRS. M. H. FULLER, Saratoga, Santa Clara Co., Cal.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
P. A. FIELD, Barnardston, Ind.
MRS. ADDIE E. FRYE, trance medium, Fort Scott, Kan.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
KESSEY GRAVES, Richmond, Ind.
MRS. S. GREENLEE, Lowell, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
SARAH HAGGINS, inspirational, Grand Rapids, Mich.
MRS. LESSIE N. GROBELE, inspirational, Amherst, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
D. E. G. GRANTLEY, T. To Hattie, Ind.
MRS. J. C. GALE, inspirational, North Lansing, Mich.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
GEORGE H. GREER, inspirational, Farmington, Minn.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
E. ANNIE HUNSMAN, West Windsor, Ct., box 323.
LAYMAN C. HOWE, Fredonia, N. Y.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. S. A. HORTON, Galveston, Tex.
REY. J. H. HARPER, Auburn, N. Y.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. ELIZABETH HARRIS, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. L. H. HARRIS, inspirational, Oswego, Cal.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
ANNIE C. TURNEY HAWES, Memphis, Tenn.
MRS. J. C. HASTINGS, 100 West Main street, Boston.
MRS. MATTIE E. HULL, Laurelside, Crawford Co., Penn.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MARY L. JEWETT, M. D., trace, Holland, Vt.
MRS. S. A. JENSEN, Amherst, Vt.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. L. E. JACOBSON, Lock Box 15, Ellensburg, Mass.
O. P. KELLOGG, East Tremont, Astoria City, Oreg.
MRS. NEILLE J. KEYNOX, Union, Woodstock, Vt.
MRS. LAURA KESSELER, 8 Davis street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
W. F. LYONS, Adrian, Mich.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
CEPHAS B. LYNN, rare *Ranger of Light*, Boston, Mass.
CHARLES H. LEAGAN, Hayden Row, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. H. SHEPARD-LITTLE, 322 Haverford street, Philadel-
phia, Pa.

MRS. JULIA S. STONE RAPIDS, Iowa.
MRS. E. H. FULLER MCINTYRE, San Francisco, Cal.
P. H. MAXSON, inspirational speaker, No. Conway, N. H.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. NETTIE CULBERTSON MAYNARD, White Plains, N. Y.
MRS. L. H. MOORE, 77 Dartmouth street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
J. WM. VAN NAME, M. D., 8 Davis street, Boston, Mass.
MRS. F. FREEMAN, Hammond, Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. TH. P. PHILLIPS, inspirational, Monro, White Co., Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. A. E. MOSSETT-PETNAM, Flint, Mich.
MRS. DOUGLAS E. PRAY, Augusta, Me.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. L. A. PASCO, 37 Trumbull street, Hartford, Conn.
JOHN G. PHILLIPS, Stanbury, Mo.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. C. L. RICHMOND, 61 Union Park Place, Chicago, Ill.

Mrs. MAI HELEN M. ROWSBY, Springfield, Vt.
FRANK T. RIPLEY, rare O. Bassett, Missou, O.
W. S. RICE, 100 West Main street, Boston.
MRS. NETTIE CULBERTSON MAYNARD, White Plains, N. Y.
MRS. L. H. MOORE, 77 Dartmouth street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
J. WM. VAN NAME, M. D., 8 Davis street, Boston, Mass.
MRS. F. FREEMAN, Hammond, Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. TH. P. PHILLIPS, inspirational, Monro, White Co., Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. A. E. MOSSETT-PETNAM, Flint, Mich.
MRS. DOUGLAS E. PRAY, Augusta, Me.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. L. A. PASCO, 37 Trumbull street, Hartford, Conn.
JOHN G. PHILLIPS, Stanbury, Mo.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. C. L. RICHMOND, 61 Union Park Place, Chicago, Ill.

Mrs. MAI HELEN M. ROWSBY, Springfield, Vt.
FRANK T. RIPLEY, rare O. Bassett, Missou, O.
W. S. RICE, 100 West Main street, Boston.
MRS. NETTIE CULBERTSON MAYNARD, White Plains, N. Y.
MRS. L. H. MOORE, 77 Dartmouth street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
J. WM. VAN NAME, M. D., 8 Davis street, Boston, Mass.
MRS. F. FREEMAN, Hammond, Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. TH. P. PHILLIPS, inspirational, Monro, White Co., Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. A. E. MOSSETT-PETNAM, Flint, Mich.
MRS. DOUGLAS E. PRAY, Augusta, Me.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. L. A. PASCO, 37 Trumbull street, Hartford, Conn.
JOHN G. PHILLIPS, Stanbury, Mo.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. C. L. RICHMOND, 61 Union Park Place, Chicago, Ill.

Mrs. MAI HELEN M. ROWSBY, Springfield, Vt.
FRANK T. RIPLEY, rare O. Bassett, Missou, O.
W. S. RICE, 100 West Main street, Boston.
MRS. NETTIE CULBERTSON MAYNARD, White Plains, N. Y.
MRS. L. H. MOORE, 77 Dartmouth street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
J. WM. VAN NAME, M. D., 8 Davis street, Boston, Mass.
MRS. F. FREEMAN, Hammond, Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. TH. P. PHILLIPS, inspirational, Monro, White Co., Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. A. E. MOSSETT-PETNAM, Flint, Mich.
MRS. DOUGLAS E. PRAY, Augusta, Me.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. L. A. PASCO, 37 Trumbull street, Hartford, Conn.
JOHN G. PHILLIPS, Stanbury, Mo.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. C. L. RICHMOND, 61 Union Park Place, Chicago, Ill.

Mrs. MAI HELEN M. ROWSBY, Springfield, Vt.
FRANK T. RIPLEY, rare O. Bassett, Missou, O.
W. S. RICE, 100 West Main street, Boston.
MRS. NETTIE CULBERTSON MAYNARD, White Plains, N. Y.
MRS. L. H. MOORE, 77 Dartmouth street, Boston, Mass.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
J. WM. VAN NAME, M. D., 8 Davis street, Boston, Mass.
MRS. F. FREEMAN, Hammond, Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17 East Main street, Boston.
MRS. TH. P. PHILLIPS, inspirational, Monro, White Co., Ind.
WILLIAM C. CROFT, 17

TO BOOK-PURCHASERS.
 COLBY & RICH, Publishers and Bookellers, No. 9 Montgomery Place, corner of Province Street, Boston, Mass., keep for sale a complete assortment of Spiritualist, Free-Communicative, Reformatory and Miscellaneous Books, at Wholesale and Retail.
 Terms Cash. Orders for Books to be sent by Mail, must be accompanied by full payment. When the balance is not paid, the books are held subject to return at the discretion of the publisher. We would remind our patrons that they can receive the full amount of their orders by sending us the enclosed check or money order, and thus avoid the trouble of waiting for the books to be sent by mail or express.
 Any book published in England or America (not out of print) will be sent by mail or express.
 Colby & Rich, Publishers and Bookellers, No. 9 Montgomery Place, Boston, Mass.

SPECIAL NOTICES.
 In quoting from the BANNER OF LIGHT, care should be taken to distinguish between editorial articles and the communications (condensed or otherwise) of correspondents. Our columns are open for the expression of individual free thought, but we cannot undertake to endorse the various shades of opinion to which correspondents give utterance.
 We do not read anonymous letters and communications. The name and address of the writer are in all cases in the possession of the editor, and are not used unless the writer has previously consented to their publication. When newspapers are forwarded which contain matter for our inspection, the editor will endeavor to draw a line around the article he desires to publish, and to return the remainder to the sender.
 Notices of Spiritualist Meetings, in order to insure prompt insertion, must reach this office on Monday, as the BANNER OF LIGHT goes to press every Tuesday.

Banner of Light.

BOSTON, SATURDAY, AUGUST 20, 1881.

PUBLICATION OFFICE AND BOOKSTORE.
 No. 9 Montgomery Place, corner of Province Street (Lower Floor).

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL AGENTS:
 THE NEW ENGLAND NEWS COMPANY,
 14 Franklin Street, Boston.

THE AMERICAN NEWS COMPANY,
 39 and 41 Chambers Street, New York.

COLBY & RICH,
 PUBLISHERS AND PROPRIETORS.

ISAAC B. RICH, Business Manager.
 LUTHER COLBY, Editor.
 JOHN W. DAVIS, Assistant Editor.

Business Letters should be addressed to ISAAC B. RICH, Banner of Light Publishing Office, No. 9 Montgomery Place, Boston. All other letters and communications should be forwarded to LUTHER COLBY.

THE WORK OF SPIRITUALISM IS AS BROAD AS THE UNIVERSE. It extends from the highest spheres of angelic life to the lowest conditions of human ignorance. It is as broad as the human mind, as comprehensive as Love, and its mission is to bless mankind.—John Pierpont.

Urgent!

THE U. S. CENSUS REPORT ON SPIRITUALISM.

An effort is being made by those officially engaged in making up the new United States census to secure for the Spiritualists an accurate representation in its statistics of Religious Organizations.

In furtherance of this object we earnestly request that the names and location of all Spiritualist Societies, together with names and addresses of the principal officers of each, be forwarded to this office at once. We trust that all who can furnish such information will recognize the importance of complying with the request.

The Navajos.

The Navajo agency is at Fort Defiance, New Mexico, and there has been trouble there for some time past. The causes for it are not apparent on the surface, but they none the less actively exist. Recently they have made themselves felt in a manner to bring things to a crisis, which fully serves to illustrate once more the perverse blundering of the Government. The trouble originated with putting an incompetent agent in charge. The last regular agent, named Eastman, was a rigid Presbyterian, agreeable to the system which distributed the different Indian tribes among the different Orthodox sects. The Presbyterian church of course became the church of that part of the country, although the Roman Catholics had claimed it as part of their religious domain before the spiritual charge of no less than fifty thousand Indians was thus all at once transferred to the hands of a man of a different religious creed.

Eastman is described as a zealot who punished the Indians for card-playing, and even for using profane words they had learned from the white men and whose meaning they knew nothing about. He cut off their rations, and so forth. Sometimes, when he seized a pack of cards from them, he would give them a little piece of tobacco, so that they gave him the nickname of "Little-piece-of-tobacco." The charge brought against Eastman at last was that he bought up a lot of Mexican dollars, and paid the Indians with them at the price of American dollars, making quite a handsome turn by the operation. He carried matters at such a rate that his life was at length insecure; the Indians at one time threatening to throw him into a pond, from which into the timely presence of a friendly blacksmith saved him. He finally fled from the agency and took refuge at Fort Wingate, leaving his effects behind and sending back his daughter to pack them up.

Capt. Bennett, of the Thirteenth Cavalry, was assigned to the agency temporarily. The Navajos are represented as never having been more contented and prosperous than under his supervision. He is described as having managed them with rare tact and judgment. But now we learn, Eastman, who has been in Washington, drawing his pay as agent but doing nothing, has been returned to his former charge. It seems as if the Government purposely courted trouble by such an act, intending to insult the Indians and to excite their hatred. Gen. Pope at first refused to relieve Capt. Bennett, declaring that no man like Eastman should be permitted to assume the agency again. For all that, Capt. Bennett has been relieved and Eastman sent back. The Navajos positively assert that they will not have him over them. Nor would we, either, if we were in their place. It would now be just like the rest of the Government willfulness and blundering to order Capt. Bennett, whom the Indians like, to move down upon them and force them to submission at the muzzles of muskets and the points of bayonets. That would be a fair specimen of its tact as well as its philanthropy.

The moral of the whole story is on the side of the Indians, however, and it is this: They say they will not have Eastman, and they say they will not go to war. They possess large wealth in their extensive flocks and the number of their horses, and are unwilling to risk it in such an encounter. But they declared they would get rid of Eastman if he came back, and he must have been more than a fool to wish to go under such circumstances. Nevertheless, he went back, and a single Indian had shaken hands with him at last accounts. The general feeling is so intense that all the workmen at Fort Defiance had left the place—blacksmith,

carpenters, engineer and the rest. Eastman re-engaged his old clerk, whom Capt. Bennett had discharged on discovering that he had cheated the Indians when paying them. He started to return, but hearing on the way of the serious state of affairs, he turned back, declining the risk. The Government can have no excuse for persevering in its present course. If violence ensues, it will be wholly its own fault, for the Navajos say they will not fight. The Government, however, seems bent on forcing them to.

The following is the reported conversation between a Navajo chief and Eastman, soon after his return:

"On meeting him Gana-Muncho said: 'How comes it that you are here?'"

"I have been sent by the Great Father at Washington to take charge of you," replied Eastman.

"Why did he not send a laborer from the railroad—anybody, rather than you?" returned the chief, scornfully. "Now, I want to give you a piece of advice: the best thing you can do for yourself is to get into a wagon and leave this place as quickly as you can," he continued.

"Who told you to say that?" demanded Eastman.

"Now, I want to hear no more of such talk," said Gana-Muncho, angrily. "I have brains, and can think; I have a mouth, and can speak; I have a heart, and can feel. My brain, mouth and heart are my own, and I can use them for myself: I am a full-grown man, and can think for myself; I need nobody to teach me. So I want to hear no more such words from you—'Who told you to say that?'"

"Williams has got to leave the reservation," said Eastman.

"I do not want to hear any words about that," said Gana-Muncho. "I came simply to tell you what I thought about you. I have said my say, now I shall ride away again."

Williams is a trader for whom the Navajos have a great friendship. He is located between Fort Defiance and the Mogul pueblos. For some reason Eastman dislikes him intensely. He has really reason to be deeply grateful to him, for but for Williams he would probably now not be alive. At one time an enraged Navajo set out with the full determination of shooting Eastman, but Williams, learning his intention, mounted and started in pursuit. Overtaking the Indian, he dissuaded him, and made him turn back.

The Philosophy of Spiritualism.

There has been from the first an apparently "irrepressible conflict" between the facts of Spiritualism and the spirit of the Allopathic medical profession—the gentlemen who boast of being "regular." The bitterness of their hostility has been unable to find any better weapons of assault than theories of toe-joint snapping, fraud and hysteria or pathological conditions, which make no impression except on the ignorant.

And yet where should Spiritualism find its best scientific expositors and defenders except among those who are familiar with human anatomy and physiology? who study that wonderful nervous system of man out of which grow his mysterious faculties, and every departure from the common-place facts of daily life. While it is known that the brain is the organ of the mind, and that a large portion of the brain has nothing to do with animal life, but may be cut off without impairing a single process of that life, yet does by its loss take away everything psychic from the animal, leaving it without an idea or desire—why have not physicians explored this psychic realm of the brain for the peculiar psychic faculties concerned in Spiritualism? There is but one reason—the Allopathic medical profession is dominated by an intolerant and stubborn materialism, upon which facts and arguments make no impression.

There are a few exceptions to this remark, but they are men who stand out distinctively as reformers, and draw down upon themselves the merciless hostility of the Allopathic party. Dr. Gall, the most eminent physiologist in Paris, and Dr. Elliotson, at the head of the profession in London, encountered a systematic hostility, even greater than that arrayed against Harvey, because they developed the mental phenomena of the brain, and the powers of animal magnetism.

The only living physician who has explored the rich domain in which physiology and psychology connect is Prof. Buchanan, who presented this subject in his lectures at Lake Pleasant on the 7th and 10th of August. In his teaching as a medical professor, and in his system of Anthropology, published nearly thirty years ago, all the phenomena of the soul which constitute Spiritualism have been traced into connection with the brain and made a part of the science of man, as intelligible as any department of physiology. Spiritualism treated thus, becomes a satisfactory science by being explained and correlated with all the physical sciences which in the human constitution are blended with the laws of life. While the Allopathic profession utterly refuse to listen to any such scientific investigations as these, Spiritualism has reared up a large body of liberal thinkers, who rejoice in the progress of the most profound of sciences.

The Concord School.

The sessions of the third summer of this School were brought to a close on Saturday last. In these three years it has fairly won its way to a place in American thought. It has gathered together a group of advanced thinkers, whose very presence is a welcome and most effective protest against the gross materialistic tendencies of the age. It is a revival of high and pure thinking, of abstract statements of life in its largest and best relations, of identity in contrast with mere worldly and unproductive knowledge. It did well last year, but it has done better this.

In looking over our files we have had brought vividly to mind many of the early workers in the cause of Spiritualism, at a time when it was not so widely accepted as it is now, prominent among whom we find Dr. Charles Main, who, even before "the rappings" at Hydesville, was, in truth, a Spiritualist. For more than a fourth of a century, with the exception of a brief interval in 1873, when he visited Europe, Dr. Main has been actively employed in Boston in healing the sick, and is to-day as energetic and successful in his labors as ever. His residence, No. 60 Dover street, is the scene of many cures that in ancient times would have been looked upon as miraculous.

Mrs. H. G. Knapp, wife of one of the editors of the *Advertiser and Chronicle*, Nyack, N. Y., passed to the higher life on the 30th ult. She was of a progressive turn of mind, and had full faith that she was to enter upon a life that is a continuation of this, but nobler and more grand.

C. B. Lynn's discourse on Sunday, July 31st, at Lake Pleasant, was well received. On Saturday, Aug. 13th, he spoke on "Ingersoll and his Critics" at the same place. The Boston Herald of the 14th contained a good digest of the last mentioned address.

The Changed Tone.

Some months since Rev. Prof. Austin Phelps, D. D., contributed an article to the *Congregationalist* in which he characterized Spiritualism as "a pretentious heap." In his treatment of its principles and claims he made no effort to disguise his supreme contempt of the whole subject, and generally of its disciples. That article was reviewed at length by the Editor-at-Large in his *Secular Press Correspondence*. The reply was a searching analysis and complete refutation of the Andover Professor's dogmatic assumptions; at the same time it was a timely and salutary caution to all similar offenders against the dignity of truth and the proprieties of religious controversy. How far Dr. Brittan's polite but scathing review may have been influential in modifying the offensive tone of the "Sacred Rhetoric" illustrated at Andover we may not absolutely determine; but some power has accomplished a most decided reformation or change of opinion in Dr. Phelps's mind as to the importance of questions involved in the subject, as was to be seen in the article we republished from a more recent number of the *Congregationalist* a short time since, and which is alluded to as follows in the *New York Tribune* of the 24th ult.:

"The Rev. Dr. Austin Phelps, professor emeritus of Sacred Rhetoric at Andover Theological Seminary, believes that the pulpit ought not to ignore Spiritualism. In an article in the *Congregationalist* he says: 'When people find their inherited faith in miracles and in inspiration muddled by the modern neocommunist marvels, it is natural, it is reasonable that they should ask: "What do these things mean?" And so long as popular science says never a word, who shall give to the people the necessary satisfaction, if the pulpit does not? Has not this thing been let alone long enough? Is it not time that the clergy should have opinions about it which, as theologians, they are willing to be responsible for, and opinions which shall commend themselves to the good sense and the biblical faith of their hearers?' It can never be beneath the dignity of the pulpit to answer any inquiries, touching religious faith, which an honest and sensible people are moved to ask."

It is with eminent satisfaction that we record the important fact that Professor Phelps has at last reached the rational conclusion that the clergy have "long enough" neglected their obvious duty in respect to Spiritualism. He reminds them in plain terms that they "should have opinions about it which, as theologians, they are willing to be responsible for." This is sensible, and substantially what the advocates of Spiritualism have been trying to impress upon them for the last thirty years. We are pleased to learn that the dense fog which so long clouded the theological mind is being dissipated. Andover begins to see the subject in a better light. We hope the clergy generally will follow the example and advice of Professor Phelps; step down from the church steeple-chase stilts to the level of those "honest and sensible people" who demand light and are waiting to receive instruction.

"The Two Worlds."

Is the title of a new paper devoted to Spiritualism, which Dr. Eugene Crowell proposes to bring out weekly on and after September 15th—his office of publication being located at 100 Nassau street, New York.

The veteran worker, A. E. Newton, Esq., whose literary record is too favorably impressed on the memory of our readers to require commendatory rehearsal at our hands at the present time, is to be the editor of the new paper; and both as regards its financial and editorial departments, the *Two Worlds* enters on its orbit with flattering prospects. It will be printed in folio form, on a sheet 21x29 inches—its subscription price being \$1.50 per year. We wish the new paper the widest measure of success.

In initially addressing the public attention Dr. Crowell uses the following language in the course of his prospectus, which extracts we present as giving his views for the present, and an earnest of his intentions in coming time:

"The continued and resistless spread of the great movement known as Modern Spiritualism, is a truly startling fact. The doctrine is a century old, and has steadily gained ground for more than thirty years, despite most strenuous opposition from a great variety of adverse influences. The facts have already compelled respectful recognition, not only from the most influential metropolitan journals, but also from men of the highest scientific attainments.

This movement is firmly believed to betoken efforts on the part of inhabitants of the spiritual world to demonstrate their existence, and the true condition of the after-life, for the enlightenment, enlightenment and uplifting of humanity upon earth.

The *Two Worlds*, recognizing the basic importance of sensible demonstration from the invisible world, will aim to put on record in each issue trustworthy accounts of phenomena believed to be of spirit-origin, and will endeavor to discriminate carefully between the genuine and the illusory or fraudulent. Genuine and useful mediums will be encouraged and defended, but fraud and imposture will receive no toleration in its columns.

The *Two Worlds* will especially aim to meet the difficulties and perplexities of the religious world, by showing that this great modern spiritual awakening, in its better interpretation, is neither atheistic nor irreligious in its tendencies, but on the contrary, a further and more complete spiritual conception of religion, calculated to satisfy the highest aspirations of the spiritually enlightened in all religions.

The Scientific, Philosophical and Practical bearings of true Spiritualism will also receive a due share of attention. The publisher has the satisfaction of announcing that he has secured the services, as principal editor of *The Two Worlds*, of Mr. A. E. Newton, one of our oldest and most experienced Spiritualists, whose ability and sound judgment will be encouraged and defended, and will endeavor to put on record in each issue trustworthy accounts of phenomena believed to be of spirit-origin, and will endeavor to discriminate carefully between the genuine and the illusory or fraudulent. Genuine and useful mediums will be encouraged and defended, but fraud and imposture will receive no toleration in its columns.

It is with much pleasure that we are enabled to inform our readers that Dr. F. W. Monck, of London, is in all probability now on his way to this country; it having been his intention to embark for New York on the 4th or 7th inst. There is doubtless no one who has been longer or more favorably known in England as a servant of the cause of Spiritualism than Dr. M., both as a medium of various striking phases of spirit-manifestations, a magnetic healer and lecturer. He has also taken the Degree of Honor bestowed upon mediums by the English Government, namely, incarceration in prison for practicing spiritual gifts. A letter from Dr. Monck in reference to his plans while in the United States, reaching us too late for insertion this week, will appear in our next. His address until further notice will be care of *Banner of Light*, Boston.

Frightened by the success of the Concord School of Philosophy in Massachusetts, the Evangelicals tried to offset the matter by a like movement at Greenwood Lake, N. Y., to be known as the Summer School of Christian Philosophy. The statement was at first widely published that the discussions following the lectures before this new school would be open to all persons wishing to participate in them; but Rev. Dr. Deems finally revealed the whole animus of the enterprise by saying: "We shall allow the largest latitude which is consistent with Christianity. The questions will be discussed scientifically, in the light of Christianity, and must therefore be discussed by Christians." Here is progress, with a vengeance!

Read the prospectus of *The Spiritual Offering*—fifth page.

WHAT SHALL THE PULPIT SAY?

BY ONE WHO DESIRES TO LEARN.

To the Editor of the *Banner of Light*:

Prof. Austin Phelps, of Andover, in his article in the *Congregationalist* of July 20th publishes his very pronounced opinion that the pulpit ought not now to ignore Spiritualism. And in this opinion why should he not be indorsed by all who have a genuine confidence in the truth and its ability to maintain itself in an open field? Surely if Spiritualism contains any valuable truth the world should know it. And as well should it know what of falsehood is covered by the same name. One thing is certain: Here is a fact, or collection of facts, whose presence cannot longer be ignored by intelligent people. Its various phenomena are too ripe on every hand. How can the preacher ignore what no one else does or can?

But if the pulpit is no longer to ignore it—as for the most part it would seem to have done—the important question next arises, What shall it say? And certain it is that many preachers would be sorely puzzled over this question. This very perplexity is undoubtedly the reason why a subject everywhere else discussed, and bearing so closely upon fundamental truths of religion, has been so generally and so long ignored. If the pulpit only knew what to say it would no doubt long ago have said it. Many preachers—especially the more intelligent and candid—will in their hearts, if not openly, turn to Prof. Phelps for help here. "Tell us," they will cry, "what to say. You have studied the subject. You take the position of a teacher of authority upon it. You pronounce it a 'delusion,' a 'diseased and effeminate development of popular credulity,' while at the same time you admit that natural science has no adequate solution of its mysteries, and that phenomena closely analogous are abundant in the records of both the Old Testament and the New, which 'many are not qualified' to distinguish from those of Modern Spiritualism. In these difficult circumstances we earnestly beg you to tell us how to handle the matter."

It is hardly possible that Prof. Phelps does not himself recognize this profound need of his brethren. Will he kindly meet it?

There is a certain way of meeting it for which they will not feel themselves much beholden to him. They can construct their own ignorant and passionate denunciations of it for themselves, if they are willing to do this. They can blindly identify it with "the sin of witchcraft," and level at it the prohibitions of the Jewish civil law. (And why not at the same time, to be consistent, all its other prohibitions and requirements, together with their penalties?) They can make various appeals to prejudice, if they consider that sound reasoning. They can pursue side issues, irrelevant or insignificant points, and make the whole question appear to turn upon them, if they are so disposed. They can easily point out the crudities, extravagances, inconsistencies and errors, theoretical and practical, the follies and vices even to be found among its disciples, if they should happen to forget that this style of argument would be as easy and as valid against Christianity so-called as against Spiritualism. They could clearly show many of its teachings to be in conflict with Orthodoxy, so-called, if they could only as clearly show what Orthodoxy is, a difficult matter in "this age of silent revolution," as Prof. Phelps well calls it, when "faith," as he tells us, "is not so well defined." They could also prove it to be at war throughout with the doctrine of a plenary inspired and infallible Bible; and if they could only prove this doctrine to be true—any more true than that of an infallible church—they could on this ground disprove some of the assertions of Spiritualism. In short they could resort to various illogical, unfair and even absurd methods of attack, if so disposed, without help from Prof. Phelps. And perhaps not a few of them will be moved by his appeal to just this course. But the larger and fairer minds among them will not condescend to it. They will look for more light before they speak. And not unreasonably among their searchings they will look somewhat to the Andover professor.

Will he utter the right word for them?

He may. And yet this article gives not the least indication of it.

Indeed, it is most noticeable that nowhere in it does he suggest to them to study carefully the subject. Some critic upon it writes, rather inadvertently, that Prof. Phelps "wants the pulpit to inform itself in regard to Spiritualism so that it may teach the people concerning it." "To inform itself!" I look in vain through all his article for a hint of this. Had he made this distinct point it would have been most commendable in him. Let the pulpit by all means inform itself. But Prof. Phelps says nothing whatever of this duty. For aught that he says, it is unnecessary. The people are left to believe that the pulpit is fully equipped for an effective onslaught upon Spiritualism. And the pulpit itself is to believe this, though certainly nothing can be further from the truth. Does this indicate that what he would really urge upon the pulpit is not after all investigation, but attack? He himself authoritatively pronounces Spiritualism a "delusion." Is he simply prompting the pulpit to follow his dogmatic example?

Alas! To-day this would be a very ineffective proceeding for the most part, as compared with what it once might have been. And indeed a very impolitic and unsafe one. On many subjects now the intelligence of the pews fully equals that of the pulpit. Not improbably it does on this. The preacher must know his ground; be posted at least upon the great facts of his theme, and venture no random ill-considered talk, or so far from carrying his hearers with him he will awaken only recoil.

Will Prof. Phelps advise his brethren to the only rational and dignified course—the only respectable course—for a body of educated public teachers? Will he say to them, "Search, first of all, for the facts, the grand basic facts, if there be any, on this subject?" Will he bid them inquire, and if he can, assist them in the inquiry, "Precisely what phenomena, if any, may be admitted, must be admitted as really occurring?" Is that, for instance, of direct or independent slate-writing, where without contact of any visible human hand, or even the use of pencil, in full sight of a group of scrutinizing spectators and in broad daylight, intelligent messages are written by an invisible power? Is this done? Or is every one of the ten thousand instances in which to the most competent and careful observers it appears to be done, a trick oflegerdemain? After adequate investigation, Yes or No? It is a bare question of fact; capable of a most certain answer one way or the other; and deserving one for its fundamental importance, though the inquiry involve any amount of trouble. The lover of truth who is ignorant on this point might well await breath-

lessly the answer. Shall the preacher "ignore" such a question as this, and think himself qualified to teach other people on the first principles of Spiritualism? When this question is settled, that an invisible intelligence can and does thus express itself—for so it must on due investigation be answered—let the preacher learn whether clairvoyance be a fact or not, a fact as certain as any other of natural science. Not, may lying pretenders to this gift be sometimes found, but is the genuine gift ever a fact, and so proved by abundant evidence? And does it extend to "the discerning of spirits," as in apostolic times? So of clairaudience: is it a fact, or not? And so of the power of spirit over matter directly, that is, without physical contact. Can it in this way move heavy furniture, lift a man to the ceiling, and bear him through the air? Can it assume form, one recognizable by the ordinary senses of sight and touch, and this by scores of people at once? Can it—disembodied spirit, or spirit having no physical body—in its use of matter speak audibly and intelligently? Sing wonderfully? Solidify into a weight as substantial as flesh? Dissolve again into apparent nothingness? Can it take possession of the brain and nervous system of men, women and children, enabling them—otherwise utterly disqualified—to speak with marvelous eloquence, to improvise no mean poetry, to paint with a celerity no artist in the flesh can approach most finished pictures, to write in languages, ancient and modern, unknown to them? Can it dissipate solid matter, as wood or iron, so that it shall become undetectable by any of the five senses, and then reconstitute it again, as in Zöllner's famous experiments?

Has spirit done these things—any or all of them? This is the question of basic fact to be answered by Prof. Phelps and his brethren of the pulpit at the very outset of all intelligent discussion of Spiritualism before the public. If these questions must with truth be answered in the negative, then thousands upon thousands of competent witnesses are liars. But if they must be answered in the affirmative—as most certainly they must be—then what Prof. Phelps calls "the historic reality of the phenomena" is established, and the way is properly opened for further inquiries.

Does Prof. Phelps or any one else suggest that this discussion would be so purely scientific as to be aside from the legitimate sphere of the pulpit? I admit that the discussion strictly of the basic facts might be so. But a well-founded opinion on them is obviously an indispensable preliminary, unless the pulpit can afford to go on in its old way of ignoring or contradicting the well-ascertained truths of science. Many of these truths have most necessary and important bearings on the truths of religion. The facts of Spiritualism, if they be at all what is claimed by its students, are more intimately and inseparably connected with true religious teachings, far more so than were ever those of astronomy, geology, ethnology, ancient geography and history, on which the pulpit has finally found it extremely unsafe to be seriously ignorant or in error. But if it thinks it wise to repeat upon this subject the old ignorance and folly it so long displayed on those, be it so. It will thus only hasten the time when it will be of little consequence to mankind what it says upon anything.

Belvidere Seminary.

It has been truly said that the great need of this country (and the world in general, as well) is a new system of education which shall combine the highest moral and physical culture with the active industries of life, that the combined influences of labor and culture may be sweeter, purer and nobler; a system which shall lead the young "to value human life and happiness more than money, and truth and honor more than place and power." Any agency looking toward the bringing in of such an era of hope for the coming generations is certainly worthy of attention and practical assistance. And as such we recommend to the reflective consideration of those who love their kind, and the young especially, the claims of the Belvidere Seminary, which was established at Belvidere, N. J., in 1867, by the Misses Bush, and which has ever since—while surrounded by all those untoward conditions which attend any deviation from the beaten path in the way of educational institutions—made a good showing of results accomplished.

This Seminary aims to be totally unsectarian in its character; to provide for the physical culture as well as the mental health of its pupils; and to inculcate among them "that degree of self-respect which is the foundation of the wisest self-control." The school year is divided into two sessions of twenty weeks each, beginning the third Monday in September and closing the third week in June.

The Misses Bush now announce that they are desirous of broadening the scope of this institution by enlarging its buildings, and the founding therein of an Educational and Industrial Home, whose object it shall be to follow out, if possible, to the full the system above outlined—one of their plans in this direction being "The acquisition on the part of students during school life, of such practical knowledge of some one or more pursuits or occupations as will enable them, whether male or female, to become self-sustaining and order-loving members of society."

Those among our readers who are desirous of becoming more clearly acquainted with this institution and its praiseworthy aims, can address for recent circular, The Misses Bush, Belvidere, Warren Co., N. J.

We have received copies of Resolutions passed and Addresses to the Spiritualists of the United States on the occasion of the departure from England of Mr. E. W. Wallis on a visit to this country. These are from the "Nottingham Association of Spiritualists," the "Glasgow Spiritualists," the "Newcastle-on-Tyne Spiritual Evidence Society," and the "Dalston, London, Association of Inquirers into Spiritualism," all of which we shall present to our readers next week.

[Mr. Wallis reached Boston late on the evening of Monday, Aug. 15th, per steamer Marathon of the Cunard Line, and made us a brief call on the 16th. He has already been engaged to speak at Lake Pleasant Camp-Meeting on the 18th; and is ready to accept calls wherever his services are required. He can be addressed for the present care *Banner of Light*.]

By reference to her card on our 5th page it will be seen that Mrs. James A. Bliss, materializing medium, of Philadelphia, will hold two sances at 94 Pembroke street, Boston, previous to her temporary departure from the city.

We received on Monday last a pleasant call from Mrs. Mary E. Weeks; test medium, of Chicago, who is at present in the East seeking to recuperate her powers by a brief vacation.

"ignore" self-qualifications on is set- and does due inves- her learn t, a fact as nee. Not, sometimes a fact, and nd does it its," as in ce: is it a spirit over ytical con- furniture, in through cognizable touch, and Jan it-dis- o physical audibly and solidly into solve again t take pos- tem of men, -otherwise marvelous poetry, to the flesh can to write in unknown to er, as wood discoverable econstitute riments? y or all of e fact to be rethren of the public. be answered in thousands But if they -as most Prof. Phelps phenomena" erly opened

also suggest urely sciente sphere of sion strictly- well-found- indispensa- can afford or contra- of science, necessary and of religion, e at all what e intimately ne religious ver those of cient geog- e pulpit has o be serious- it thinks it he old igno- ed on those, en the time ne to man-

y. great need of eral, as well) sh shall com- ical culture that the com- ture may be n which shall life and hap- and honor Any agency such an era s is certainly at assistance, reflective com- kind, and the the Belvidere h, and which l by all those id any devia- y of educa- howing of re-

y unsectarian the physical health of its em "that de- foundation of ool year is di- weeks each, eptember and

that they are of this Institu- and the found- and Industrial follow out, if ove outlined- n being "The dents during vledge of some ons as will en- ale, to become members of so-

re desirous of ted with these aims, can ad- dress Bush, Bel-

BRIEF PARAGRAPHS.

Vaunt not of thy body, Bro. Beaver, because it is the house in which thou dwellest. Is not the master of the house more honorable than its walls? Is not thy soul the monarch of thy frame? Does the loss of thy body end all? Nay, may!

The court of common pleas, London, granted Mr. Bradlaugh, Aug. 8th, a rule nisi for a new trial of the case of Clarke against Bradlaugh. The action was to recover from the defendant a penalty of £500 for having sat and voted in the House of Commons without taking the Parliamentary oath.

Thomas R. Hazard writes us, desiring it understood that in the second paragraph, fourth column, of his article, "A Defense of Mediums and Mediumship," printed Aug. 12th, "many" should read "man." Also that in the second paragraph, fifth column, of the same article, "elevator" should read "revolver." "Mystical," directly following, should also read "mystical." The author of "Living Forms and Living Faces," in the same issue, also desires us to state that the name printed "Robert" Kinsey should read Joseph.

Sitting Bull says he only surrendered because his women and children were starving. He don't place much faith in the promises of the government. And justly, too.

The treasurer of the Poor Children's Excursion fund acknowledges the total receipts to be \$3,245.30.

Verily, verily, travelers have seen many idols in many countries; but no human eyes have ever seen more daring, gross and shocking images of the Divine nature, than we creatures of the dust make in our own likenesses of our own bad passions. —Little Dorrie.

The business of the Erie Canal is so light this season that unless there is a great improvement soon, many employes will have to be discharged; and it is the intention of the authorities to make the Canal self-supporting. As an institution it is believed to be on its last legs.

One hundred and sixty is the pulse-beat of death. At one time President Garfield's pulse reached one hundred and fifty-six, within four beats of the death-rate!

PUZZLE.

I'm found in bed, but not in tin;
I'm found in ale, but not in pin;
I'm found in ear, but not in pin;
I'm found in plume, as well as dip;
I'm found in hop, but not in pin;
I'm found in straw, but not in pin;
I'm found in cane, but not in stick;
I'm found in Tom, but not in Dick.
Now, if my whole by you is read,
I will name a certain quadruped.

Coches were first let for hire in London in 1625.

WANTED FOR A CHRISTIAN. —The following anonymous epistle, says the *Traveler* of Aug. 10th, enclosing a ten-dollar bill, was received by an Andover shoe-dealer yesterday: "Der sir come time ago I bot som buns offen you whin I der you gaw me ten dollars to nunch I send it bak becuiz I wish to be honest on a krishthun."
This is a fair offer to the late student-burglar case at Andover. Andover is considered the seat of learning — of a peculiar, ancient type.

The pious *Traveler* says this: "The general Secretary of the Boston Board of Trade is receiving congratulations. It is a daughter; no puny affair; weighs 11½ pounds."

Buckle, Spencer, Huxley, Tyndall and others, all sought spiritual truths, but refrained because answers came not according to the empirical demands of their theories. They never tried. It is needless to begin investigation by dictating to God which wards of a hospital shall receive his blessings, to the exclusion of those equally worthy. —Mrs. Britten.

Official information has been received at the Indian Office of the killing of the noted chief, Spotted Tail, by Crow Dog, at the Rosebud agency, on Friday, the 6th inst.

The following ingenious notice, it is stated, is prominently posted at the door of a ready-made clothing establishment in one of the poorer quarters of Paris: "Don't go somewhere else to be robbed; walk in here."

The Boston *Herald* remarks that "it is always a little suspicious to see a man's — and especially a politician's — plenty too well advertised. Such a one is apt to need watching, however ill he may bear it."

A little light was recently eating green corn by gnawing it from the cob, when, her teeth becoming entangled in the corn-silk, she said impatiently: "I wish, when they get the corn made, they would pull out the basting threads!"

Death is another life. We bow our heads At going out, we think, and enter straight Another golden chamber of the King's, Larger than this we leave, and love it. —P. Restus.

August 17th was the 43d anniversary of the release of Abner Kneeland from the Leverett-street Jail, Boston, where he was imprisoned sixty days in the year 1839 for the alleged and imaginary crime of "blasphemy."

A Vermont shoemaker being asked if he had any religion, answered: "I do, enough to make good shoes, good to God!" and with an extra pull he drew the waxed thread firmly to its place. Let us endow his bench as a new chair in the divinity school. —Christian Register.

Aid for the Holmes Media.

Since our acknowledgment, Aug. 6th, of \$5 contributed by Mrs. Susan P. Carpenter, of Foxboro', to this worthy object, we understand that a friend in Rhode Island, who declines the use of his name, has forwarded direct to Mr. Holmes at Vineland, N. J., the sum of \$20. The purpose in view is commendable, and others should follow where these generous ones have led the way.

At Bradlaugh's latest attempt to occupy the seat to which the votes of his constituents clearly entitled him, he was forcibly ejected by the police from the lobby of the Parliament House, and thence to the lobby of the House of Commons. The action was to recover from the defendant a penalty of £500 for having sat and voted in the House of Commons without taking the Parliamentary oath.

Letters from President Garfield, Donn Platt, Grace Greenwood and others, attest the miraculous healing power of Dr. Eliza Foster Stillman, 37 South Ashland Avenue, Chicago. Those afflicted should send for free circular, giving letters in full.

We are in receipt from a most reliable source of further evidence of the fraudulent practices of D. McLennan in San Francisco. Our informant suggests the probability of his next move being that of an "exposer" (?) of Spiritualism.

The Boston *Herald* of Monday, Aug. 16th, thus speaks of Onset and its prosperity: "The last Sabbath exercises of the Spiritualists of Onset Bay for 1881 occurred on the 14th, and attracted the largest gathering of the season, fully five thousand people being present. The exercises have been successful financially."

By reference to the third and fifth pages of the present issue, the reader will find announcements of Spiritualist camp and grove meetings which promise to be of interest to all participating therein.

THE MANHOOD OF SPIRITUALISM. —We shall print next week an eloquent discourse on the above topic, as delivered through the media instrumentality of Mrs. Cora L. V. Richmond, in Chicago, Ill.

By the Sea.

To the Editor of the Banner of Light:

The function of a correspondent, like that of a fisherman, is to drop his lines in the best places he can find. It matters not where I was when I last addressed you, and on this point I am somewhat oblivious. You may be anxious to hear from some one else; hence I may be the wrong man to realize your wishes; but I am at least intensely conscious of the fact that I have found the right place at last. If one cannot recover his health in this cool and breezy retreat it is useless to send for the doctor. There is nothing left but to call in a notary, execute his will, repent of his sins and accept his passport to the only better country.

Altogether the Ocean House is a delightful family institution. It is about one hundred yards from the shore; the beach, which stretches away for miles, is in all respects admirable, and the sand is so fine that the most delicate foot is not likely to be wounded by contact in chasing the waves. Away to the east we look far off over the deep blue sea, out of whose depths the sun seems to rise in the morning and the moon in the evening.

There is life and health in this air. It is so very bracing that men are able to live without stimulants and women may dispense with corsets. What an atmosphere! what a help to the temperance cause and to the natural development of the race! The gaudy dames of fashion and the gay butterflies that suck the honey-dews of perfumed nonsense, are not here. There is little display in dress, and no inconvenient devotion to ceremony. Everybody is affable and so is his wife, while the young girls are happy as little birds at the matinee season. I have not yet been here one month, and what a change! I was sadly demoralized; did not eat much, and scarcely strength to speak the truth. A photograph taken on my arrival resembles a steel-trap. I expect to get the hatchet out of my face very soon and to develop something like a full moon instead.

Among the late arrivals I may mention that the afternoon train yesterday brought Mr. Luther Colby of the *Banner of Light*, and Dr. S. B. Brittan, of the *Secular Press Bureau*.

The ceaseless murmur of this everlasting ebb and flow is music to sense and soul for both saints and sinners. With this

"Great harmony that does not of the seas";

with the ocean stretching away before us beyond the limits of vision; with cloud and sky like a curtained, star-lighted canopy above us — like a brooding spirit that shelters a world — we are not wanting in natural sources of inspiration. The Editor-at-Large observed that if he remained here long, he should be obliged to set up for a poet from the necessities of the case. Mr. Colby having been here before, is already developed, and your readers know that the Muses have more than once touched his pen with Promethean fire.

Yours cordially, JOHN. Ocean House, Hampton Beach, N. H., Aug. 15th, 1881.

W. J. Colville's Meetings.

[From our Reporter.]

On Sunday last, Aug. 14th, W. J. Colville delighted his auditors at Republican Hall, New York, by an effective delivery of two lectures, which displayed great evidence of his high intelligence and his deep knowledge of the subject. At 10:45 A. M. "If Spiritualism be True, why do Spirits Differ in their Teachings?" was handled in a masterly manner, a large portion of the audience refusing to leave the hall until they had congratulated the speaker. The argument was in support of an assertion that because Spiritualism is true, therefore spirits must differ in their statements, as all enter the other life as they leave earth, and all go to their own places and engage in their own work. While making no attempt to gloss over the blots which disfigure the spiritualistic path, the lecturer emphatically declared that the very lowest spirit ever able to return was capable of teaching some useful lesson to earth, and that where we were not to follow, we might profit by their warning of the results of evil.

In the evening the largest audience was present which we have seen in Republican Hall for a long while, very little space being unoccupied. The lecture of the evening was on "The History of the Human Mind," and Mr. Colville's inspired spoke upon the origin and history of belief in the devil, and tracing the development of the idea showed that Jesus failed to discourage belief in evil spirits, and even taught his disciples to pray "Deliver us from the evil one." When giving their own views they said that the word translated "devil," and also "evil," in the New Testament only meant a spirit without a corporeal frame, not necessarily an evil spirit. The early Christians in exorcising devils simply dispossessed men's minds and bodies of disturbing influences. The devil of Milton grew out of an old legend about the asteroids, and was further a very figurative and rather indistinct revival of the old Kabalistic doctrine of the fall of spirit into matter. All the Christians have once been angels. Men were pure before they fell and did not goodness, the Alpha of their being, of necessity overcome all darkness and sin? The lecture was a most earnest and able one, and to those who set about reforming all the devils they come across, as all are amenable to good influences, however long they remain obdurate. An original poem: "The Death of the Devil" was read, and the audience were much interested in the exercises.

Mr. Colville will take leave of his friends in New York on Sunday, Aug. 21st, when he will deliver two inspirational discourses in Republican Hall, 55 West 33d street, subjects: 10:45 A. M. answers to written questions from the audience. 7:45 P. M. "The Gods of the Past, and the God of the Future." His future engagements are at Sunapee Lake, Aug. 28th and 29th; Lake Pleasant, Sept. 1st and 2nd; West Randolph, Vermont, Sept. 9th, 10th and 11th. His address will hereafter be 519 Columbus avenue, Boston, to which place he cordially invites his friends on the occasion of his birthday reception, Monday, Sept. 5th, at 8 P. M.

Movements of Lecturers and Mediums.

[Matter for this Department should reach our office by Tuesday morning to insure insertion the same week.]

Dr. J. M. Peckles is lecturing in Dakota Territory, under the auspices of the Masonic Fraternity. All mail matter designed for him should be addressed to Hammon, N. J., whence it will be forwarded to him.

Frank T. Ripley is meeting with much success in lecturing and giving tests in public at Milan, O. He is to speak in Wiltshire, Ill., the last two Sundays of August. Engagements may be made for his services in Illinois, Ohio and Michigan. Address him at Milan, Erie Co., O., Box 320.

Engagements have been made with speakers to address the public at Beverly, Mass., from Sept. 1st to Jan. 1st, as follows: Sept. 1, Wm. Fletcher; Oct. 24 and 25, Mrs. N. J. Willis; Oct. 16th, Dr. J. H. Currier; Oct. 23d and 24th, Clara A. Field; Nov. 4th and 13th, E. W. Wallis, of England; Nov. 20th and 27th, Sarah A. Byrnes; Dec. 4th and 11th, J. P. Greenleaf; Dec. 18th and 25th, Jennie B. Hagan.

C. Fannie Allen, of Stoneham, Mass., lectured before the Spiritualists of Wakefield at the Reform Club Hall, Wakefield's Block, Sunday, Aug. 14th.

Jennie B. Hagan, having closed her engagement at Onset Bay, will be at Etta, Me., during the camp at that place. Would like to make engagements for the coming winter.

The Rev. Dr. H. W. Thomas, a Methodist preacher of Chicago, is to be tried next month for heresy by a body of clergymen of the denomination to which he belongs. Now, if the Bible is the only rule of faith, and every one is to exercise his private judgment in making out its teachings, and there is no infallible interpreter of it, how is the court going to convict the accused minister of unorthodoxy, and who dare say that its verdict will be right? Is he not as able as we are to decide the meaning of passages in Holy Writ, and not, of what use to him is the right of private judgment? —The Catholic Mirror, Baltimore, Md.

A nice fitting shoe often makes a pretty foot, but it needs German Corn Remover to make it comfortable.

Spiritualist Meetings in Boston.

Engle Hall, Spiritual Meetings are held at this hall, 60 Washington street, corner of Essex, every Sunday, at 8 A. M. and 7:30 P. M. Excellent quartette singing provided.

Pythian Hall, 176 Tremont street. —Meeting every Sunday afternoon at 2 o'clock. Dr. N. F. Smith, inspirational speaker.

91 Penrose street. —During the summer months there will be held every Tuesday, at quarter before 8 P. M., at this place, a Free Spiritual and Religious Conference for the consideration of all subjects relating to the elevation of the race, for the benefit of all friends of humanity, without regard to sect or party, and all are invited.

Eagle Hall, 610 Washington St. —If many more "Professors" write about Spiritualism and give your able analyst further opportunity for applying his crucial tests to their effusions, we shall be obliged to secure a larger hall for our meetings. Storm a truth, and the multitude will rally about it.

"Armed in the advance of the panoply of Right," "Thy loftiest foe shall surely feel thy might."

Thus you stand equipped, friends! Right on! Glorious meetings we had on Sunday last, and a perfect spirit of harmony prevailed with both audiences and mediums. The speakers during the day were: Dr. Colby, ex-Senator, Mr. Lathrop, Dr. E. W. Locke and Dr. J. H. Currier, Mr. J. E. Sell, of Cambridgeport; Mrs. Leslie, of Boston; Mrs. Dr. Walker and Mrs. P. A. Bray, of Charlestown; and Mrs. A. L. Penzell, of Chelsea. All of whom made excellent test mediums, as well as speakers — took part in the different sessions with marked success.

E. C.

Spiritualist Meetings in Brooklyn.

The Spiritual Society Conference Meetings are held at 800 Broadway, every Saturday evening at 8 o'clock. After those speakers who have been invited to attend the Conference and take part in the exercises have spoken, any person in the audience will be at liberty to speak 20 or 30 min., under the ten-minute rule. J. David, Chairman.

The Eastern District Spiritualist Fraternity meets at Latham's Hall, Ninth street, near Grand, every Sunday, at 7:30 P. M. D. M. Cole, President.

The Eastern District Spiritualist Conference meets at Latham's Hall, Ninth street, near Grand, every Sunday, at 7:30 P. M. D. M. Cole, Secretary.

NEW YORK. —Republican Hall, 55 West 33d street. —W. J. Colville will lecture, morning and evening, in this hall, till further notice.

The Secular Press Bureau, Prof. S. B. Brittan, Manager. Present address, 29 Broad street, Newark, N. J.

This Bureau was established in 1879 by the Spirit-World for the purpose of furnishing replies to attacks made upon Spiritualism in the columns of the secular press, and answering objections that may therein appear to the reality of its phenomena and the philosophy of its teachings. Donations earnestly solicited, in order that Prof. Brittan may be enabled to enlarge his sphere of action.

AMOUNTS PAID IN AND PLEDGED FOR 1881.

CASH PAID.	
From Jan. 1st to June 30th, (six months).....	\$129.89
Mrs. A. C. Fisher, Farmington, Me.....	2.00
Edw. H. Cole, Portland, Me.....	2.00
W. Dean Smith, Rochester, N. Y.....	5.00
S. H. Nichols, Brooklyn, N. Y.....	5.00
Edw. S. Dyer, New York.....	5.00
Edw. S. Varney, Lowell, Mass.....	3.40
E. J. Durant, Lebanon, N. H.....	5.00
Samuel Leonard, N. Y. C.....	2.00
Henry J. Newton, New York.....	10.00
A. May, New York (by advice of a spirit).....	2.50
C. Snyder, New York.....	2.50
Mrs. E. Heath, Ithaca, N. Y.....	3.00
Edw. J. Miller, Hartford, Conn.....	5.00
E. G. Galswold, Amsterdam.....	5.00
CASH PLEDGED.	
Melville C. Smith, New York.....	25.00
Alfred G. Hughes, 79 Broadway, New York.....	10.00
W. H. Cole, Chicago.....	2.00
Augustine Van, Detroit, Mich.....	3.40
Edw. S. Dyer, New York.....	5.00
G. W. Cotton, Portsmouth, N. H.....	3.00
Oak Leaf and Helping Hand.....	5.00
Charles Partridge, New York.....	50.00

It seems to us that if the friends of Spiritualism — and they are numbered by millions to-day — took that deep interest in the subject which they unquestionably should, they would hasten to contribute their full quota to the Editor-at-Large Bureau, in order to strengthen the hands of Prof. Brittan in the noble work in which he is at present engaged. There is no need of our going to the whole world so competent, and we cannot afford to lose his services through lack of material means to sustain him.

The Natick, Ct., Spiritualist Camp-Meeting.

Commenced Aug. 17th and closed, 18th, 1881. Speakers: Sunday, Aug. 21st, Mrs. Fanny Davis Smith, of Brandon, Vt.; Sunday, Aug. 28th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Sept. 1st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Sept. 2nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Sept. 3rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Sept. 4th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Sept. 5th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Sept. 6th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Sept. 7th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Sept. 8th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Sept. 9th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Sept. 10th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Sept. 11th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Sept. 12th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Sept. 13th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Sept. 14th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Sept. 15th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Sept. 16th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Sept. 17th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Sept. 18th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Sept. 19th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Sept. 20th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Sept. 21st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Sept. 22nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Sept. 23rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Sept. 24th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Sept. 25th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Sept. 26th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Sept. 27th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Sept. 28th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Sept. 29th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Sept. 30th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Sept. 31st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Oct. 1st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Oct. 2nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Oct. 3rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Oct. 4th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Oct. 5th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Oct. 6th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Oct. 7th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Oct. 8th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Oct. 9th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Oct. 10th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Oct. 11th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Oct. 12th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Oct. 13th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Oct. 14th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Oct. 15th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Oct. 16th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Oct. 17th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Oct. 18th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Oct. 19th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Oct. 20th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Oct. 21st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Oct. 22nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Oct. 23rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Oct. 24th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Oct. 25th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Oct. 26th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Oct. 27th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Oct. 28th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Oct. 29th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Oct. 30th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Oct. 31st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Nov. 1st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Nov. 2nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Nov. 3rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Nov. 4th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Nov. 5th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Nov. 6th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Nov. 7th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Nov. 8th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Nov. 9th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Nov. 10th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Nov. 11th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Nov. 12th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Nov. 13th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Nov. 14th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Nov. 15th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Nov. 16th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Nov. 17th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Nov. 18th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Nov. 19th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Nov. 20th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Nov. 21st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Nov. 22nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Nov. 23rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Nov. 24th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Nov. 25th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Nov. 26th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Nov. 27th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Nov. 28th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Nov. 29th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Nov. 30th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Dec. 1st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Dec. 2nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Dec. 3rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Dec. 4th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Dec. 5th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Dec. 6th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Dec. 7th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Dec. 8th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Dec. 9th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Dec. 10th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Dec. 11th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Dec. 12th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Dec. 13th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Dec. 14th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Dec. 15th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Dec. 16th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Dec. 17th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Dec. 18th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Dec. 19th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Dec. 20th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Dec. 21st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Dec. 22nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Dec. 23rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Dec. 24th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Dec. 25th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Dec. 26th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Dec. 27th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Dec. 28th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Dec. 29th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Dec. 30th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Dec. 31st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Jan. 1st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Jan. 2nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Jan. 3rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Jan. 4th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Jan. 5th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Jan. 6th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Jan. 7th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Jan. 8th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Jan. 9th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Jan. 10th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Jan. 11th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Jan. 12th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Jan. 13th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Jan. 14th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Jan. 15th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Jan. 16th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Jan. 17th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Jan. 18th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Jan. 19th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Jan. 20th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Jan. 21st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Jan. 22nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Jan. 23rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Jan. 24th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Jan. 25th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Jan. 26th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Jan. 27th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Jan. 28th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Jan. 29th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Jan. 30th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Sunday, Jan. 31st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Monday, Feb. 1st, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Tuesday, Feb. 2nd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Wednesday, Feb. 3rd, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Thursday, Feb. 4th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Friday, Feb. 5th, Capt. H. H. Brown, of Saratoga, N. Y.; Saturday, Feb. 6th,
